# 4F5 Advanced Information Theory and Coding 2023 Crib

#### Question 1

- (a) If the second operand is divisible by 9, its digits must sum to a multiple of 9, hence  $i_2 = 0$  or 9. In Problem 1.3.3, we showed that the "casting out nines" method is a simple consequence of the property of remainders and implies that the sum modulo 9 of digits of the operands of a sum must be equal to the sum modulo 9 of the digits of the result. The sum is 1 on the left and  $i_2 + 2$  on the right, hence  $R_9(i_2 + 2) = 1$  implying that  $i_2 = 8$ . We can verify using a calculator that  $i_1 = 9$ . [10%]
- (b)  $R_{144}(100n) = 12$  implies that there exists a q such that 100n 144q = 12. Let us compute the greatest common divisor of 100 and 144 using the extended Euclid algorithm

$n_1$	$n_2$	a	b	a'	b'
144	100	1	0	0	1
44	100	1	-1	0	1
44	12	1	-1	-2	3
8	12	7	-10	-2	3
8	4	7	-10	-9	13
0	4	25	-36	-9	13

implying that  $gcd(100, 144) = 4 = -9 \times 144 + 13 \times 100$ . We can multiply this equation by 3 to yield  $12 = -27 \times 144 + 39 \times 100$  which yields a solution n = 39, i.e.,  $R_{144}(100 \times 39) = 12$  as required. Another solution could have been found by stopping the extended algorithm after the 3rd step when we found that  $12 = -2 \times 144 + 3 \times 100$ , giving the solution n = 3, i.e.,  $R_{144}(100 \times 3) = 12$ . [10%]

- (c) We note that  $143 = 11 \times 13$  and hence use the Chinese Remainder Theorem to determine the residues of 27 to be (5, 1) with respect to the moduli (11, 13). The inverse of 5 in multiplication mod 11 is easily determined by inspection to be 9, and the inverse of 1 in multiplication mod 13 is obviously 1, so the residues of the inverse of 27 are (9, 1). We can now either use the method described in the notes to obtain a number from its residues, or proceed by inspection to find an *n* such that  $R_{11}(13n + 1) = 9$ , which one readily finds to be n = 4, so the inverse of 27 is 53. [10%]
- (d) The multiplicative group of GF(8) has order 7 which is a prime number. Hence, irrespective of which irreducible polynomial of degree 3 is used to define the group (indeed, we are not given that polynomial!) the order of all non-neutral elements including X is 7, the order of the group. Hence,  $X^5 \cdot X^4 = X^{R_7(5+4)} = X^2$ . [10%]

(e) By row manipulation, we bring the generator matrix into systematic form

$$oldsymbol{G}_{ ext{sys}} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{I}_2 & oldsymbol{P} \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4 \ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and obtain the corresponding systematic parity-check matrix

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{\text{sys}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{P}^T & \boldsymbol{I}_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
[10%]

(f) (a) We precompute the exponential powers of 2

and obtain  $y_A = 2^{x_A} = 2^{27} = 2^{16+8+2+1} = 46 \times 20 \times 4 \times 2 = 44.$  [15%]

(b) We precompute the exponential powers of  $y_B$ 

and obtain the common secret  $y_B^{x_A} = 43^{27} = 43^{16+8+2+1} = 5 \times 51 \times 20 \times 43 = 56$ . The binary key is 56 in binary, i.e., 111000. [15%]

(c) The new method generates the public keys  $y_A = 2^{x'_A}$  and  $y_B = 2^{x'_B}$  and obtains a common secret because

$$s = y_B^{x'_A} = (2^{x'_B})^{x'_A} = 2^{x'_A x'_B} = (2^{x'_A})^{x'_B} = y_A^{x'_B}$$

where s stands for the common secret. However, note that we can express s in terms of  $x_A$  and  $x_B$  to obtain

