Q1 (a) The three influences on permeability: (i) viscosity of the fluid, (ii) size and continuity of pore spaces, which are a function of: size and shape of the soil particles, density, structure, (iii) presence of discontinuities. Hazen's equation accounts for only the particle size and is $K = 0.01 D_{10}^2$. [10%]

(b) Soil is rarely isotropic, quit elikely to be stratified, during the sedimentation process. Usually
 Horizontal K is much higher than vertical K, as horizontal flow will occur along more permeable
 layers, while vertical flow has to go through all layers.

(c) $Q = A.v = 2\pi .r.b.K \frac{d\bar{h}}{dr}$ $\bar{h}_{\infty} - \bar{h}_{w} = \frac{Q}{2.\pi .b.K} \ln \frac{R}{r_{w}}$ Suff clay
Suff clay
Suff clay
Datum $\downarrow^{\mathbf{r}_{w}} \mathbf{r}_{w} \mathbf{r}_{w}$ Suff clay
Datum

 $B = 7m, r_w = 0.1m, K = 2 \times 10^{-3} m/s, Q = 1700m^3/day = 0.02m^3/s, R = 35m, potential head at far field = groundwater level = 9m. Substitution in above equation will give potential head in well = 7.67m.$ Hence drawdown is 1.33m. [25%]

(d) (i) See annotated flow net. [25%]

(ii) Total potential drop = 4m with 12 potential head intervals, 4 flow channels on each side, hence total is 8 channels. Q = K $\Delta h N_f/N_h = 10^{-5} \times 4 \times 8/12 = 1.33 \times 10^{-5}$ m³/s/m length. [10%]

(iii) Take datum at base of
excavation. Pwp at A: potential head =
0.66m, y = 2m below datum, pressure
head 2.66m, pwp = 26.6kPa.



[10%]

(iv) The soil in the excavation could liquefy if the effective stress reduces to zero. Calculate the total vertical stress acting downwards (γz) and the pore water pressure acting up ($h_{crit} \gamma_w$). If effective stress is zero then the two terms are equal and the critical hydraulic gradient is calculated from ($\gamma - \gamma_w$)/ γ_w , which is around 0.8-1.0. [10%]

2. (a) Three main sources of groundwater contamination: (i) Direct disposal of hazardous materials, examples: landfill, surface impoundment, underground injection, (ii)indirect disposal of hazardous materials, examples: leaks from underground storage tanks, from process facilities, from treatment facilities, (iii) non-point source, examples: agricultural operations, mixing activities, oil & gas explorations. [10%]

(b) Molecular diffusion: contaminant transport mechanism due to differences in concentration of the contaminant and follows Fick's law. In porous media, diffusion occurs along concentration gradients but the coefficient is modified to take into account the tortuosity of the paths the contaminant has to take through the porous media.

Mechanical dispersion: is the mixing that occurs in porous media as a consequence of local variations in velocity around some mean flow velocity, due to (i) different pore sizes, (ii) different paths taken and (iii) boundary friction, hence the contaminants become mixed and transported due to these changes in flow velocities. [10%]

(c) Peclet number is $v_f.d/D_d$, where v_f is flow velocity, d is average particle size and D_d coefficient of diffusion.

On log-log axes this plot indicates that:

 $P_e < 1$ D_l is independent of v_f and diffusion is the dominant dispersive mechanism, so $D_l \approx D_d^*$

 $P_e > 10$ slope is approximately equal to one, diffusion is negligible in comparison with mechanical dispersion, so $D_l \approx \alpha . v_f$



(d) (i) Length of time it will take for the contaminant to break through the base liner of the landfill.

c/co = 0.00001, hence
$$\beta$$
 = 3.0, hence Z/ β = $\sqrt{4} D_d^*$ t, therefore 4/3 = $\sqrt{4 \times 0.9 \times 10^{-9} \times 0.35 \times t}$,

 $1.777 = 1.26 \times 10^{-9}$ t, giving t = 1.41 x 10^{-9} sec = 44.72 years. [20%]

(ii) The depth within the liner will c/co = 0.5.

erfc (β) = 0.4795 for β = 0.5 and erfc (β) = 0.5245 for β = 0.45, Interpolating the values for erfc (β) = 0.5, gives β = 0.47722.

