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## Version GV/2

1 A self-boring pressuremeter test is carried out in a clay deposit at a depth of 3 m . The water table is 0.5 m below ground level. The clay is overconsolidated and has a bulk unit weight $\gamma=18 \mathrm{kN} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ and a critical state angle of friction $\varphi_{\mathrm{cs}}^{\prime}=23^{\circ}$. The pressuremeter has an initial diameter of 82 mm . As the pressure is increased, displacement transducers measure the average increase in radius as follows:

| Pressure <br> $\left(\mathrm{kN} \mathrm{m}^{-2}\right)$ | Increase in radius <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 |
| 50 | 0 |
| 75 | 0.02 |
| 100 | 0.08 |
| 150 | 0.31 |
| 200 | 0.90 |
| 250 | 2.67 |
| 270 | 4.10 |

(a) Deduce an approximate value of the coefficient of horizontal earth pressure at rest, $K_{0}$.
(b) For this particular clay, the following relationship has been derived:

$$
K_{0}=K_{0, \mathrm{nc}} O C R^{0.9}
$$

where $K_{0, \text { nc }}$ is the coefficient of horizontal earth pressure at rest in a normally consolidated state, and $O C R$ is the overconsolidation ratio. Using your answer from part (a), estimate the maximum vertical effective stress to which the clay has been subjected.
(c) By plotting the pressuremeter test results given above in a suitable form, estimate the undrained shear strength of the clay.
(d) A transducer incorporated in the pressuremeter measures the pore water pressure in the soil at the cavity wall. Estimate the pore pressure that is measured when the pressure reaches $270 \mathrm{kN} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$.

## Version GV/2

2 Figure 1 shows a soil element A at a depth of 8 m below the original ground surface and beneath the centre line of a proposed embankment. The water table is 1 m below ground level. The embankment is to be constructed increasing steadily its height, and the pore water pressures at A will be measured during construction using a piezometer. The soil is an overconsolidated clay with an undrained shear strength $s_{\mathrm{u}}=100 \mathrm{kPa}$, a critical state friction angle $\varphi^{\prime}=23^{\circ}$, a bulk unit weight $\gamma=18 \mathrm{kN} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$, and a coefficient of earth pressure at rest $K_{0}=1$. The embankment is constructed rapidly under undrained conditions. It can be assumed that the increase in total vertical stress at A is directly proportional to the embankment height and that the increase in total horizontal stress at A is $25 \%$ of the increase in total vertical stress. Yield of the clay at A first occurs when the embankment reaches a height of $H_{\mathrm{y}}$.


Fig. 1
(a) Assuming plane strain conditions, plot the total and effective stress paths for the soil element A in terms of $t, s^{\prime}$, and $s$ and calculate the increase of vertical total stress, the pore pressures recorded by the piezometer at A, and the safety factor $F=s_{\mathrm{u}} / t$ when the embankment height is $H_{\mathrm{y}}$.
(b) Construction of the embankment is halted when it reaches a height $H=1.5 H_{\mathrm{y}}$ and excess pore water pressures in the clay are allowed to dissipate to their long term values. Sketch the total and effective stress paths for this stage in terms of $t, s^{\prime}$, and $s$, and compute the change of pore water pressure at A and the mobilised angle of friction at the end of this stage.
(c) For the same construction history, show the possible total and effective stress path in terms of $t, s^{\prime}$, and $s$ for element B, which is located at a depth of 8 m as shown in Fig. 1.

## Version GV/2

3 A triaxial compression test is performed on a reconstituted London clay sample, which was consolidated isotropically to a stress equal to $600 \mathrm{kN} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ and then swelled back to $150 \mathrm{kN} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ prior to shearing. Use the Cam-Clay model to answer the following questions.
(a) Compute the specific volume of the sample after consolidation and swelling, prior to shearing. Plot the Cam-Clay yield surface at the maximum consolidation stress in $p^{\prime}-q$ space.
(b) If the sample is sheared by keeping the radial stress $\sigma_{\mathrm{r}}$ constant while increasing the axial stress $\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}$ in drained conditions, plot the corresponding stress path in the $p^{\prime}-q$ diagram drawn for you answer to part (a). Find the deviator stress and the specific volume at yield and at critical state.
(c) If the sample is sheared by keeping the radial stress $\sigma_{\mathrm{r}}$ constant while reducing the axial stress $\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}$ in drained conditions, plot the corresponding stress path in the $p^{\prime}-q$ diagram drawn for you answer to part (a). Find the deviator stress and the specific volume at yield and at critical state.
(d) What yield and ultimate strengths would be expected if the sample were sheared by keeping the radial stress $\sigma_{\mathrm{r}}$ constant while increasing the axial stress $\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}$ in undrained conditions, and what would the pore pressures be at these states? Plot the corresponding stress path in the $p^{\prime}-q$ diagram drawn for you answer to part (a).

