

## Module 4M24: Computational Statistics and Machine Learning

## 4M24 Tripos 2021/22 - Cribs

## 1. Control Variates

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}) &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^N X_n\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n=1}^N \text{Var}(X_n) = \frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n=1}^N \sigma^2 \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{N}\end{aligned}$$

20 marks available

(b) (i)

$$\hat{\mu}_{CV} = \hat{\mu} + c[m - \hat{m}]$$

Unbiased because  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}] = \mu$ , and  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{m}] = m (= \mathbb{E}[g(x)])$ .

10 marks available

(ii)

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_{CV}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\mu} + c[m - \hat{m}])$$

Using  $\text{Var}(aX + bY) = a^2\text{Var}(X) + b^2\text{Var}(Y) + 2ab\text{Cov}(X, Y)$  we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_{CV}) &= \text{Var}(\hat{\mu}) + c^2\text{Var}(m - \hat{m}) + 2c\text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}, m - \hat{m}) \\ &= \text{Var}(\hat{\mu}) + c^2\text{Var}(\hat{m}) + 2c\text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}, \hat{m})\end{aligned}$$

Now we can find the optimal variance by finding the stationary point of the variance wrt the coefficient  $c$ .

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c}\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_{CV}) = 2c\text{Var}(\hat{m}) + 2\text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}, \hat{m}) = 0$$

Solving for  $c$ :

$$c = -\frac{\text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}, \hat{m})}{\text{Var}(\hat{m})}$$

Substituting back into the expression for the control variate estimator variance  $\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}) + c^2\text{Var}(\hat{m}) + 2c\text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}, \hat{m})$  gives the answer:

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_{CV}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\mu}) - \frac{\text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}, \hat{m})^2}{\text{Var}(\hat{m})}$$

30 marks available

(c)  $X \sim \mathcal{U}[0, 1] \in \mathbb{R}$ . First we can find the mean  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[f(x)]$ .

$$\mu = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{1+x}\right] = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+x} dx = [\log(1+x)]_0^1 = \log 2$$

Now to find the variance  $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{(1+x)^2} \right] - \mu^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{(1+x)^2} \right] - (\log 2)^2 \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1+x)^2} dx - (\log 2)^2 \\ &= \left[ -\frac{1}{1+x} \right]_0^1 - (\log 2)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - (\log 2)^2 \approx 0.0195\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{N} \approx \frac{0.0195}{N}$$

Defining  $\text{Var}(\hat{m}) = \frac{\sigma_g^2}{N}$ . We find the mean and variance of  $g(x)$ :

$$m = \mathbb{E}[1+x] = \int_0^1 (1+x) dx = \left[ x + \frac{x^2}{2} \right]_0^1 = \frac{3}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_g^2 &= \mathbb{E}[(1+x)^2] - \frac{3}{2} = \int_0^1 (1+x)^2 dx - \frac{9}{4} = \int_0^1 (1+2x+x^2) dx - \frac{9}{4} \\ &= \left[ x + x^2 + \frac{x^3}{3} \right]_0^1 - \frac{9}{4} = \frac{1}{12}\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\text{Var}(\hat{m}) = \frac{1}{12N}$$

Finally we must find the covariance  $\text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}, \hat{m})$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}, \hat{m}) &= \frac{1}{N} \text{Cov}(f(x), g(x)) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}[f(x)g(x)] - \frac{3}{2N} \log 2 \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \int_0^1 \frac{1+x}{1+x} dx - \frac{3}{2N} \log 2 \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \int_0^1 dx - \frac{3}{2N} \log 2 \\ &= \frac{1}{N} - \frac{3}{2N} \log 2\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into the optimal variance expression gives the required result.

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_{CV}) = \frac{0.0195}{N} - 12N \left( \frac{1}{N} - \frac{3}{2N} \log 2 \right)^2$$

40 marks available

## 2. Function Approximation

- (a) The set  $C[-\pi, \pi]$  is the continuous functions of  $[-\pi, \pi]$  and  $L^2[-\pi, \pi]$  is the set of square integrable functions which will include discontinuous functions such as the step function, which would not be in  $C[-\pi, \pi]$ . Therefore,  $C_2[-\pi, \pi]$  would be the set of  $L^2$  integrable functions which are also continuous. **10 marks available**
- (b)

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \phi_k(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \sum_i c_i \phi_i(x) \right) \left( \sum_j c_j^* \phi_j^*(x) \right) dx \\ &= \sum_i \sum_j c_i c_j^* \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi_i(x) \phi_j^*(x) dx \\ &= \sum_i \sum_j c_i c_j^* \delta_{i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

where the last line comes from the orthonormality of the functions  $\{\phi_k\}$ , i.e.

