## EGT3 ENGINEERING TRIPOS PART IIB

Tuesday 6 May 2014 2 to 3.30

## Module 4F3

## **OPTIMAL AND PREDICTIVE CONTROL**

Answer not more than three questions.

All questions carry the same number of marks.

The *approximate* percentage of marks allocated to each part of a question is indicated in the right margin.

Write your candidate number <u>not</u> your name on the cover sheet.

### STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS

Single-sided script paper

## SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS TO BE SUPPLIED FOR THIS EXAM

CUED approved calculator allowed Attachment: 4F3 Optimal and Predictive Control data sheet (2 pages) Engineering Data Book

# You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages of this question paper until instructed to do so.

1 Consider the linear discrete-time plant with state x(k), input u(k) and controlled output z(k) described by

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k), \qquad z(k) = Cx(k).$$

(a) Define the state and input increments  $\Delta x = x(k) - x(k-1)$  and  $\Delta u = u(k) - u(k-1)$ . If  $\Delta u$  (rather than *u*) is to be the manipulated variable determined by the control law, an equivalent system can be constructed of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta x(k+1) \\ z(k+1) \end{bmatrix} = F \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x(k) \\ z(k) \end{bmatrix} + G \Delta u(k).$$

(i) Derive the values of *F* and *G* in terms of *A*, *B* and *C*.

(ii) Let  $\Delta u_i$  denote a prediction of  $\Delta u$  at time k + i,  $\Delta x_i$  denote a prediction of  $\Delta x$  at time k + i, and  $z_i$  denote a prediction of z at time k + i. Define

$$\Delta \underline{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta u_0^T & \Delta u_1^T & \cdots & \Delta u_{N-1}^T \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

A given predictive controller is designed to minimise the cost function

$$J(\Delta x(k), z(k), \Delta \underline{\mathbf{u}}) = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_N \\ z_N \end{bmatrix}^T P \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_N \\ z_N \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_i \\ z_i \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_i \\ z_i \end{bmatrix} + \Delta u_i^T R \Delta u_i \right)$$

State sufficient conditions on *P*,  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  and *R* that will guarantee that  $J(\Delta x(k), z(k), \Delta \mathbf{u})$  is a convex function of  $\Delta \mathbf{u}$  for a given  $\Delta x(k)$  and z(k). [15%]

(iii) Why is convexity of the cost function important? [10%]

(b) The controller from part (a) must be modified so that the output *z* tracks a non-zero, but constant reference r(k) = r.

(i) Assuming a perfect plant-model match and no additional disturbances, derive an equation that would be satisfied by compatible steady state values  $x_{\infty}$  and  $u_{\infty}$ (*not* their respective increments). Specify conditions in terms of *A*, *B*, and *C* under which a suitable pair  $(x_{\infty}, u_{\infty})$  will exist for any *r*. When is this pair unique? [20%] (ii) Let  $\Delta x_{\infty}, z_{\infty}$  and  $\Delta u_{\infty}$  be steady-state values achieved by  $\Delta x(k), z(k)$  and  $\Delta u(k)$ respectively when the reference is tracked with zero error. What should the values of  $\Delta x_{\infty}, z_{\infty}$ , and  $\Delta u_{\infty}$  be? [10%] (iii) How should the cost function from part (a) be modified? [10%]

(iv) What are the advantages and disadvantages of using a plant model expressed in terms of  $\Delta u$  and  $\Delta x$  to design a constrained predictive controller? [15%]

[20%]

- 2 Model predictive control usually employs the receding horizon principle.
- (a) (i) Describe the receding horizon principle, in the context of predictive control. [20%]
  (ii) What are the main advantages and disadvantages of receding horizon control compared with infinite horizon control? [10%]
- (b) Consider the following linear discrete-time system

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k)$$

where x(k) is the state, and u(k) is the input. Let  $x_i$  and  $u_i$  be the predicted state and input at time k + i, i.e.  $x_0 = x(k)$ , and  $x_{i+1} = Ax_i + Bu_i$ , for i = 0, 1, ... Define

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} x_0^T & x_1^T & x_2^T & x_3^T \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad \underline{\mathbf{u}} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} u_0^T & u_1^T & u_2^T \end{bmatrix}^T$$

(i) Find matrices  $\Phi$  and  $\Gamma$  such that

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{x}(k) + \Gamma \underline{\mathbf{u}}$$

(ii) Suppose constraints are given in the form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ u_i \end{bmatrix} \le e, \quad i = 0, 1, 2$$
$$Tx_3 \le t$$

Find matrices F and G and vector g such that these constraints can be written as [15%]

$$F\underline{\mathbf{x}} + G\underline{\mathbf{u}} \leq g.$$

(iii) Using the results of parts (i) and (ii) find matrices *E* and *M* and a vector *h* in terms of  $\Phi$ ,  $\Gamma$ , *F*, *G* and *g* such that the constraints in (ii) can be expressed as [10%]

$$E\mathbf{\underline{u}} \le h + Mx(k).$$

(c) The final state  $x_N$  in the prediction horizon of length N, may be constrained to be in a subset of the state space that is admissible with respect to the constraint  $Cx + Du \le e$ , and positively invariant under a given stabilising control law, u = Kx.