## Version GV/2

4 A long sandy soil layer is overlain by a 1 m thick cover soil as shown in Fig. 2. The sandy soil has a thickness of 3 m and is underlain by a sandstone formation. The slope angle is $24^{\circ}$ for all layers. The in situ void ratio of the sandy layer is $e=0.70$ and the water table is at the interface between the sandy soil and the cover soil. The sandy layer consists of a sub-angular quartz sand with minimum and maximum void ratios $e_{\min }=0.40$ and $e_{\text {max }}=0.85$, a specific gravity $G_{\mathrm{S}}=2.65$, and a critical state friction angle $\varphi^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{cs}}=36^{\circ}$. The unit weight of the cover soil is $\gamma=18 \mathrm{kN} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$.
(a) Compute the saturated unit weight of the sandy soil and evaluate the total and effective normal stress and the shear stress acting at the interface between the sandy soil and the sandstone. Determine the mobilised friction angle $\varphi^{\prime}$ mob ${ }^{\prime}$
(b) Estimate the peak friction angle of the sandy soil at the interface between the sandy soil and the sandstone and discuss the safety of the slope against failure at the sandstone interface.
(c) Due to heavy rain, the water pressure in the sandy soil may rise. Estimate the pore pressure that is required to fail the slope at the cover soil-sand interface and at the sandy soil-sandstone interface. Which is more critical?
(d) Describe qualitatively how the factor of safety against collapse for a finite slope in drained conditions might be identified using the method of slices.


Fig. 2
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## General definitions



Soil structure
considered as


Specific gravity of solid
Voids ratio
Specific volume
Porosity
Water content
Degree of saturation
Unit weight of water

Unit weight of soil

Buoyant saturated unit weight

Unit weight of dry solids

Air volume ratio
$\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$
$\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}$
$\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}=1+\mathrm{e}$
$\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{e} /(1+\mathrm{e})$
$\mathrm{w}=\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$
$S_{r}=V_{w} / V_{v}=\left(w_{s} / e\right)$
$\gamma_{\mathrm{w}}=9.81 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$\gamma=W_{t} / V_{t}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{e}}{1+\mathrm{e}}\right) \gamma_{\mathrm{w}}$
$\gamma^{\prime}=\gamma-\gamma_{\mathrm{w}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}-1}{1+\mathrm{e}}\right) \gamma_{\mathrm{w}}$
$\gamma_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}}{1+\mathrm{e}}\right) \gamma_{\mathrm{w}}$
$A=V_{a} / V_{t}=\left(\frac{e\left(1-S_{r}\right)}{1+e}\right)$

## Soil classification (BS1377)

| Liquid limit | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{L}}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Plastic Limit | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{P}}$ |
| Plasticity Index | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{P}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{L}}-\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{P}}$ |
| Liquidity Index | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{\mathrm{w}-\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{P}}}{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{L}}-\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{P}}}$ |
| Activity | $\frac{\mathrm{Plasticity} \mathrm{Index}^{\text {Percentage of particles finer than } 2 \mu \mathrm{~m}}}{\text { Sensitivity }}=$ |
| Unconfined compressive strength <br> of an undisturbed specimen | (at the same water content) |

## Classification of particle sizes:-

| Boulders | larger than |  | 200 mm |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cobbles | between | 200 mm | and | 60 mm |
| Gravel | between | 60 mm | and | 2 mm |
| Sand | between | 2 mm | and | 0.06 mm |
| Silt | between | 0.06 mm | and | 0.002 mm |
| Clay | smaller than | 0.002 mm (two microns) |  |  |

D equivalent diameter of soil particle
$D_{10}, D_{60}$ etc. particle size such that $10 \%$ (or $60 \%$ ) etc.) by weight of a soil sample is composed of finer grains.
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{U}} \quad$ uniformity coefficient $\mathrm{D}_{60} / \mathrm{D}_{10}$

## Seepage

Flow potential:
(piezometric level)


Total gauge pore water pressure at $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{u}=\gamma_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{h}=\gamma_{\mathrm{w}}(\overline{\mathrm{h}}+\mathrm{z})$
$B: u+\Delta u=\gamma_{w}(\mathrm{~h}+\Delta \mathrm{h})=\gamma_{\mathrm{w}}(\overline{\mathrm{h}}+\mathrm{z}+\Delta \overline{\mathrm{h}}+\Delta \mathrm{z})$
Excess pore water pressure at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}: \overline{\mathrm{u}}=\gamma_{\mathrm{w}} \overline{\mathrm{~h}} \\
& \mathrm{~B}: \overline{\mathrm{u}}+\Delta \overline{\mathrm{u}}=\gamma_{\mathrm{w}}(\overline{\mathrm{~h}}+\Delta \overline{\mathrm{h}})
\end{aligned}
$$

Hydraulic gradient $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$

$$
\mathrm{i}=-\frac{\Delta \overline{\mathrm{h}}}{\Delta \mathrm{~s}}
$$

Hydraulic gradient (3D)

$$
\mathrm{i}=-\nabla \overline{\mathrm{h}}
$$

Darcy's law $\quad \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{ki}$
$\mathrm{V}=$ superficial seepage velocity
$\mathrm{k}=$ coefficient of permeability
Typical permeabilities:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{D}_{10}>10 \mathrm{~mm} & : \text { non-laminar flow } \\
10 \mathrm{~mm}>\mathrm{D}_{10}>1 \mu \mathrm{~m} & : \mathrm{k} \cong 0.01\left(\mathrm{D}_{10} \text { in } \mathrm{mm}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \\
\text { clays } & : \mathrm{k} \cong 10^{-9} \text { to } 10^{-11} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}
\end{array}
$$