$$s = 2^{x'_A x'_B} = 2^{2^{x_A} 2^{x_B}} = 2^{2^{x_A + x_B}}$$

where the power of 2 in the exponent is taken in  $\mathbb{Z}_{58}$  because the order of the multiplicative group of  $\mathbb{Z}_{59}$  is 58, whereas the sum in the "double-exponent" is in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\varphi(58)}$  where  $\varphi(58) = (2-1)(29-1) = 28$  is the Euler function of 58. This is because exponentation in  $\mathbb{Z}_{58}$  is over the multiplicative subgroup of invertible elements which has order  $\varphi(58) = 28$ . Hence, using double exponentiation reduces the search space for a brute force attack from 58 to 28 since there are only 28 possible values for the exponent of 2 that gives the common key, thereby weakening the method rather than strengthening it as claimed by Eve. [20%]

### Question 2

- (a) A binary single parity check bit achieves this. The easiest way to implement this is for the data on the last hard disk to be the bit-wise XOR of the other 4. If any single hard disk becomes unavailable, its content can be recovered by taking the bit-wise XOR of the remaining 4. [10%]
- (b) Only a Maximum Distance Separable (MDS) code can achieve this, such as a Reed-Solomon (RS) code. Any RS code of block length 5 can be used. For example, one could use an RS code over GF(256) which would have the advantage of operating directly on bytes. One would need to pick a primitive 5th root of unity rather than an element of the maximum order 255 to get a block length of 5. Since 5 divides 255, there is a good chance of there being an element of order 5. In such a setup, the 3 first hard

disks could contain information and the remaining 2 hard disks would contain the byte-wise two parity symbols of GF(256) obtained via a systematic encoder matrix from the corresponding information bytes in the first 3 hard disks. Alternatively, one could use an RS code of length 5 over GF(16), and operate on nibbles (half-bytes, 4 bits, as seen in the IA Microprocessor lab) instead of bytes. [10%]

- (c) We compute powers of X modulo  $\pi(X)$  to obtain  $X^0 = 1, X^1 = X, X^2, X^3, X^4 = 1 + X + X^2 + X^3, X^5 = X(1 + X + X^2 + X^4) = 1$  and conclude that the order of X in the multiplicative group is 5. Hence the code length is N = 5. [10%]
- (d) A parity-check matrix of an RS code consists of the first 2 rows of the DFT matrix

$$\boldsymbol{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & X & X^2 & X^3 & 1 + X + X^2 + X^3 \end{bmatrix}$$
[10%]

(e) We begin by transforming the parity-check matrix into systematic form

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{H} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & X & X^2 & X^3 & 1 + X + X^2 + X^3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} L_1 \\ &\rightarrow L_2 + X^3 L_1 \\ \boldsymbol{H'} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 + X^3 & X + X^3 & X^2 + X^3 & 0 & 1 + X + X^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha^8 & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^{10} & 0 & \alpha^7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} L_1 \\ &\rightarrow \alpha^{-7} L_2 \\ \boldsymbol{H''} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha & \alpha^7 & \alpha^3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 + X & 1 + X + X^2 & 1 + X + X^2 + X^3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \rightarrow L_1 + L_2 \\ L_2 \\ \boldsymbol{H}_{\text{sys}} &= \begin{bmatrix} X & X + X^2 & X + X^2 + X^3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 + X & 1 + X + X^2 & 1 + X + X^2 + X^3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^4 & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha & \alpha^7 & \alpha^3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

We now obtain the systematic encoder matrix from  $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{sys}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{P}^T & \boldsymbol{I}_2 \end{bmatrix}$  to

$$\mathbf{G}_{\text{sys}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{P} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{12} & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^7 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \alpha^4 & \alpha^3 \end{bmatrix} \\
= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & X & 1 + X \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & X + X^2 & 1 + X + X^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & X + X^2 + X^3 & 1 + X + X^2 + X^3 \end{bmatrix}$$
[20%]

