2014 15 Prof N A Fleck p1. Crib for Paper 409. Q1. (2) Oi; is stres. Oi; = 0 states force equilibrium with body forces absent (including inertral forces). $\sigma_{ij} = \lambda S_{ij} S_{KK} + 2\mu S_{ij}$ denotes isotropic linear elasticity Eij = ½ (ui, j + uj, i) is the small strain strain - displacement relation. On the Surface of body impose tractions $t_i^\circ = \sigma_i \sigma_i^\circ$ on S_T and displacements $u_i^\circ = u_i^\circ$ on S_u . $(b) \quad \varepsilon(b) \qquad (b) \quad \varepsilon(b) \quad \varepsilon($ $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2$ $= \sum \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{1} + \varepsilon_{2} = \frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}$ $= \frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}$ Now $\sigma = \sigma$ for $\varepsilon = 0$ $\dot{\varepsilon} = A \omega \cos \omega t = \dot{\sigma} + \sigma \quad for \quad t = \sigma$

Pz. Q1(b) conto. $CF: \sigma = B exp(-t/z)$ where $T = \eta/E$ $\overline{\sigma} = -\underline{B} \exp\left(-t/\overline{z}\right)$ $P.I.' \quad \sigma = c \cos(\omega t + \phi)$ $\Rightarrow \sigma = -\omega C \sin(\omega t + \phi)$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Sin(\omega t + \phi) + \frac{C}{2} Con(\omega t + \phi)$ $= \frac{i}{\epsilon} = \omega A con \omega t$ Alt: E= A sinut => E(E) = Im (A e^{iwt}) $\sigma = Im (De^{iwt})$ Write o= Dei (=> o=iwDe $\frac{\partial}{E} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{i\omega D}{E} + \frac{D}{T}\right)e^{i\omega t} = Ae^{i\omega t}$ $\frac{\partial}{E} \left(\frac{1}{T} + i\omega z\right) = A$ => $D = \frac{\eta}{1+i\omega z}$, $A = \frac{\eta(1-i\omega z)}{1+\omega^2 z^2}$. => = $M_{(1/+\omega^2 z^2)^{1/2}}$. A => $D = |D| e^{i\phi} \phi = tan^{-1}(-\omega z)$ So $\sigma(t)$ lags $\varepsilon(t)$ by ϕ .

Þ3. Q1.16) contd. $\sigma(t) = B \exp(-t/z) + Im [De^{iwt}]$ $\overline{D}(0) = 0 = B + I_m[D] = B - (wZ) M A$ $\overline{I+w^2 Z^2}$ So B = wZ M A $\overline{I+w^2 Z^2}$ $\varepsilon(t)$ $Q_{1.}^{(c)} = \sigma_{e} + C \sigma_{h} - \sigma_{y} \leq 0$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\mu\nu}^{\mu} = 0$ The yield surface is pressure sensitive but plastic flow is incompressible. Hence normality is not satisfied. Diruches postulates imply convexity (which is Satisfied) and normality (not satisfied). Comments First part of question was well done the visco-leasticity part was more of a challenge. Few undestood the implications of the normal to the yield surface.

p4. $Q_2^{(a)}(1) \quad \underline{a} = \nabla \times \nabla \phi$ Now $\nabla \phi = \phi_{i} e_{i} = (e_{i}, e_{2}, e_{3})$ Write $b = \nabla \phi = b_i e_i \quad e > \ b_i = \phi_i$ $a = \nabla x b = \varepsilon_{jk} b_{k,j} e_{j}$ $\Rightarrow a = \varepsilon_{ijk} \phi_{kj} \varepsilon_{i}$ ai = Eijk epi But $E_{ijk} = -E_{ikj}$ and $\phi_{ikj} = \phi_{ijk}$ Hence ai = 0 E> $\nabla \times \nabla \phi = 0$ Q 2 (a) (") $f = (a \times b) \cdot (c \times d) = ?$ $axb = \varepsilon_{ijk}a_{j}b_{k}\varepsilon_{i}$ $cxd = \varepsilon_{ijk}c_{j}d_{k}\varepsilon_{i} = \varepsilon_{ipq}c_{p}d_{2}\varepsilon_{i}$ => f = Eijn Eipg (ajbr Cp dg) Now, Eijk Eipq = Sip Skg - Sig Skp $= f = a_j b_k C_j d_k - a_j b_k C_k d_j$ $= (a \cdot c) (b \cdot d) - (a \cdot d) (b \cdot c)$

<u>DANE</u> = ZDA: A: DANE DANE Q2(a) Aij = SikfjlAnd => dAig = Sixfjl DANE > DB = 2AKR >ANR