Saturated capillary zone

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{4 T}{\gamma_{w} d} & : \text { capillary rise in tube diameter } \mathrm{d} \text {, for surface tension } \mathrm{T} \\
\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{c}} \approx \frac{3 \times 10^{-5}}{D_{10}} \mathrm{~m} & : \text { for water at } 10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text {; note air entry suction is } \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{c}}=-\gamma_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{c}}
\end{array}
$$

## One-Dimensional Compression

- Fitting data

Typical data (sand or clay)


Mathematical model


Plastic compression stress $\sigma^{\prime}{ }_{c}$ is taken as the larger of the initial aggregate crushing stress and the historic maximum effective vertical stress. Clay muds are taken to begin with $\sigma^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}} \approx 1 \mathrm{kPa}$.

Plastic compression (normal compression line, ncl):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{v} & =\mathrm{v}_{\lambda}-\lambda \ln \sigma^{\prime} \quad \text { for } \sigma^{\prime}=\sigma_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime} \\
\mathrm{v} & =\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}+\kappa\left(\ln \sigma_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}-\ln \sigma_{\mathrm{v}}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{K}}-\kappa \ln \sigma_{\mathrm{v}}^{\prime} \quad \text { for } \sigma^{\prime}<{\sigma_{c}}_{\mathrm{c}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Elastic swelling and recompression line (esrl):

Equivalent parameters for $\log _{10}$ stress scale:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Terzaghi's compression index } & \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}=\lambda \log _{10} \mathrm{e} \\
\text { Terzaghi's swelling index } & \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}=\kappa \log _{10} \mathrm{e}
\end{array}
$$

## - Deriving confined soil stiffnesses

Secant 1D compression modulus
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}=\left(\Delta \sigma^{\prime} / \Delta \varepsilon\right)_{\mathrm{o}}$

Tangent 1D plastic compression modulus
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{v} \sigma^{\prime} / \lambda$

Tangent 1D elastic compression modulus

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{v} \sigma^{\prime} / \kappa
$$

## One-Dimensional Consolidation

Settlement

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & =\int \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{v}}(\Delta \mathrm{u}-\overline{\mathrm{u}}) \mathrm{dz} & =\int(\Delta \mathrm{u}-\overline{\mathrm{u}}) / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{dz} \\
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{v}} & =\frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{v}} \gamma_{\mathrm{w}}} & =\frac{\mathrm{kE}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\gamma_{\mathrm{w}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Coefficient of consolidation

Dimensionless time factor

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{v}} \quad=\frac{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}
$$

Relative settlement
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{\rho}{\rho_{\text {ult }}}$

## - Solutions for initially rectangular distribution of excess pore pressure



Approximate solution by parabolic isochrones:
Phase (i) $\mathrm{L}^{2}=12 \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{t}$

$$
R_{v}=\sqrt{\frac{4 T_{v}}{3}} \quad \text { for } T_{v}<1 / 12
$$

Phase (ii) $\quad b=\exp \left(1 / 4-3 T_{v}\right)$

$$
R_{v}=\left[1-2 / 3 \exp \left(1 / 4-3 T_{v}\right)\right] \quad \text { for } T_{v}>1 / 12
$$

Solution by Fourier Series:

| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 0 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 0 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.45 | 0.51 | 0.62 | 0.70 | 0.77 | 0.82 | 0.89 | 0.94 |

## Stress and strain components

## - Principle of effective stress (saturated soil)

total stress $\sigma=$ effective stress $\sigma^{\prime}+$ pore water pressure u

- Principal components of stress and strain

| sign convention | compression positive |
| :--- | :--- |
| total stress | $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$ |
| effective stress | $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}, \sigma_{3}^{\prime}$ |
| strain | $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}$ |

- Simple Shear Apparatus (SSA)
( $\varepsilon_{2}=0$; other principal directions unknown)
The only stresses that are readily available are the shear stress $\tau$ and normal stress $\sigma$ applied to the top platen. The pore pressure $u$ can be controlled and measured, so the normal effective stress $\sigma^{\prime}$ can be found. Drainage can be permitted or prevented. The shear strain $\gamma$ and normal strain $\varepsilon$ are measured with respect to the top platen, which is a plane of zero extension. Zero extension planes are often identified with slip surfaces.
work increment per unit volume $\quad \delta \mathrm{W}=\tau \delta \gamma+\sigma$ ' $\delta \varepsilon$
- Biaxial Apparatus - Plane Strain (BA-PS) $\quad\left(\varepsilon_{2}=0\right.$; rectangular edges along principal axes $)$

Intermediate principal effective stress $\sigma_{2}{ }^{\prime}$, in zero strain direction, is frequently unknown so that all conditions are related to components in the 1-3 plane.

| mean total stress | $\mathrm{s}=\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{3}\right) / 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| mean effective stress | $\mathrm{s}^{\prime}=\left(\sigma_{1}{ }^{\prime}+\sigma_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right) / 2=\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{u}$ |
| shear stress | $\mathrm{t}=\left(\sigma_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\sigma_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right) / 2=\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right) / 2$ |
|  | $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}=\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{3}$ |
| volumetric strain | $\varepsilon_{\gamma}=\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{3}$ |
| shear strain |  |
| work increment per unit volume | $\delta \mathrm{W}=\sigma_{1}{ }^{\prime} \delta \varepsilon_{1}+\sigma_{3}{ }^{\prime} \delta \varepsilon_{3}$ |
|  | $\delta \mathrm{~W}=\mathrm{s}^{\prime} \delta \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}+\mathrm{t} \delta \varepsilon_{\gamma}$ |

providing that principal axes of strain increment and of stress coincide.

- Triaxial Apparatus - Axial Symmetry (TA-AS) (cylindrical element with radial symmetry)
total axial stress
total radial stress
total mean normal stress
effective mean normal stress
deviatoric stress
stress ratio
axial strain
radial strain
volumetric strain
triaxial shear strain
work increment per unit volume

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{\mathrm{a}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}+\mathrm{u} \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{r}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}+\mathrm{u} \\
& \mathrm{p}=\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \sigma_{\mathrm{r}}\right) / 3 \\
& \mathrm{p}^{\prime}=\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}+2 \sigma_{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}\right) / 3=\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{u} \\
& \mathrm{q}=\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}-\sigma_{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}=\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}-\sigma_{\mathrm{r}} \\
& \eta=\mathrm{q} / \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \\
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{a}} \\
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} \\
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} \\
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{2}{3}\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{a}}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\right) \\
& \delta \mathrm{W}=\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime} \delta \varepsilon_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \sigma_{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime} \delta \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} \\
& \delta \mathrm{~W}=\mathrm{p}^{\prime} \delta \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}+\mathrm{q} \delta \varepsilon_{\mathrm{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Types of triaxial test include:
isotropic compression in which $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ increases at zero q
triaxial compression in which q increases either by increasing $\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}$ or by reducing $\sigma_{\mathrm{r}}$ triaxial extension in which q reduces either by reducing $\sigma_{a}$ or by increasing $\sigma_{r}$

## - Mohr's circle of stress (1-3 plane)

Sign of convention: compression, and counter-clockwise shear, positive


Poles of planes $P$ : the components of stress on the N plane are given by the intersection N of the Mohr circle with the line PN through P parallel to the plane.

## Elastic stiffness relations

These relations apply to tangent stiffnesses of over-consolidated soil, with a state point on some swelling and recompression line ( $\kappa$-line), and remote from gross plastic yielding.

One-dimensional compression (axial stress and strain increments $\mathrm{d} \sigma^{\prime}, \mathrm{d} \varepsilon$ )

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { compressibility } & \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{d} \varepsilon / \mathrm{d} \sigma^{\prime} \\
\text { constrained modulus } & \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}=1 / \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{v}}
\end{array}
$$

Physically fundamental parameters
shear modulus

$$
\mathrm{G}^{\prime}=\mathrm{dt} / \mathrm{d} \varepsilon_{\gamma}
$$

bulk modulus

$$
\mathrm{K}^{\prime}=\mathrm{dp} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} / \mathrm{d} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}
$$

Parameters which can be used for constant-volume deformations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { undrained shear modulus } & G_{u}=G^{\prime} \\
\text { undrained bulk modulus } & K_{u}=\infty \quad \text { (neglecting compressibility of water) }
\end{array}
$$

Alternative convenient parameters

| Young's moduli | $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ (effective), $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$ (undrained) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Poisson's ratios | $v^{\prime}$ (effective), $v_{u}=0.5$ (undrained) |

Typical value of Poisson's ratio for small changes of stress: $v^{\prime}=0.2$

Relationships: $\quad G=\frac{E}{2(1+v)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K=\frac{E}{3(1-2 v)} \\
& E_{0}=\frac{E(1-v)}{(1+v)(1-2 v)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cam Clay

- Interchangeable parameters for stress combinations at yield, and plastic strain increments

| System | Effective <br> normal <br> stress | Plastic <br> normal <br> strain | Effective <br> shear <br> stress | Plastic <br> shear <br> strain | Critical <br> stress <br> ratio | Plastic <br> normal <br> stress | Critical <br> normal <br> stress |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General | $\sigma^{*}$ | $\varepsilon^{*}$ | $\tau^{*}$ | $\gamma^{*}$ | $\mu^{*}{ }_{\text {crit }}$ | $\sigma^{*}{ }_{c}$ | $\sigma^{*}{ }_{\text {crit }}$ |
| SSA | $\sigma^{\prime}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\tau$ | $\gamma$ | $\tan \phi_{\text {crit }}$ | $\sigma^{\prime}{ }_{c}$ | $\sigma^{\prime}{ }_{\text {crit }}$ |
| BA-PS | $\mathrm{s}^{\prime}$ | $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}$ | t | $\varepsilon_{\gamma}$ | $\sin \phi_{\text {crit }}$ | $\mathrm{s}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{s}^{\prime}{ }_{\text {crit }}$ |
| TA-AS | $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ | $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}$ | q | $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{s}}$ | M | $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}{ }_{\text {crit }}$ |

