3A5 SOLUTIONS 2022 – Thermodynamics & Power Generation

Examiners' comments:

Q1. *Equations of state and Maxwell relations*. Nearly all candidates were able to derive the Maxwell relation and most correctly applied the Gibbs relation in part (a). Most spotted that the throttle was isenthalpic in part (b) but there were no completely correct attempts at the rest of this part of the question, with many students incorrectly manipulating the partial differential relations. Determining the critical temperature from the van der Waal's EOS was tackled well by many, though a significant number got lost in the algebra.

Q2. *Chemical exergy and ammonia as fuel.* Nearly all candidates were able to derive the maximum work expression, though in some cases the derivations lacked rigour and clarity. In part (b) most candidates could see which equations to combine to determine the Gibbs free energy change for oxidation of ammonia, though relatively few carried this through to the correct numerical result. Most then went off on a tangent in computing the exergy density, not realising they only needed to multiply by mass density. Very few made much headway with the minimum work required for air separation.

Q3. Compressed Air Energy Storage (CAES). This was a new topic this year, which (along with the length of the question's text) may have deterred many candidates. Part (a) on the exergy density of isobaric CAES was very similar to an examples paper question. There were numerous correct attempts at this part, but several candidates did not spot that the storage was isobaric. *T-s* diagrams were drawn well in most cases for part (b), but few candidates knew how to correctly apply exergy analysis.

Q4. *Steam plant and biofuel combustion*. This question began with a straightforward analysis of a superheated Rankine cycle. This part was generally well done, although indifferent accuracy in the use of the steam chart resulted in a considerable spread of numerical answers. There were some well-constructed answers to the subsequent analysis of biofuel composition, but many candidates found this difficult. Relatively few candidates produced a convincing discussion of the technical issues around Carbon Capture and Storage.

Dr A.J. White and Prof. R.S. Cant May 2022

Q1. (a) (i) The isotherms are horizontal near A => h \$ fn (P), which is evidence for 16 behaviour. The pressure is low, hence instacular are well spaced , so intermolecular forces will be small. [2]

(i) On isober: Tds = dh - Udg $\therefore \qquad ds = \frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{T_{3}(p)}$ $\therefore \qquad \Delta s \simeq \frac{500}{373} = \frac{1.34 \text{ kJ}}{\text{kJ}}$ [3]

[2]

 $\begin{array}{ccc} (6) & g = h - Ts \\ \therefore & dg = dh - Tds - sdT \end{array}$ = $dh - (dh - \sigma dp) - sdT$ = $\sigma dp - sdT \Rightarrow \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \partial g \\ \partial p \end{pmatrix}; s = - \begin{pmatrix} \partial g \\ \partial T \end{pmatrix}$ · (Py) = - (Ps)

(c) SFEE etc. ⇒ h = coust. for threttle $dh = \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial p}\right) dT + \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial p}\right) dp = 0$ = cpdt + $(\stackrel{h}{\Rightarrow}_{P})$ dp = 0 $\therefore \quad \left(\underbrace{\partial T}_{\partial P} \right)_{h} = -\frac{1}{c_{P}} \left(\underbrace{\partial h}_{P} \right) \qquad (1)$

But Tds = dh - vdp $\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \begin{pmatrix} a_{p} \\ \neg p \end{pmatrix}_{T} \end{array} = T \begin{pmatrix} a_{p} \\ \neg p \\ \neg T \end{pmatrix} + U \\ = -T \begin{pmatrix} a_{p} \\ \neg T \end{pmatrix}_{p} + U \\ \begin{pmatrix} a_{T} \\ \neg T \end{pmatrix}_{p} \end{array}$ $p(v-b) = RT = \frac{pv}{P} = \frac{r}{P} = \frac{v-b}{T}$ $\therefore \qquad \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial q}\right)_{T} = -T\left(\frac{U-b}{T}\right) + U = b$ & from (1) above: (DT) = - b OP/n = - b CP b is the "excluded volume" and must be portax; is is cp ∴ for <0 ⇒ ΔT >0 (prosure drops through throthe) 8 (d) At critical point, $(\frac{\partial P}{\partial v})_{T} = (\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial v^2})_{T} = 0$ (i.e., paint of influxion on the Te isotherm) $p = \frac{R_{I}}{\sigma - b} - \frac{\alpha}{\sigma^{2}}$ $\frac{\partial P}{\partial U_{1}} = \frac{-RT}{(U-b)^{2}} + \frac{2a}{U^{3}} = 0 \implies \frac{RT_{c}}{(U-b)^{2}} = \frac{2a}{U^{2}}$ (2) $\begin{pmatrix} \partial^2 p \\ \partial v^2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2RT}{(r-6)^3} - \frac{6\alpha}{5^3} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{RT}{(r-6)^3} = \frac{3\alpha}{v_1^4}$ ଓ) (2)/(3): (v-6) = ⅔ v ⇒ v = 36 Sug in (2) => Te = $\frac{2\alpha}{R} \left(\frac{2b}{3}\right)^2 = \frac{8\alpha}{27Rb} = \frac{8\times 1680}{27\times 461.5\times 0.00F} = \frac{634.5K}{R}$ This is a reasonably good estimate - the correct value (databook) is 647.1 K, and