(i) What is meant by a positively invariant admissible set? [10%]

(ii) Briefly outline reasons for choosing such a terminal constraint in receding horizon control. [15%]

[20%]

3 (a) For a discrete-time system satisfying the state equation

$$x_{k+1} = g(x_k, u_k)$$

with  $x_0$  given, it is desired to minimise the cost function

$$J_d(x_0, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{h-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} c_d(x_k, u_k) + J_h(x_h).$$

(i) Explain the concept of dynamic programming, and discuss its power and limitations. [20%]

(ii) Show that the corresponding dynamic programming equation for the "cost to go" or "value function" is: [20%]

$$V_d(x,k) = \min_{u_k} \left( c_d(x,u_k) + V_d(x_{k+1},k+1) \right).$$

(b) A continuous-time system satisfies the state equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t))$$

with x(0) given. It is desired to determine an input function  $u(\cdot)$  that minimises the cost function

$$J(x(\cdot), u(\cdot)) = \int_0^T c(x(\tau), u(\tau)) \,\mathrm{d}\tau + J_T(x(T))$$

(i) Using the discrete-time dynamic programming equation from part (a) derive the continuous-time dynamic programming equation (i.e. the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) Equation).

(Hint, let 
$$V(x,t) = \min_{u} (c(x,u)\delta t + V(x + \delta x, t + \delta t))$$
 and let  $\delta t \to 0$ ) [40%]

(ii) Let

$$f(x,u) = 2x + u$$
,  $c(x,u) = x^2 + u^2$ ,  $J_T(x) = 10x^2$ 

The corresponding value function has the form  $p(t)x(t)^2$ . Using the HJB equation, derive the differential equation that p satisfies, and state the boundary condition. [20%]

Version ENH/5

4 Consider the stable continuous-time plant

$$G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B$$

(a) Define the  $\mathcal{L}_2$  norm of a signal *u* as

$$\|u\|_2 = \sqrt{\int_0^\infty u(t)^T u(t) \,\mathrm{d}t}.$$

Considering a system y = G(s)u, state how the  $\mathcal{H}_2$  norm of the plant G(s),  $||G(s)||_2$ , relates  $||u||_2$  to y. Provide definitions of any further signal norms used in your answer and explain their significance. [20%]

(b) The observability gramian is defined as

$$W_o(t_1) \triangleq \int_0^{t_1} e^{A^T \tau} C^T C e^{A \tau} \, \mathrm{d} \tau.$$

(i) Show that if A is stable, and  $Q = \lim_{t_1 \to \infty} W_o(t_1)$ , then Q satisfies the Lyapunov Equation: [25%]

$$A^T Q + Q A + C^T C = 0.$$

(ii) Show that if A is stable, and  $Q = Q^T > 0$  (note the strict inequality) then (A,C) is observable. [20%]

(iii)	Vrite down an expression for the impulse response matrix $g(t)$ of the plant	
G(s) a	s defined above.	[5%]

(iv) Hence derive an expression for  $||G(s)||_2$  in terms of Q. [30%]

### **END OF PAPER**

Version ENH/5

THIS PAGE IS BLANK

#### 4F3 2014: Numerical answers

### Question 1.

(a)(i)  $F = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ CA & I \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $G = \begin{bmatrix} B \\ CB \end{bmatrix}$ (ii)  $P \ge 0$ ,  $Q_1 \ge 0$ ,  $Q_2 \ge 0$ ,  $R \ge 0$ . (R > 0 for uniqueness) (iii) Local = global optimum. Efficient algorithms. (b)(i)  $\begin{bmatrix} (A - I) & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{\infty} \\ u_{\infty} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ r \end{bmatrix}$  or  $\begin{bmatrix} I - A & -B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{\infty} \\ u_{\infty} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ r \end{bmatrix}$ Existence: Full row rank Uniqueness: Invertible (ii)  $\Delta x_{\infty} = 0$ ,  $\Delta u_{\infty} = 0$ ,  $z_{\infty} = r$ . (iii)  $z_i \leftarrow z_i - r$ ,  $z_N \leftarrow z_N - r$ . (iv) –

#### Question 2.

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{i}) - \\ &(\mathbf{i}) - \\ &(\mathbf{b})(\mathbf{i}) \ \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} I \\ A \\ A^2 \\ A^3 \end{bmatrix}, \ \Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ B \\ AB \\ A^2B \\ AB \\ B \\ A^2B \\ AB \\ B \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{i}\mathbf{i}) \ F = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ C \\ C \\ T \end{bmatrix}, \ G = \begin{bmatrix} D \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ g = \begin{bmatrix} e \\ e \\ e \\ t \end{bmatrix} \\ &(\mathbf{i}\mathbf{i}) \ (F\Gamma + G)\mathbf{\underline{u}} \le g - F\Phi x(k) \\ &(\mathbf{c})(\mathbf{i}) \\ & \mathbb{T} = \left\{ x : (C + DK)(A + BK)^i x \le e, \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Recursive feasibility of RHC in closed loop

### Question 3.

(a) – (b)(i) – (ii)  $-\dot{p}(t) = 1 - p(t)^2 + 4p(t), P(T) = 10.$ 

### Question 4.

(a)  $||y||_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} ||G(s)||_2 ||u||_2$ , where  $||y||_{\infty} = \sup_t \sqrt{y(t)^T y(t)}$ (b)(i) – (ii) – (iii)  $g(t) = Ce^{At}B$ (iv)  $||G(s)||_2 = \sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{\operatorname{trace}(B^T Q B)}$ E. N. Hartley (Principal Assessor) 12 May 2014.