

Student name:		CRSID:		Module number:	
---------------	--	--------	--	----------------	--

Feedback to the student

See also comments in the text

Very good	<b>Good</b>	Needs improvmt
-----------	-------------	----------------

C O N T E N T	<p><b>Completeness, quantity of content:</b> Has the report covered all aspects of the lab? Has the analysis been carried out thoroughly?</p>			
	<p><b>Correctness, quality of content</b> Is the data correct? Is the analysis of the data correct? Are the conclusions correct?</p>			
	<p><b>Depth of understanding, quality of discussion</b> Does the report show a good technical understanding? Have all the relevant conclusions been drawn?</p>			
	Comments:			
P R E S E N T A T I O N	<p><b>Structure, organisation of content</b> Has the report content been structured clearly and in a logical order?</p>			
	<p><b>Attention to detail, typesetting and typographical errors</b> Is the report free of typographical errors? Are the figures/tables/references presented professionally?</p>			
	Comments:			

Raw report mark	/ 10
Penalty for lateness	

*The weighting of comments is not intended to be equal, and the relative importance of criteria may vary between modules. A good report should attract about 7 marks.*

*2 marks / week or part week.  
Please refer to the [online](#) information regarding our extension policy.*

Marker:

Date: