(a)

$$\mathcal{F}_{l}(P(s), K(s)) = T_{\bar{w} \to \bar{z}}$$

$$\bar{z} = P_{11}\bar{w} + P_{12}\bar{u}$$

$$\bar{y} = P_{21}\bar{w} + P_{22}\bar{u}$$

$$\bar{u} = K\bar{y}$$

$$\bar{u} = K(P_{21}\bar{w} + P_{22}\bar{u})$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{u} = (I - KP_{22})^{-1}KP_{21}\bar{w}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{z} = P_{11}\bar{w} + P_{12}\bar{u} = [P_{11} + P_{12}(I - KP_{22})^{-1}KP_{21}]\bar{w}$$

 So

$$\mathcal{F}_l(P(s), K(s)) = T_{\bar{w} \to \bar{z}} = P_{11} + P_{12}(I - KP_{22})^{-1}KP_{21}$$

(b) Comparing with the formulation in the data sheet of the \mathcal{H}_2 optimal control problem we have

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrices A_K, B_K, C_K in the state space realization of the controller are (from the data sheet),

$$A_K = A - B_2^T F - HC_2$$
$$B_K = -H$$
$$C_K = F$$

where $F = B_2^T X$, $H = Y C_2^T$. Substituting the expressions given for X, Y we have

$$A_{K} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \alpha & 1 \\ -2\alpha & 1 - \alpha \end{bmatrix},$$
$$B_{K} = -H = -\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \alpha,$$
$$C_{K} = F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \alpha$$

(c) LQR problem. Comparing with the form in the data sheet, we have

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ Q = C_1^T C_1, \ R = 1, \ B = B_2, \ C = C_1$$

$$u_{opt} = -R^{-1}B^T X x$$

where X is the stabilising solution of the CARE in (b), and is hence as given in (b). Optimal cost

$$J_{opt} = x_0 X x_0 = 2\alpha$$

(d) In (b) we have an output feedback \mathcal{H}_2 optimal control problem, and the optimal controller involves an observer to estimate the state, together with a state feedback policy acting on the estimated state. The problem in (c), on the other hand, is a state feedback optimal control problem that can also be formulated as an \mathcal{H}_2 optimal control problem.

4F3 cribs

Question 1 Q2

(a) The value function is

$$V(x,k) = \min_{u_k, \dots, u_{h-1}} \left\{ x_h^2 + \sum_{i=k}^{h-1} (x_i^2 + u_i^2) \right\}$$

where x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_h is the sequence generated with inputs u_k, \ldots, u_{h-1} given that $x_k = x$, i.e. it is the minimum remaining cost from step k onwards given that $x_k = x$. The dynamic programming equation is

$$V(x,k) = \min_{u} \left\{ x^2 + u^2 + V(x_{k+1},k+1) \right\}$$

= $\min_{u} \left\{ x^2 + u^2 + V(x+u,k+1) \right\}$

(b) Substituting $V(x,k) = g(k)x^2$ into the dynamic programming equation we get

$$g(k)x_k^2 = \min_u \left\{ x^2 + u^2 + g(k+1)(x+u)^2 \right\}$$
(1)

Differentiate w.r.t. u and set equal to 0 to find the minimizing value of u, i.e.

$$2u + 2g(k+1)(x+u) = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow u = -\frac{xg(k+1)}{1+g(k+1)}$$

 So

$$\begin{split} \min_{u} \left\{ . \right\} &= x^2 + \left[\frac{xg(k+1)}{1+g(k+1)} \right]^2 + g(k+1) \left[x - \frac{xg(k+1)}{1+g(k+1)} \right]^2 \\ &= x^2 + x^2 \frac{xg^2(k+1)}{(1+g(k+1))^2} + \frac{x^2g(k+1)}{(1+g(k+1))^2} \\ &= x^2 \left(1 + \frac{g(k+1)}{1+g(k+1)} \right) \end{split}$$