(f) The erasures are in position 1,2 in the codeword. We begin by replacing the erasures with zeros and consider the received word

$$r = [1 + X + X^3, 0, 0, X, 0]$$

and compute its DFT

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{R} &= \boldsymbol{r}\boldsymbol{F} = [1 + X + X^3, 0, 0, X, 0] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & X & X^2 & X^3 & X^4 \\ 1 & X^2 & X^4 & X & X^3 \\ 1 & X^3 & X & X^4 & X^2 \\ 1 & X^4 & X^3 & X^2 & X \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [\alpha^{11}, 0, 0, \alpha^{12}, 0] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^9 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^3 \\ 1 & \alpha^9 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^6 \\ 1 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^3 & \alpha^9 \\ 1 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^9 & \alpha^{12} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [\alpha^{11} + \alpha^{12}, \alpha^{11} + \alpha^3, \alpha^{11} + \alpha^9, \alpha^{11} + 1, \alpha^{11} + \alpha^6] \\ &= [1 + X^3, X^2, 1 + X + X^2 + X^3, X + X^3, 1 + X] \\ &= [\alpha^8, \alpha^9, \alpha^3, \alpha^{14}, \alpha]. \end{split}$$

The first two symbols of the received vector in the frequency domain are non-zero, and since the codeword is zero in these positions we now know the first two symbols of the error vector in the frequency domain,  $[E_0, E_1] = [\alpha^8, \alpha^9]$ . The *D* transform of the recurrence relation is determined by the locations 1 and 2 of the erasures (or potential errors if those code digits weren't equal to zero), i.e.,

$$C(D) = (1 - \beta D)(1 - \beta^2 D) = 1 + (X + X^2)D + X^3D^2 = 1 + \alpha^{13}D + \alpha^6 D^2,$$

resulting in the recurrence relation

$$E_k + \alpha^{13} E_{k-1} + \alpha^6 E_{k-2} = 0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$E_k = \alpha^{13} E_{k-1} + \alpha^6 E_{k-2}$$

We apply the recurrence relation to obtain

$$\begin{cases} E_2 &= \alpha^{13}E_1 + \alpha^6 E_0 = \alpha^7 + \alpha^{14} = 1 + X^2 + X^3 = \alpha^5 \\ E_3 &= \alpha^{13}E_2 + \alpha^6 E_1 = \alpha^3 + \alpha^0 = X + X^2 + X^3 = \alpha^4 \\ E_4 &= \alpha^{13}E_3 + \alpha^6 E_2 = \alpha^2 + \alpha^{11} = X + X^2 + X^3 = \alpha^4 \end{cases}$$

We subtract (or, equivalently, add) the error vector from the received vector to obtain the codeword in the frequency domain

$$\boldsymbol{C} = [0, 0, X, X^2, 1 + X^2 + X^3] = [0, 0, \alpha^{12}, \alpha^9, \alpha^5].$$

We now take the inverse DFT to obtain the codeword

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{c} &= \boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{F}^{-1} = [0, 0, \alpha^{12}, \alpha^9, \alpha^5] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^9 & \alpha^{12} \\ 1 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^3 & \alpha^9 \\ 1 & \alpha^9 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^6 \\ 1 & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^9 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [\alpha^{12} + \alpha^9 + \alpha^5, \alpha^3 + \alpha^6 + \alpha^2, \alpha^9 + \alpha^{12} + \alpha^{14}, \alpha^0 + \alpha^6 + \alpha^{11}, \alpha^6 + \alpha^0 + \alpha^8] \\ &= [1 + X + X^3, X, X^2 + X^3 X, 0] \end{split}$$

and we finally read the 12 bit key from the systematic part of the codeword, k = [1101, 0100, 0011].

