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1 (a) Consider the following gradient descent algorithm

$$
\mathbf{h}(n)=\mathbf{h}(n-1)+\mu(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{R} \mathbf{h}(n-1)), \quad n>0,
$$

where $\mathbf{R}$ is a positive definite square matrix, $\mathbf{h}(0)$ and $\mathbf{p}$ are vectors, and $\mu$ is a scalar.
(i) Assuming $\mathbf{h}(n)$ converges to a limit $\mathbf{h}^{*}$, find $\mathbf{h}^{*}$.
(ii) Deduce conditions on $\mu$ that would ensure $\mathbf{h}(n)$ converges regardless of the initial vector $\mathbf{h}(0)$ chosen. Carefully explain how the eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathbf{R}$ influence the convergence speed. (Hint: you may use the fact that $\mathbf{A}^{k} \rightarrow 0$ when all the eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ have modulus strictly less than one.)
(b) The gradient descent algorithm is modified to

$$
\mathbf{h}(n)=\mathbf{h}(n-1)+\mu \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{R} \mathbf{h}(n-1)), \quad n>0,
$$

where the step-size is now $\mu \mathbf{S}$ for some matrix $\mathbf{S}$ and scalar $\mu$. Find the optimal matrix $\mathbf{S}$ that reduces the sensitivity of the convergence of $\mathbf{h}(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{h}^{*}$ on the eigenvalue spread of the matrix $\mathbf{R}$.
(c) Adaptive filters are commonly used for prediction. The aim is to form a linear predictor of the real valued signal $\{x(n)\}$ using only noisy measurements of it:

$$
u(n)=x(n)+v(n)
$$

where $v(n)$ is zero mean white noise with variance $\sigma_{v}^{2}$ and $E\{x(n) v(m)\}=0$ for all integers $n, m$.
(i) Give the $M$-tap Least Mean Square (LMS) algorithm, with all quantities carefully defined, for designing a linear predictor for $\{x(n)\}$. (You may use the following standard notation: write the LMS algorithm as $\mathbf{h}(n)=\mathbf{h}(n-1)+\cdots$ where $\mathbf{h}(n)$ is the vector of filter coefficients being updated by the LMS algorithm.)
(ii) State the range of values of step-size for which the LMS converges in mean. Express this limit point,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\{\mathbf{h}(n)\},
$$

in terms of the autocorrelation matrix of $\{x(n)\}$.
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2 We have repeated observations of a random variable $X$ through

$$
Y_{n}=X+V_{n} \quad \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots
$$

where $\left\{V_{n}\right\}$ is an independent and identically distributed zero-mean scalar noise sequence, independent of $X$, with variance $E\left(V_{n}^{2}\right)=\sigma_{v}^{2}$. Also, $E(X)=0$ and $E\left(X^{2}\right)=\sigma_{0}^{2}$.
(a) Let $\widehat{X}_{n-1}$ be an estimate of $X$ using $\left\{Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n-1}\right\}$. Upon receiving $Y_{n}$, the estimate of $X$ is updated to

$$
\widehat{X}_{n}=G_{n}\left(Y_{n}-\widehat{X}_{n-1}\right)+\widehat{X}_{n-1} .
$$

(i) Find $\widehat{X}_{0}$ which results in the estimate being unbiased for all $n$.
(ii) Find the value of the gain $G_{n}$ that minimises the mean square error $E\left\{\left(\widehat{X}_{n}-X\right)^{2}\right\}$. Carefully detail your derivation.
(b) The Kalman filter for estimating $X$ can be expressed as

$$
\widehat{X}_{n}=\widehat{X}_{n-1}+\frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{n \sigma_{0}^{2}+\sigma_{v}^{2}}\left(Y_{n}-\widehat{X}_{n-1}\right) .
$$

(i) Using this equation find an expression for $\widehat{X}_{n}$ in terms of $\widehat{X}_{0}$ and $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$.
(ii) Compute the variance of the Kalman filter estimate and that of the sample mean estimate,