Therefore, $z / [4 \times 0.9 \times 10^{-9} \times 0.35 \times 1.41 \times 10^{-9}] = 0.47722$, giving z = 0.636m. [20%]

(iii) Sketch of concentration profile with depth, curved profile, with salient points. [10%]

 (iv) The steady state concentration profile will be linear between the initial concentration and zero concentration at depth of 4m, due to the imposed boundary conditions and pure chemical gradient effect, sketch shown. [10%]

(v) When advection is not negligible, the steady state concentration profile would be parabolic, the other way round to that of the diffusion. Advection dominates, leading to co throughout, but with 4m boundary condition at 0, leads to the profile shown (approximated by power series), sketch shown. [10%]



$$II = (*) \qquad \text{Operative terperature is the mean} \qquad 3-1$$

$$II = (*) \qquad \text{Operative terperature is the mean} \qquad \text{Iadiaet terperature } k anti-int au \\ \text{Interperature weighted by their respective} \\ \text{heat trace for coefficients. It is used} \\ \text{at a measure of themat comfort} \\ exponenced by a fractor within a noom.} \end{cases}$$

$$III = (*) \qquad (i) \qquad (T_i + T_j^+) - 4\overline{T_ij}^3 (T_i - T_j) = (*)$$

$$Rewriting @ = (T_i^2 + T_j^2) (T_i + T_j) (T_i - T_j) - 4\overline{T_ij}^3 (T_i - T_j) \\ \text{Remove } (T_i^2 + T_j^2) (T_i + T_j) - 4\overline{T_ij}^3 = (T_i - T_j)$$

$$Remove / Ignore (T_i - T_j) = 4\overline{T_ij}^3 = (2)$$

$$\frac{Girren}{T_ij} = \frac{T_i + T_j}{2} \quad k \quad \Delta = \frac{T_i - T_j}{2}$$

$$\therefore \quad T_i = \overline{T_ij} + \Delta \quad \text{and} \quad T_j = \overline{T_ij} - \Delta$$

$$Subschtucting with \quad \overline{T_ij} \quad u \; \Delta \quad in (2) \quad gire :$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[(\overline{T_ij} + \Delta)^2 + (\overline{T_ij} - \Delta)^2 \right] \left[(\overline{T_ij} + \Delta) + (\overline{T_ij} - A) \right] - 4\overline{T_ij}^3$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[2\overline{T_{ij}}^{2} + 2\Delta^{2}\right] \left[2\overline{T_{ij}}\right] - 4\overline{T_{ij}}^{3}$$
$$\Rightarrow 4\overline{T_{ij}}^{3} \left[1 + \frac{\Delta^{2}}{\overline{T_{ij}}^{2}}\right] - 4\overline{T_{ij}}^{3}$$

eri

3.2

TT (b) (ii) $T_i - T_j = 10 + 273 K$ Tij = 273 K $\Delta = \frac{T_i - T_j}{2} = \frac{283}{2} = 141.5$ $\frac{\Delta^2}{\overline{T_{ij}}^2} = \frac{141.5^2}{2.73^2} = 0.27$ $\approx 3/.$ error $K_{1}^{S} = \frac{G_{1}A_{1}}{1-G_{1}} 4 \sigma \overline{T}_{1}^{3} - (1) K_{2}^{S} = \frac{G_{2}A_{2}}{1-G_{2}} 4 \sigma \overline{T}_{2}^{3} - (2) K_{2}^{S} = \frac{G_{2}A_{2}}{1-G_{2}} 4 \sigma \overline{T}_{2}^{3} - (2) C_{2}^{S}$ K12 = A, F12 4 5 T12 - (3) Conductances in ceries can be reduced : $K_{\text{total}} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{K_{12}^{s} + \frac{1}{K_{12}^{s} + \frac{1}{K_{22}^{s}}}}$ $\overline{T}_{1,2} = \frac{T_1 + T_2}{2} \qquad \Im \qquad \frac{2 T_1}{2} = T_1 \qquad \text{Since } T_1 \otimes T_2$ $\Re \qquad 2 T_2 = T_2 \qquad \text{Since } T_2 \otimes T_2$ $T_1^3 \approx \overline{T}_{12}^3 \approx T_2^3$ (tran over