[40%]

## Question 3

(a) (i) By Markov's inequality we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\big[p_{e,m}(\mathcal{C}_n)^s \ge 2 \cdot \mathbb{E}[p_{e,m}(\mathcal{C}_n)^s]\big] \le \frac{1}{2}.$$
(1)

[15%]

(ii) The quantity

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\sum_{m=1}^{M'} Z_m\Big]$$

is the expected number of codewords in  $C_n$  that satisfy the property  $p_{e,m}(C_n) < 2^{1/s} \cdot \mathbb{E}[p_{e,m}(C_n)^s]^{1/s}$ . [10%]

(iii) We have that

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\sum_{m=1}^{M} Z_m\Big] = \mathbb{E}\Big[\sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbb{1}\Big\{p_{e,m}(\mathcal{C}_n) < 2^{1/s} \cdot \mathbb{E}[p_{e,m}(\mathcal{C}_n)^s]^{1/s}\Big\}\Big]$$
(2)

$$=\sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbb{1}\Big\{p_{e,m}(\mathcal{C}_n) < 2^{1/s} \cdot \mathbb{E}[p_{e,m}(\mathcal{C}_n)^s]^{1/s}\Big\}\Big]$$
(3)

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbb{P}\Big[p_{e,m}(\mathcal{C}_n) < 2^{1/s} \cdot \mathbb{E}[p_{e,m}(\mathcal{C}_n)^s]^{1/s}\Big]$$
(4)

$$\geq \frac{M}{2} \tag{5}$$

where (5) follows from (1).

(b) (i) The rate of 
$$\mathcal{C}_n$$
 is  $R = \frac{1}{n} \log_2 M$  while that of  $\mathcal{C}'_n$  is

$$R' = \frac{1}{n} \log_2(2M - 1) \ge R + \frac{1}{n}$$
(6)

[10%]

[20%]

- (ii) If the expected number of codewords that satisfy the property  $p_{e,m'}(\mathcal{C}'_n) < 2^{1/s} \cdot \mathbb{E}[p_{e,m'}(\mathcal{C}'_n)^s]^{1/s}$ is at least  $\frac{M'}{2} = M - \frac{1}{2}$  (from (5)) it means that this number should be at least M (since  $\frac{1}{2}$  is not an integer). If the aforementioned expectation is at least M it means that there exists at least a codebook  $\mathcal{C}'_n$  for which are at least M codewords satisfy the required property. Removing the codewords for which the property is not satisfied yields the resulting code. [15%]
- (iii) We have that with independent codewords generated with distribution  $Q_{X^n}$

$$p_{e,m'}(\mathcal{C}'_n)^s \le \left(\sum_{\bar{m} \neq m'} \sum_{y^n} \sqrt{W^n(y^n | x^n(\bar{m})) W^n(y^n | x^n(m'))}\right)^s \tag{7}$$

$$\leq \sum_{\bar{m}\neq m'} \left( \sum_{y^n} \sqrt{W^n(y^n | x^n(\bar{m})) W^n(y^n | x^n(m'))} \right)^s.$$
(8)

Then

$$\mathbb{E}[p_{e,m'}(\mathcal{C}'_n)^s] = \sum_{\bar{m} \neq m'} \sum_{x_1^n \dots x_{M'}^n} Q_{X^n}(x_1^n) \cdots Q_{X^n}(x_{M'}^n) \left(\sum_{y^n} \sqrt{W^n(y^n | x^n(\bar{m})) W^n(y^n | x^n(m'))}\right)^s$$
(9)

$$= \sum_{\bar{m}\neq m'} \sum_{x_{m'}^n, x_{\bar{m}}^n} Q_{X^n}(x_{m'}^n) Q_{X^n}(x_{\bar{m}}^n) \left( \sum_{y^n} \sqrt{W^n(y^n | x^n(\bar{m})) W^n(y^n | x^n(m'))} \right)^s \quad (10)$$

$$= (M'-1)\sum_{x^n,\bar{x}^n} Q_{X^n}(x^n)Q_{X^n}(\bar{x}^n) \left(\sum_{y^n} \sqrt{W^n(y^n|x^n)W^n(y^n|\bar{x}^n)}\right)^s$$
(11)

where (10) follows since the term  $\sum_{y^n} \sqrt{W^n(y^n|x^n(\bar{m}))W^n(y^n|x^n(m'))}$  only depends on m' and  $\bar{m}$ . Eq. (11) follows since each term in the sum over  $\bar{m} \neq m'$  is the same. [20%] (iv) Putting together parts (b)(ii) and (iii) one gets the result. [10%]