## - General equations of plastic work

Plastic work and dissipation

Plastic flow rule - normality
$\sigma^{*} \delta \varepsilon^{*}+\tau^{*} \delta \gamma^{*}=\mu^{*}{ }_{\text {crit }} \sigma^{*} \delta \gamma^{*}$
$\frac{\mathrm{d} \tau *}{\mathrm{~d} \sigma *} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~d} \gamma *}{\mathrm{~d} \varepsilon *}=-1$

- General yield surface

$$
\frac{\tau *}{\sigma^{*}}=\mu^{*}=\mu_{\text {crit. }}^{*} \ln \left[\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{c}} *}{\sigma^{*}}\right]
$$

## - Parameter values which fit soil data

|  | London Clay | Weald Clay | Kaolin | Dog's Bay <br> Sand | Ham River Sand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda *$ | 0.161 | 0.093 | 0.26 | 0.334 | 0.163 |
| к* | 0.062 | 0.035 | 0.05 | 0.009 | 0.015 |
| Г* at 1 kPa | 2.759 | 2.060 | 3.767 | 4.360 | 3.026 |
| $\sigma *_{\mathrm{c}, \text { virgin }} \mathrm{kPa}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | Loose 500 | Loose 2500 |
|  |  |  |  | Dense 1500 | Dense 15000 |
| $\phi_{\text {crit }}$ | $23^{\circ}$ | $24^{\circ}$ | $26^{\circ}$ | $39^{\circ}$ | $32^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\text {comp }}$ | 0.89 | 0.95 | 1.02 | 1.60 | 1.29 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\text {extn }}$ | 0.69 | 0.72 | 0.76 | 1.04 | 0.90 |
| $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | 0.78 | 0.43 | 0.74 | ------------- | ------------- |
| $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{P}}$ | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.42 | ------------- | ------------- |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\text {s }}$ | 2.75 | 2.75 | 2.61 | 2.75 | 2.65 |

Note: 1) parameters $\lambda *, \kappa *, \Gamma *, \sigma *_{\mathrm{c}}$ should depend to a small extent on the deformation mode, e.g. SSA, BA-PS, TA-AS, etc. This may be neglected unless further information is given.
2) Sand which is loose, or loaded cyclically, compacts more than Cam Clay allows.

- The yield surface in $\left(\sigma^{*}, \tau^{*}\right.$, v) space

- Regions of limiting soil behaviour


## Variation of Cam Clay yield surface

Zone D:denser than critical, "dry", dilation or negative excess pore pressures, Hvorslev strength envelope, friction-dilatancy theory, unstable shear rupture, progressive failure

Zone L: looser than critical, "wet", compaction or positive excess pore pressures, Modified Cam Clay yield surface, stable strain-hardening continuum

## Strength of soil: friction and dilation

- Friction and dilatancy: the saw-blade model of direct shear


Intergranular angle of friction at sliding contacts $\phi_{\mu}$
Angle of dilation $\psi_{\text {max }}$
Angle of internal friction $\phi_{\text {max }}=\phi_{\mu}+\psi_{\text {max }}$

- Friction and dilatancy: secant and tangent strength parameters


Secant angle of internal friction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau=\sigma^{\prime} \tan \phi_{\max } \\
& \phi_{\max }=\phi_{\text {crit }}+\Delta \phi \\
& \Delta \phi=\mathrm{f}\left(\sigma_{\text {crit }}^{\prime} / \sigma^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

typical envelope fitting data:
power curve
$\left(\tau / \tau_{\text {crit }}\right)=\left(\sigma^{\prime} / \sigma_{\text {crit }}^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}$
with $\alpha \approx 0.85$


Tangent angle of shearing envelope
$\tau=c^{\prime}+\sigma^{\prime} \tan \phi^{\prime}$
$c^{\prime}=\mathrm{f}\left(\sigma_{\text {crit }}^{\prime}\right)$
typical envelope:
straight line
$\tan \phi^{\prime}=0.85 \tan \phi_{\text {crit }}$
$c^{\prime}=0.15 \tau_{\text {crit }}$