Hence from (1) we have

$$g(k) = 1 + \frac{g(k+1)}{1 + g(k+1)}$$

(c) $h = 3, x_0 = 2$

$$g(h) = 1 \text{ since } V(x_h, h) = x_h^2$$

$$g(2) = 1 + \frac{g(3)}{1+g(3)} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3}{2}$$

$$g(1) = 1 + \frac{g(2)}{1+g(2)} = 1 + \frac{3}{2} = 1 + \frac{3}{5} = \frac{3}{5}$$

$$g(0) = 1 + \frac{g(1)}{1+g(1)} = 1 + \frac{8}{5} = \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{5} = \frac{1}{5}$$

Minimum cost is $V(x_0, 0) = 2^2 \times 21/13 = 84/13$

(d)

$$\tilde{J} = \tilde{x}_h^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} (\tilde{x}_k^2 + \tilde{u}_k^2)$$

Also the system under the transformation becomes

$$\tilde{x}_{k+1} = \alpha \tilde{x}_k + \alpha \tilde{u}_k$$

From the data sheet, or from the previous expressions with g(k+1) replaced with $\alpha^2 g(k+1)$, we have

$$\tilde{u}_k = -(1 + \alpha^2 X_{k+1})^{-1} \alpha^2 X_{k+1} \tilde{x}_k$$

where

$$X_{k-1} = 1 + \alpha^2 X_k - \alpha^2 X_k (1 + \alpha^2 X_k)^{-1} \alpha^2 X_k$$
$$= 1 + \alpha^2 X_k \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^2 X_k}{1 + \alpha^2 X_k} \right)$$
$$= 1 + \frac{\alpha^2 X_k}{1 + \alpha^2 X_k}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{u}_k &= -\frac{\alpha^2 X_{k+1}}{1 + \alpha^2 X_{k+1}} \tilde{x}_k \\ \Leftrightarrow u_k &= -\frac{\alpha^2 X_{k+1}}{1 + \alpha^2 X_{k+1}} x_k \end{split}$$

3) a) Open loop opti which problem Solved over Sindle Lovigon and Stanting Son measurement of Current State. First which of mosed and then process repeared for next measured state. b) $5(x) = q x_0^2 + u_0^2 + q x_1^2 + u_1^2$ = qx + 402 + q (2x + 40) + 41 = 5q xà + (1+q) u? + 4q xouo + n. minimum cleanty las 4, =0 $\frac{\partial 5}{\delta n} = 2(1+q)n + 4q x$ $\frac{\partial 5}{\delta n} = 2 \frac{\partial 4}{\partial 2} = \frac{1}{1+q} x$ Closed loop is den $\pi u_{r} = \left(2 - \frac{12}{1+9}\right) \chi_{u} = \frac{2}{1+9} \pi u_{r}$ =) pole al 2= 2 d 121 c1 41 2>1 1+2 c) here le tre as defined, ten can write $\sum_{k=0}^{n} q_{x}(l) + n(l) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} q_{x}(l) + h(l) + h(x)(n+1)$ when the find term representes the optimal cost from l = n+1onwards. Now need to find a terminul set which is invariant and constraint admissible who the control low a =1 >2 L>1, as courrol law is scalilising and so a suitable constraint admissible see 's but st. Is this invariance? $\begin{aligned} & |e_{3}, y_{1}| \neq k \quad (\leq \perp \ bhen \quad \exists y_{1} = 2 \neq k - L \neq k \\ & |e_{3}, y_{1}| \neq k \quad (\leq \perp \ bhen \quad \exists y_{1} = 2 \neq k - L \neq k \\ & |e_{3}, y_{1}| \in (2 - L) \cdot \frac{1}{L} = \frac{1}{L} - 1 = \frac{1 - L}{L} \in \frac{1}{L} \end{aligned}$ Hence, receding horizon coursed law is to minimie $\frac{1}{2}(9 \times 1) + 100) + 100 \times 100 + 100$ Subject to $\pi(u) = \pi(u)$ and apply the control has $\pi(u) = \pi^*$.