(6) Q2. (I)U,E $u = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}$ D w/52 Hodograph u/vz u/vz u/vz U $F u = k w u (1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) =>$ F = 6 k w $\left(\frac{1}{N} \right)$ d, 0 / 1/4 v 52 b component along V PV = k (la) Va) + LAB VAB + LBC VBC + LCO VCO + LBO VBO kwv(1+1+1+1+52)(52+52+52+52)= 6 kwV $S_0, P = 6 kw$ J_2

Q2(6) (iii) V V, P Jz V V, P Jz v V/z velocity jump ET AVE B-D C/ In part (i) we had WI= 6 kwu her u=v J2 Now WP = 6 kwu + kwu = 7 lowu So, Pv = 7kwu = 7kwvSo P= 7 kw This is grater than part b (ii), So is a worse approximation to the actual solution. sevent condidates struggled with the theor manipulations. Most could execute the upper bound method.

P. +

P.8 Q3. 10 Slip line fields satisfy equilibrium in addition to kinematics. The upper bound method assumes a finicenatically admissible field but usually violates equilibrium. When they coincide, the upper bound satisfies degiclibrium. Neither case may be inique! (b) (i) $\nabla^4 \overline{\Phi} = 0$ [evaluated $\nabla^4 \phi$ to obtain $E = -\frac{2}{3}A$ $\sigma_{y} = \overline{P}, xx = A y^3 + 2Bxy + Cy$ $\sigma_{xx} = \overline{\Phi}, y = A x^2 y + Dy + E y^3$ $\sigma_{xy} = -\overline{\Phi}, xy = -A x y^2 - B x^2 - C x$ $\nabla^{4} \overline{\Phi} = \overline{P}_{, XXXX} + 2 \overline{\Phi}_{, XXYY} + \overline{P}_{, YYYY} = 0$ \Rightarrow 4Ag + 6Ey = 0 $E = -\frac{2}{3}A$ $\sigma_{yy}(y=h) = 21$ $\sigma_{yy}(y=-h) = 22$ $\partial_{xy}(y=\pm h)=0 \Rightarrow Axh^2 + Bx^2 + Cx = c$ $\Rightarrow (Ah^{2} + C) \times + B\chi^{2} = 0$ $\Rightarrow B = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad C = -Ah^{2}$

 $Q_3(b)(i)$ $O_{yy}(y=h) = A_{3}h^3 + 2Bxh + ch = 7$ $\sigma_{yy}(y=-h) = -A h^3 - 2Bxh - ch = 92$ Hence $q_1 = -q_2$, and $A = +3 \quad q_2$ $2 \quad h^3$ (ii) $\sigma_{xx} = A_{x^2y} + D_y + E_y^3$ =) $\overline{O}_{XX} = \frac{+3}{2} \frac{q_2}{L^3} \left(\frac{x^2y}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{y^3}{3} \right) + Dy$ $\begin{array}{rcl}
(7xy) &=& Axy^{2} + Cx \\
\Rightarrow & (7xy) = & + & 3 & 92 & (7xy^{2} - h^{2}x) \\
& & 2 & h^{3} & (7y) & - & h^{2}x
\end{array}$ $\sigma_{yy} = A y^3 + Cy = + 3 q_2 (y^3 - h^2 y)$ $z h^3 (y^3 - h^2 y)$ $(iii) \qquad M = \int y \cdot \sigma_{XX} \, dy = 0$ $= \int_{-h}^{-1} \left[\frac{3}{2} \frac{q_2}{13} \left(-\frac{2}{3} \frac{y^3}{3} \right) + 2y \frac{1}{2} \frac{y}{2} \frac{y}{3} = 0$ $= -\frac{2}{5} - \frac{2}{5} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{2}{5} + \frac$ $3 \qquad \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad D = \frac{3}{5} \frac{9^2}{5}$ (1) Shear force $S = \int J_{xy} dy = 0$ Emost condidates were able to do they.]

Þ.9

4C9 – Assessor's comments

Question 1.

The first part on basics of elasticity theory was poorly attempted by most, with glib answers. The second part on visco-elasticity theory led to few correct answers: this part involved solving a 1st order differential equation. Most candidates knew the significance of Drucker's postulates, but none were able to check for normality in a correct manner.

Question 2.

The first part of the question was about standard tensor manipulations, and it was a surprise that the students found this difficult. The second part was about upper bound calculations and the candidates did this well.

Question 3.

A very popular question on upper bound versus slip line fields, and Airy stress function. Generally well done, and the main features of the Airy stress function were appreciated. Some did not use the fact that the stress function must satisfy the biharmonic equation.