## - Friction and dilation: data of sands

The inter-granular friction angle of quartz grains, $\phi_{\mu} \approx 26^{\circ}$. Turbulent shearing at a critical state causes $\phi_{\text {crit }}$ to exceed this. The critical state angle of internal friction $\phi_{\text {crit }}$ is a function of the uniformity of particle sizes, their shape, and mineralogy, and is developed at large shear strains irrespective of initial conditions. Typical values of $\phi_{\text {crit }}\left( \pm 2^{\circ}\right)$ are:
well-graded, angular quartz or feldspar sands $40^{\circ}$
uniform sub-angular quartz sand $36^{\circ}$
uniform rounded quartz sand $32^{\circ}$
Relative density $\quad I_{D}=\frac{\left(e_{\max }-e\right)}{\left(e_{\max }-e_{\min }\right)} \quad$ where:
$e_{\text {max }}$ is the maximum void ratio achievable in quick-tilt test
$\mathrm{e}_{\text {min }}$ is the minimum void ratio achievable by vibratory compaction
Relative crushability $\quad \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=\ln \left(\sigma_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right) \quad$ where:
$\sigma_{c}$ is the aggregate crushing stress, taken to be a material constant, typical values being: 80000 kPa for quartz silt, 20000 kPa for quartz sand, 5000 kPa for carbonate sand.
$\mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ is the mean effective stress at failure which may be taken as approximately equal to the effective stress $\sigma^{\prime}$ normal to a shear plane.

Dilatancy contribution to the peak angle of internal friction is $\Delta \phi=\left(\phi_{\max }-\phi_{\text {crit }}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$
Relative dilatancy index $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}-1$ where:
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R}}<0$ indicates compaction, so that $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}$ increases and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R}} \rightarrow 0$ ultimately at a critical state $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R}}>4$ to be limited to $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R}}=4$ unless corroborative dilatant strength data is available

The following empirical correlations are then available

| plane strain conditions | $\left(\phi_{\max }-\phi_{\text {crit }}\right)$ | $=0.8 \psi_{\max }=5 \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R}}$ degrees |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| triaxial strain conditions | $\left(\phi_{\max }-\phi_{\text {crit }}\right)$ | $=3 \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R}}$ degrees |
| all conditions | $\left(-\delta \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}} / \delta \varepsilon_{1}\right)_{\max }$ | $=0.3 \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R}}$ |

The resulting peak strength envelope for triaxial tests on a quartz sand at an initial relative density $I_{D}=1$ is shown below for the limited stress range $10-400 \mathrm{kPa}$ :


$$
\phi_{\max }>\phi_{\text {crit }}+9^{\circ} \text { for } \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}=1, \sigma^{\prime}=400 \mathrm{kPa}
$$

- Mobilised (secant) angle of shearing $\phi$ in the 1 - $\mathbf{3}$ plane


$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin \phi & =\mathrm{TS} / \mathrm{OS} \\
& =\frac{\left(\sigma_{1}^{\prime}-\sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right) / 2}{\left(\sigma_{1}^{\prime}+\sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right) / 2} \\
{\left[\frac{\sigma_{1}{ }^{\prime}}{\sigma_{3}{ }^{\prime}}\right] } & =\frac{(1+\sin \phi)}{(1-\sin \phi)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Angle of shearing resistance:
at peak strength $\phi_{\max }$ at $\left[\frac{\sigma_{1}{ }^{\prime}}{\sigma_{3}{ }^{\prime}}\right]_{\text {max }}$
at critical state $\phi_{\text {crit }}$ after large shear strains

- Mobilised angle of dilation in plane strain $\psi$ in the $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ plane


$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin \psi & =\mathrm{VO} / \mathrm{VZ} \\
& =-\frac{\left(\delta \varepsilon_{1}+\delta \varepsilon_{3}\right) / 2}{\left(\delta \varepsilon_{1}-\delta \varepsilon_{3}\right) / 2} \\
& =-\frac{\delta \varepsilon_{\mathrm{V}}}{\delta \varepsilon_{\gamma}} \\
{\left[\frac{\delta \varepsilon_{1}}{\delta \varepsilon_{3}}\right] } & =-\frac{(1-\sin \psi)}{(1+\sin \psi)}
\end{aligned}
$$

at peak strength $\psi=\psi_{\text {max }}$ at $\left[\frac{\sigma_{1}{ }^{\prime}}{\sigma_{3}{ }^{\prime}}\right]_{\text {max }}$
at critical state $\psi=0$ since volume is constant

Plasticity: Cohesive material $\tau_{\text {max }}=c_{u} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}\text { or } & s_{u}\end{array}\right)$

- Limiting stresses

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Tresca } & \left|\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right|=q_{u}=2 c_{u} \\
\text { von Mises } & \left(\sigma_{1}-p\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{2}-p\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{3}-p\right)^{2}=\frac{2}{3} q_{u}^{2}=2 c_{u}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

where $q_{u}$ is the undrained triaxial compression strength, and $c_{u}$ is the undrained plane shear strength.