### Question 4

(a) (i) Figure 1 illustrates the function  $E_s$ . The function is convex, increasing and  $E_s(0) = 0$ . From the



Figure 1: Function  $E_s(\rho)$  for a BMS with  $P_V(0) = 0.11$ . The entropy of the source is H(V) = 0.5. lectures we know that the ensemble average error probability over all randomly generated codes is

$$\bar{p}_e \le e^{-n(\rho R - E_s(\rho))} \tag{12}$$

for any parameter  $\rho \in [0, 1]$  that can be optimised. Therefore, the ensemble average error probability vanishes exponentially with n as long as  $\rho R > E_s(\rho)$ . This means that there exists at least a code that meets this performance. Since  $E_s(\rho)$  is convex, increasing and  $E_s(0) = 0$ , the smallest slope  $\rho R$  that allows for a positive difference has to be such greater than  $E'_s(0)$ , where  $E'_s(\rho)$  is the first derivative of  $E_s(\rho)$ . Since  $E'_s(0) = H(V)$  we have that for R > H(V) there exists a code of rate  $R = \frac{1}{n} \log M$  whose error probability vanishes exponentially with n.

- [15%]
- (ii) Figure 2 shows an example of such a case. The two functions have the same derivative at zero since H(V) = H(Z) and then diverge.

$$[15\%]$$



Figure 2: Example of two functions  $E_s(\rho)$  for memoryless sources with H(V) = H(Z).

(iii) Since the two sources are defined over the same alphabet  $\mathcal{V}$ , this is equivalent to encoding a single discrete memoryless source X whose alphabet is  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^2$  and probability distribution is  $P_X(x) = P_V(v)P_Z(z)$  when x = (v, z). Therefore,

$$E_s^X(\rho) = \log\left(\sum_{(v,z)\in\mathcal{V}^2} \left(P_V(v)P_Z(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}}\right)^{1+\rho}$$
(13)

$$= \log\left(\sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}} P_V(v)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}} \sum_{z\in\mathcal{V}} P_Z(z)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}}\right)^{1+\rho}$$
(14)

$$= E_s^V(\rho) + E_s^Z(\rho).$$
 (15)

[20%]

(b) (i) This is a *M*-ary hypothesis testing problem where, upon processing observation  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ , the test  $P_{\hat{V}|Y}$  outputs which of the *M* known distributions  $P_{Y|V=v}, v = 1, \ldots, M$  generated the observation. The joint distributions  $P_{V=v,Y} = P_V \times P_{Y|V=v}, v = 1, \ldots, M$  induce known priors  $P_V(v), v = 1, \ldots, M$ . For a given test, the error probability can be written as

$$p_e(\mathcal{V}, P_{\hat{V}|Y}) = \mathbb{P}[\hat{V} \neq V] \tag{16}$$

$$= \sum_{v,y} P_{V,Y}(v,y) \Big( 1 - P_{\hat{V}|Y}(v|y) \Big).$$
(17)

[20%]

### (ii) We have that

$$\mathbb{P}[(V,Y) \in \mathcal{S}(\gamma)] = \mathbb{P}[(V,Y) \in \mathcal{S}(\gamma) \cap \text{error}] + \mathbb{P}[(V,Y) \in \mathcal{S}(\gamma) \cap \text{no error}]$$
(18)

$$\leq \mathbb{P}[\text{error}] + \mathbb{P}[(V, Y) \in \mathcal{S}(\gamma) \cap \text{no error}]$$
(19)

$$= \mathbb{P}[\text{error}] + \sum_{\substack{(v,y) \in \mathcal{S}(\gamma) \\ \text{no error}}} P_{V,Y}(v,y)$$
(20)

$$\leq \mathbb{P}[\text{error}] + \sum_{\substack{(v,y)\in\mathcal{S}(\gamma)\\\text{no error}}} \gamma P_Y(y) \cdot 1$$
(21)

$$\leq \mathbb{P}[\text{error}] + \gamma \tag{22}$$

[30%]