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}
$$

and thus conclude which estimator is better.
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3 Consider the following Markov random process

$$
X_{k+1}=X_{k}+W_{k+1}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots
$$

where $X_{0}=0$ and $W_{1}, W_{2}, \ldots$ is a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with common probability mass function (pmf) $f(i)$ such that $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} f(i)=1$. That is, $W_{k}$ (for $k=1,2, \ldots$ ) are integer valued random variables.
(a) Find $P_{i, j}=\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{k+1}=j \mid X_{k}=i\right)$.
(b) Let

$$
Y_{k}=X_{k}+d V_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

where $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots$ are independent Gaussian random variables with zero mean and unit variance and $d$ is a positive constant.
(i) Find $p\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ which is the joint probability mass and density function of $X_{1}, Y_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, Y_{n}$ evaluated at $x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n}$.
(ii) Given the conditional pmf $p\left(x_{n} \mid y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, find $p\left(x_{n+1} \mid y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n+1}\right)$.
(c) Let $X_{1: n}^{1}, X_{1: n}^{2}, \ldots, X_{1: n}^{N}$ be $N$ independent samples from $p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, the joint probability mass function of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$.
(i) Give the values of the weights $w_{n}^{1}, w_{n}^{2}, \ldots, w_{n}^{N}$ so that

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{n}^{i}
$$

is an unbiased estimate of $p\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$.
(ii) Hence construct an importance sampling estimate of

$$
\sum_{x_{1}=-\infty}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{x_{n}=-\infty}^{\infty} H\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \mid y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)
$$

where $H\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a real-valued function of interest.
(iii) Using sequential importance sampling, extend this to an importance sampling estimate of

$$
\sum_{x_{n+1}=-\infty}^{\infty} h\left(x_{n+1}\right) p\left(x_{n+1} \mid y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n+1}\right)
$$

where $h\left(x_{n+1}\right)$ is a real-valued function of interest.
(cont.
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(d) Let $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{M}$ be independent and identically distributed random variables with pmf

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(J=j)=w_{n}^{j} /\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\right) w_{n}^{i} .
$$

Show that the following resampled estimate

$$
\frac{1}{M}\left(H\left(X_{1: n}^{J_{1}}\right)+\ldots+H\left(X_{1: n}^{J_{M}}\right)\right)
$$

has the same expected value as the importance sampling estimate constructed in part (c)(ii).

## Version SSS/4

4 Let $X$ be an integer valued random variable with probability mass function (pmf) $p(i)=c / i^{2}$ for $i>0$ and $p(i)=0$ otherwise. Constant $c$ is chosen to ensure that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p(i)=1$. We have repeated observations of the random variable $X$ through

$$
Y_{n}=X+V_{n} \quad \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots
$$

where $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots$ are independent Gaussian random variables with zero mean and unit variance.
(a) Find $p_{k}(i)=p\left(X=i \mid Y_{1}=y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}=y_{k}\right)$, which is the conditional pmf of $X$ given observations $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}$.
(b) Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{N}$ be $N$ independent samples from a pmf $q(i)$ where $q(i)=0$ for $i<0$.
(i) Using $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{N}$, give the importance sampling estimate of $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_{k}(i) h(i)$, where $h$ is some real-valued function of interest.
(ii) Give the importance sampling estimate of $p\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)$ when $c$ is unknown.
(c) Assume $c$ is known.
(i) Give the importance sampling estimate of $p\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)$ and show that it is unbiased.
(ii) Calculate the variance of the importance sampling estimate of $p\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)$ and show that it can be written as

$$
\frac{1}{N} p\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)^{2}\left(-1+\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q(i) \frac{p_{k}(i)}{q(i)} \frac{p_{k}(i)}{q(i)}\right)
$$

(iii) Find the optimal choice for $q(i)$.
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