Dissipation per unit volume in plane strain deformation following either Tresca or von Mises,

$$
\delta \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{u}} \delta \varepsilon_{\gamma}
$$

For a relative displacement x across a slip surface of area $A$ mobilising shear strength $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{u}}$, this becomes

$$
\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{Ac}_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{X}
$$

## - Stress conditions across a discontinuity



Rotation of major principal stress $\theta$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta & =90^{\circ}-\alpha \\
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{B}}-\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{A}} & =\Delta \mathrm{s}=2 \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{u}} \sin \theta \\
\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~B}}-\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~A}} & =2 \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{u}} \sin \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

In limit with $\theta \rightarrow 0$

$$
\mathrm{ds}=2 \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{~d} \theta
$$

Useful example:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta=30^{\circ} \\
\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~B}}-\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~A}}=\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{u}} \\
\tau_{\mathrm{D}} / \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{u}}=0.87 \\
\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~A}}=\text { major principal stress in zone } \mathrm{A} \\
\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~B}}=\text { major principal stress in zone } \mathrm{B}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Plasticity: Frictional material $\left(\tau / \sigma^{\prime}\right)_{\max }=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a n }} \phi$

## - Limiting stresses

$$
\sin \phi=\left(\sigma_{1 \mathrm{f}}-\sigma^{\prime}{ }_{3 \mathrm{f}}\right) /\left(\sigma^{\prime}{ }_{1 \mathrm{f}}+\sigma^{\prime}{ }_{3 \mathrm{f}}\right)=\left(\sigma_{1 \mathrm{f}}-\sigma_{3 \mathrm{f}}\right) /\left(\sigma_{1 \mathrm{f}}+\sigma_{3 \mathrm{f}}-2 \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)
$$

where $\sigma_{1 f}{ }_{1 f}$ and $\sigma^{\prime}{ }_{3 f}$ are the major and minor principal effective stresses at failure, $\sigma_{1 f}$ and $\sigma_{3 f}$ are the major and minor principle total stresses at failure, and $u_{s}$ is the steady state pore pressure.

Active pressure:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{\mathrm{v}}^{\prime}>\sigma_{\mathrm{h}}^{\prime} \\
& \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\sigma_{\mathrm{v}}^{\prime} \text { (assuming principal stresses are horizontal and vertical) } \\
& \sigma_{3}^{\prime}=\sigma_{\mathrm{h}}^{\prime} \\
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=(1-\sin \phi) /(1+\sin \phi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Passive pressure: $\quad$|  | $\sigma_{\mathrm{h}}^{\prime}>\sigma_{\mathrm{v}}^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\sigma_{\mathrm{h}}^{\prime}($ assuming principal stresses are horizontal and vertical) |
|  | $\sigma_{3}^{\prime}=\sigma_{\mathrm{v}}^{\prime}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=(1+\sin \phi) /(1-\sin \phi)=1 / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ |

## - Stress conditions across a discontinuity



Rotation of major principal stress

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta=\pi / 2-\Omega \\
& \sigma_{1 \mathrm{~A}}= \begin{array}{l}
\text { major principal stress } \\
\text { in zone } \mathrm{A}
\end{array} \\
& \sigma_{1 \mathrm{~B}}=\begin{array}{l}
\text { major principal stress in } \\
\text { zone } \mathrm{B}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\tan \delta=\tau_{\mathrm{D}} / \sigma_{\mathrm{D}}^{\prime}
$$



## Empirical earth pressure coefficients following one-dimensional strain

Coefficient of earth pressure in 1D plastic compression (normal compression)

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{onc}}=1-\sin \phi_{\mathrm{crit}}
$$

Coefficient of earth pressure during a 1D unloading-reloading cycle (overconsolidated soil)

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{nc}}\left[1+\frac{(\mathrm{n}-1)\left(\mathrm{n}_{\max }^{\alpha}-1\right)}{\left(\mathrm{n}_{\max }-1\right)}\right]
$$

where $\mathrm{n} \quad$ is current overconsolidation ratio (OCR) defined as $\sigma_{\mathrm{v} \text {, max }}^{\prime} / \sigma_{\mathrm{v}}^{\prime}$
$\mathrm{n}_{\text {max }}$ is maximum historic OCR defined as $\sigma_{\mathrm{v}, \text { max }}^{\prime} / \sigma_{\mathrm{v} \text {, min }}^{\prime}$
$\alpha \quad$ is to be taken as $1.2 \sin \phi_{\text {crit }}$

## Cylindrical cavity expansion

Expansion $\delta \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{o}}$ caused by increase of pressure $\delta \sigma_{\mathrm{c}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{c}}-\sigma_{\mathrm{o}}$
At radius r: small displacement $\rho=\frac{\delta \mathrm{A}}{2 \pi \mathrm{r}}$

$$
\text { small shear strain } \quad \gamma=\frac{2 \rho}{\mathrm{r}}
$$

Radial equilibrium: $\quad \mathrm{r} \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma \mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{dr}}+\sigma_{\mathrm{r}}-\sigma_{\theta}=0$
Elastic expansion (small strains) $\quad \delta \sigma_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{G} \frac{\delta \mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{A}}$
Undrained plastic-elastic expansion $\delta \sigma_{c}=c_{u}\left[1+\ln \frac{G}{c_{u}}+\ln \frac{\delta A}{A}\right]$

## Infinite slope analysis



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{u}=\gamma_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{w}} \cos ^{2} \beta \\
& \sigma=\gamma \mathrm{z} \cos ^{2} \beta \\
& \sigma^{\prime}=\left(\gamma \mathrm{z}-\gamma_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{w}}\right) \cos ^{2} \beta \\
& \tau=\gamma \mathrm{z} \cos \beta \sin \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\tan \phi_{\text {mob }}=\frac{\tau}{\sigma^{\prime}}=\frac{\tan \beta}{\left(1-\frac{\gamma_{w} z_{w}}{\gamma z}\right)}
$$

## Shallow foundation design

## Tresca soil, with undrained strength $s_{u}$

## Vertical loading

The vertical bearing capacity, $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{f}}$, of a shallow foundation for undrained loading (Tresca soil) is:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ult}}}{\mathrm{~A}}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{u}}+\gamma \mathrm{h}
$$

$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ult}}$ and A are the ultimate vertical load and the foundation area, respectively. h is the embedment of the foundation base and $\gamma$ (or $\gamma^{\prime}$ ) is the appropriate density of the overburden.