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi_i(x) \phi_j^*(x) dx = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases} = \delta_{i,j}$$

giving

$$\|f(x)\|_{L^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^2}$$

**20 marks available**

- (c)

$$\|f\|_{W_2^s}^2 = \|D^s f\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \exp(ikx) \\ Df(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (ik) c_k \exp(ikx) \\ D^s f(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (ik)^s c_k \exp(ikx) \end{aligned}$$

$$\|D^s f\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_k |(ik)^{2s}| c_k^2 = \sum_k k^{2s} c_k^2$$

**30 marks available**

(d)

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_N(f) &= \|f - f_N\|_{L^2}^2 = \left\| \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} c_k \phi_k(x) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} c_k^2 = \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} c_k^2 k^{2s} \frac{1}{k^{2s}} \\ &< \frac{1}{N^{2s}} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} c_k^2 k^{2s} \\ &< \frac{1}{N^{2s}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 k^{2s} = \frac{1}{N^{2s}} \|f\|_{W_2^s}^2\end{aligned}$$

The smoother the function, the value of  $s$  will increase, and as  $s$  increases, the impact of increasing  $N$  is greater. Rate of convergence increases as the smoothness of the function increases. **40 marks available**

### 3. Gaussian Measure

- (a) (i) Since the probabilities are defined wrt the Lebesgue measure, then the definition of Bayes rule will not be valid because the Lebesgue measure in  $\mathcal{H}$  is not well defined.

**10 marks available**

- (ii) If the reference measure  $\mu^0$  is a probability measure defined in  $\mathcal{H}$ , then the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\frac{d\mu^y}{d\mu^0}(x) \propto P(y|x)$$

where  $\mu^y$  is the posterior measure, and  $P(y|x)$  the likelihood of data  $y \in \mathbb{R}^D$  given  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**10 marks available**

- (b) (i) This requires that

$$\mu^0 = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} g \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^2\right)$$

be finite, and so

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^2 < \infty$$

which indicates

$$x_{k=1, \dots, \infty} \in l_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$$

**30 marks available**

- (ii)

$$\mu^y(x) \propto P(y|x)\mu^0(x) = P(y|x)\mathcal{N}(x; 0, C)$$

For a proposal  $v = u + \beta\zeta$ , with  $\zeta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, C)$ , i.e.  $v \sim \mathcal{N}(u, \beta^2 C)$ , the acceptance probability is given by

$$\alpha(v, u) = \min\{J(v) - J(u), 1\}$$

where  $J(v) = \log P(y|v) - \frac{1}{2}|C^{-\frac{1}{2}}v|^2$ .

The problem here is that  $|C^{-\frac{1}{2}}v|^2$  is unbounded and so the acceptance ratio is not well defined. **30 marks available**

(iii) Alternative proposal is  $v = \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}u + \beta\zeta$ , with  $\zeta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, C)$  - the pCN proposal. The acceptance ratio becomes

$$\alpha(v, u) = \min \left\{ \frac{P(y|v)}{P(y|u)}, 1 \right\}$$

since  $P(y, \cdot)$  is defined on  $\mathbb{R}^D$ , the acceptance ratio is well defined for  $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ .  
**20 marks available**

#### 4. Langevin Diffusion

(a) Starting with the Langevin SDE:

$$dX_t = -\nabla U(X_t)dt + \sqrt{2}dB_t$$

which has invariant density  $p(x) \propto \exp(-U(X_t))$ .

From the given SDE:

$$\nabla U(X_t) = X_t - \tanh(X_t)$$

Now integrate to find  $U(X_t)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} U(x) &= \int (x - \tanh(x)) dx \\ &= \frac{x^2}{2} - \ln(\cosh(x)) + C \end{aligned}$$

Which gives

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &\propto \exp(-U(x)) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2} + \ln(\cosh(x)) - C\right) \\ &\propto \cosh(x) \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) \\ &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2} + x\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2} - x\right) \\ &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-1)^2\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x+1)^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

and so the normalised density  $p(x)$  is given by

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{N}(1, 1) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{N}(-1, 1)$$

**60 marks available**

(b)

$$q(x) = \exp(-x - \exp(-x))$$

Need to determine  $-\nabla U(x) = \nabla \ln q(x)$

$$\ln q(x) = -x - e^{-x}$$

$$\nabla \ln q(x) = -1 + e^{-x}$$

Hence

$$-\nabla U(x) = -1 + e^{-x}$$

and the corresponding SDE is given by

$$dX_t = (e^{-X_t} - 1) dt + \sqrt{2}dB_t$$

40 marks available