3.3 Accoming for surface radiative conductance : 0 $Q_{12} = K^{r}_{btal} \left(T_{1} - T_{2}\right)$ $\begin{array}{c} * \\ = \rangle \\ \hline 4 \circ A_{1} \overline{T_{12}} (T_{1} - T_{2}) \\ \hline \frac{1 - \epsilon_{1}}{\epsilon_{1}} + \frac{1}{F_{12}} + \frac{1 - \epsilon_{2} A_{1}}{\epsilon_{2} A_{2}} \end{array}$ \bigcirc T (c) (iv) $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 0.9$ $T_1 = 10 + 273 \text{ K}$ $T_2 = 20 + 273 \text{ K}$ $Q_{12} = 4 \times 5.67 \times 10^{-8} \times (293 - 283) \times T_{12}^{-3}$ $\frac{1-0.9}{0.9} + 1 + \frac{1-0.9}{0.9} \times 1$ () $\overline{T}_{12}^{3} = (293 + 283)^{3}$ $Q_{12} = 44.3 \text{ W/m^2 of glass}$

$$\overline{II}(b)(iv) \text{ cont};$$

$$Inserting \text{ there we get}$$

$$\overline{I_{ij2}} = hr(T_{1} - T_{2}) = \frac{4\sigma(T_{1} - T_{2})}{\frac{1 - \epsilon_{1}}{\epsilon_{1}A_{1}T_{12}} + \frac{1 - \epsilon_{2}}{\epsilon_{2}A_{2}T_{2}^{3}}}$$
3.2

For
$$T_1/T_2 \approx 1$$
, we get:
 $T_{ij} = \frac{4 - E_1 + \frac{1}{F_{12}} (T_1 - T_2)}{\frac{1 - E_1}{E_1} + \frac{1}{F_{12}} + \frac{1 - E_2 A_1}{E_2 A_2}}$

4.2

TH (b) Text = 0°C RH ext = 65% Tint = 21°C RH int = 40% Attic : Tattic = Text Arca artic = 90 m² n = 0.3 ACH Z floor = 3×106 sm-1 Volume = 120 m³ Air gap : b = 0.003 mL = 0.2 mArea of gap = 2x (0.6 + 0.8) x b $M_{air} = 18 \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/ms} (data book)$ (1) Air Pressure Diffreence h = 4m $\Delta P_{s} = P_{273} g H \left(\frac{273}{T_{p}} - \frac{273}{T_{i}} \right)$ 0 $f_{273} = 1.2 \text{ kg/m^3} (\text{data book})$ $g = 9.8 \text{ m/s^2} (\text{data book})$ $\Delta p_{s} = 1.2 \times 9.8 \times 4 \left(\begin{array}{c} 2.73 \\ 2.73 \\ 2.73 + 6 \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{c} 2.73 \\ 2.73 + 21 \end{array}$ = 3.36

4.3 (ii) Air Leakage $6'e = \frac{1 \cdot 8 \ P}{2 \cdot A^2 gap} \left(data book \right)$ Calculate $\frac{1.8 \times 1.2}{2 \times [2(0.6 + 0.8) \times 0.003]^2}$ # 15306 Calculate Sq = 12 UL (databook) b² Agap $= \frac{12 \times 18 \times 10^{-6} \times 0.2}{(0.003)^2 \times (2 \times (0.6 + 0.8) \times 0.003)}$ 571.43 = Ra = VS2 + 4AP.Se - Sg (data 2Se NS2 + 4AP.Se - Sg (data book) => 5 × 10-3 m3/s Moisture Balance under Steady State Ve M Valtic Ra Vallie = Ra Vs (Ti) RHi + 1/3600 V vs (Tent) RHen neg Ra + 1/3600 V Re $\frac{V_s(T_i)}{V_s(T_o)} = \frac{18.32 g/m^3}{4.85 g/m^3} \frac{fable}{data book}$

4.4

 $V_{\text{altric}} = 4.57$ Retalive humidely in attic $V_{attric} \times 100 = 94\%$ $V_{s}(0)$ Very damp attic ! In ching Moisture Diffusion (1) Ra Ve M Vattic M Vi A/Z floor Vi => (Ra + A Zproor) Vs (Ti) RHi + 1/3600 V. Vs (Toxt) RHcki Ra + A + 1/3600 V Z/100 => 4.57 very little effect compared to moisture transfer by convection 0