The exact bearing capacity factor $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ for a plane strain surface foundation (zero embedment) on uniform soil is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=2+\pi \tag{Prandtl,1921}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Shape correction factor:

For a rectangular footing of length L and breadth B (Eurocode 7):

$$
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}}=1+0.2 \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{L}
$$

The exact solution for a rough circular foundation $(D=B=L)$ is $q_{f}=6.05 s_{u}$, hence $s_{c}=1.18 \sim 1.2$.

## Embedment correction factor:

A fit to Skempton's (1951) embedment correction factors, for an embedment of $h$, is:

$$
d_{c}=1+0.33 \tan ^{-1}(h / B) \quad \text { (or } h / D \text { for a circular foundation) }
$$

## Combined V-H loading

A curve fit to Green's lower bound plasticity solution for V-H loading is:
If $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{V}_{\text {ult }}>0.5: \quad \frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ult}}}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1-\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ult}}}} \quad$ or $\quad \frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}_{\text {ult }}}=1-\left(2 \frac{\mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ult}}}-1\right)^{2}$
If $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{V}_{\text {ult }}<0.5: \quad \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{\text {ult }}=\mathrm{Bs}_{\mathrm{u}}$

## Combined V-H-M loading

With lift-off: combined Green-Meyerhof
Without lift-off: $\left(\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{V}_{\text {ult }}}\right)^{2}+\left[\frac{\mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{M}_{\text {ult }}}\left(1-0.3 \frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}_{\text {ult }}}\right)\right]^{2}+\left|\left(\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}_{\text {ult }}}\right)^{3}\right|-1=0$ (Taiebet \& Carter 2000)

## Frictional (Coulomb) soil, with friction angle $\phi$

## Vertical loading

The vertical bearing capacity, $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{f}}$, of a shallow foundation under drained loading (Coulomb soil) is:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ult}}}{\mathrm{~A}}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{q}} \sigma_{\mathrm{v} 0}^{\prime}+\mathrm{s}_{\gamma} \mathrm{N}_{\gamma} \frac{\gamma^{\prime} \mathrm{B}}{2}
$$

The bearing capacity factors $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\gamma}$ account for the capacity arising from surcharge and self-weight of the foundation soil respectively. $\sigma_{v 0}^{\prime}$ is the in situ effective stress acting at the level of the foundation base.

For a strip footing on weightless soil, the exact solution for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}=\tan ^{2}(\pi / 4+\phi / 2) \mathrm{e}^{(\pi \tan \phi)} \tag{Prandtl1921}
\end{equation*}
$$

An empirical relationship to estimate $\mathrm{N}_{\gamma}$ from $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is (Eurocode 7):

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\gamma}=2\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{q}}-1\right) \tan \phi
$$

Curve fits to exact solutions for $\mathrm{N}_{\gamma}=\mathrm{f}(\phi)$ are (Davis \& Booker 1971):

Rough base:

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\gamma}=0.1054 \mathrm{e}^{9.6 \phi}
$$

Smooth base: $\quad \mathrm{N}_{\gamma}=0.0663 \mathrm{e}^{9.3 \phi}$

## Shape correction factors:

For a rectangular footing of length L and breadth B (Eurocode 7):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{q}}=1+(\mathrm{B} \sin \phi) / \mathrm{L} \\
& \mathrm{~s}_{\gamma}=1-0.3 \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

For circular footings take $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{B}$.

## Combined V-H loading

The Green/Sokolovski lower bound solution gives a V-H failure surface.


## Combined V-H-M loading

With lift-off- drained conditions - use Butterfield \& Gottardi (1994) failure surface shown above

$$
\left[\frac{\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ult}}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{h}}}\right]^{2}+\left[\frac{\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{BV}_{\mathrm{ult}}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}}\right]^{2}+\left[\frac{2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{BV}_{\mathrm{ult}}\right)\left(\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ult}}\right)}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}}\right]=\left[\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ult}}}\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ult}}}\right)\right]^{2}
$$

where $\quad \mathrm{C}=\tan \left(\frac{2 \rho\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{h}}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)}{2 \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}}\right)$
(Butterfield \& Gottardi, 1994)

Typically, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{h}} \sim 0.5, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}} \sim 0.4$ and $\rho \sim 15^{\circ}$. Note that $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{h}}$ is the friction coefficient, $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{V}=\tan \phi$, during sliding.