the can der weak' equation is renained for its malest accuracy!

5

Q2. (a) Con Downard Downar Ne and any excess Oz come and leave at B&To so have no effect. Per kind, - Q - Wmax = Ap - He SFER: ()where R & P dente reactants & products respectively. $SFSE: -\bar{Q} = T_0 \left(\bar{S_P} - \bar{S_R} \right)$ (2) ()-(2): Wmax = HR - HP - To (SR - SP) $= -\Delta \overline{H}_{70} + T_0 \Delta \overline{S}_{70}$ = - Data (all products and ractante being at to & To) For H2, - NETO = + RTo lukps = 8314 x 298.15x 92.207 = 228.6 MJ | 2mol Wmax = 114.3 MJ/kg · [5] . ۹ $NH_3 + \frac{3}{4}O_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}N_2 + \frac{3}{2}H_2O$ 6 (1) [] (ii) We need liter for this reaction, but it is not available in the data book directly. Instead at contine: (RS) $H_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightleftharpoons H_2O$ ₹H2 + 1/2 N2 NH3 (R9) 3∕2 R5 - R9: NH3 + 3/4 02 = 1/ N2 + 3/ HD as required

= = AGT, RS - AGT, R9 -1 Altro = -RT. (3/2 lnkp,5 - In kp,9) $= 8314 + 298.5 + (1.5 \times 92.207 - 6.593) = 326.5 \text{ MJ} \text{ kmd}$ = $W_{Max} = \frac{3265}{19.2} = 19.2 \text{ MJ} \text{ kg}$ [5] **.** . WMax $D_{mark} = \overline{W}_{mark} = \frac{3265}{17} = \frac{19.2}{M5} M5/eg$ • • (ii) PE = PWmer = 600 × 19.2 = 11.5 45/m2 $e_{E}^{H_2} = \frac{p}{RT} \omega_{max} = \frac{10^6 \times 2}{8314 \times 298.15} \times 114.3 = 92.2 \text{ MJ/m}^2$ [3] More bran 100 times grater for Acumusnia ! (c) Per kond of air: 16 Court. T Wmin = + AFPO = AFO - TO ASPO = + TO ASMIR = - RTo (XozhnXoz + Xozhn Xoz) = - 8314×298.15× (0.79 m0.79 + 0.21 m 0.21) = 1.274 MJ / kud oir. I kind of air poduces 0.79 kind N2 1 kg NHz requires $\frac{1}{2x17} = \frac{1}{34}$ kmd N2 :. $W_{sel}, M_{inv} = \frac{1}{34} \times \frac{1.274}{0.79} = 0.047 \text{ MJ per kg of NHz}$ Air separation likely to be by liquefaction in pactice, involving numerous inversible paceness. The estimate is thus unrealistically live (but noutheless small ef. Il's for oridation of NHz). [5]

Q
Q
(a) Air is supplied at const.
$$\beta \otimes T$$

 $f \otimes T_{1} = T_{0}$
 $f \otimes T_{1} = T_{0}$
 $f \otimes T_{1} = T_{0}$
 $f \otimes T_{0} = m \left(\frac{b}{b} \otimes 1 \right)$
 $f \otimes T_{0} = \frac{bV}{b} + \frac{b}{b} \otimes \frac{b}{b} = \frac{bV}{b} + \frac{b}{b} + \frac{b}{b} = \frac{b}{b} + \frac{b}{b} + \frac{b}{b} = \frac{b}{b} + \frac{b}{b} + \frac{b}{b} = \frac{b}{b} = \frac{b}{b} + \frac{b}{b} = \frac{b}{b} = \frac{b}{b} + \frac{b}{b} = \frac{b}{b}$

(ii) 12 there is no lass in HXI or HX2 then the every transford to the thermal oil equals the exercy doop in air. $= m\left(b_1 - b_2\right) = m\varphi\left(T_1 - T_0 - T_0 t_1\left(\frac{T_1}{T_0}\right)\right)$ · Exergy stocd in each tank $= m C_{\rho T_{0}} \begin{pmatrix} T_{1} \\ T_{0} \end{pmatrix} - 1 - l_{m} \begin{pmatrix} T_{1} \\ T_{0} \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} T_{1} \\ T_{0} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{3}{8} \frac{3}{5} \frac{1973}{10} = 1.973 \implies \Delta E_{\text{MARK}} = \frac{72.28 \times 10^{3} \times 1005 \times 100}{2.47 \times 10} \times (0.973 - 1.1.973)$ $= 0.742 \times 10^{12} \text{ (} \approx 206 \text{ MWh})$

RANONAL EFFICIENCY EXELGI STOLED ≤ OF CHARGE RADGESS work input <u>859.4 + 2 × 206</u> = 92.8 % 5 Ξ 1370

2

3

(c) (i) See T-s diagram above An air cooler is required after the first turbine to col the air back to To, otherwise the first store cannot be coded beck to its initial state (To). $= \frac{T_{1} - T_{0}'}{T_{1} - T_{0}} = \frac{T_{1} \left(1 - \beta^{2}\right)}{T_{1} - T_{0}}$ (ii) Ner = WT + WZ Wei + Wez $= \frac{1.973 \left(1 - 8.5^{-\frac{(0.4x0.9)}{1.4}}\right)}{1.4}$

Nei = <u>85.8%</u>

1.973 -1



 $7748LES: h_{1} = \frac{121.4}{5} \frac{125}{149}$ $748LES: h_{2} = \frac{123.4}{5} \frac{125}{149}$ $748LES: h_{3} = \frac{121.4}{5} \frac{125}{149}$ $(i) h_{3} - h_{48} = \frac{121.4}{5} \frac{121.4}{12553.7 - 121.4}$ $(i) h_{2} = \frac{121.4}{5} \frac{121.4}{12553.7 - 121.4}$ = 0.85 [or use chart]

(ii) coorde output ~ h3-hq = 1273 k5/kg hed in put = h3-h2 = 3338-6 k5/kg

·· 12Th ~ 0.38

(b) $P = 500 \text{ MW}; \Rightarrow \dot{Q}_{10} = \frac{500}{0.382} = 1309 \text{ MW}$

8

(i) Broning compartion: -0.42 C needs 0.42 x 32 = 1.12 kg O2 / kg fuel 0.08 H needs 0.08 x 16 = 0.64 mg or mg fiel BUT 0.48 kg Oz in fuel Moss frection of O_2 in air = $O_2 1 \times 32$ = 0.233 0.21+32+0.79×28 $\therefore \text{ Stachionetre combusterin} \qquad \underbrace{1.12 + 0.64 - 0.48}_{0.253} = 5.49 \text{ kg air}$ $1 \text{ kg gf fuel needs} \qquad 0.253$ $\therefore \text{ FOR } 15 \text{ for Excess Arre}; \text{ AFR} = 6.31 \text{ kg kg [4]}$ (ii) sfee Air Prods. - & = Hp - Hr => Q = Hr - Hp = (He - Hpo) + (Hro - Hp) - (Hp - Hp) = mf {LCV - (1+AFR) (Tp-To)} $\therefore \dot{mp} = \frac{\dot{Q}}{LCV - (1+AFE)Cpp(Tp-T.)} = \frac{1309 \times 10^{3}}{18 \times 10^{3} \times 7.31 \times 1.15 \times (20-25)} = \frac{74.7 \text{ hg/s}}{\text{[4]}}$ (c) Discuss the cubon-neutrality of tioners, set against the difficiently of CCS such as con segmention for flee gos, transfor of con to storage; selection / maintenance of storage sites. [4]