ENGINEERING TRIPOS PART IIA

Friday 29 April 2011 9 to 10.30

Module 3D1

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING I

*Answer not more than **three** questions.*

*The **approximate** percentage of marks allocated to each part of a question is indicated in the right margin.*

The questions carry the same number of marks,

Attachment: Geotechnical Engineering Data Book (19 pages)

STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS	SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS
Single-sided script paper	Engineering Data Book
Graph paper	CUED approved calculator allowed

You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages of this question paper until instructed that you may do so by the Invigilator

1 A large diameter oil tank is constructed with a stiff raft foundation embedded at a depth of 1 m within a 2 m thick bed of sand overlying a 10 m thick layer of clay which is underlain by permeable sandstone. The water table is at the surface of the clay layer. The tank exerts a net bearing pressure of 100 kPa when it is filled. This can be taken as a constant stress increment within the clay layer which can be considered to compress one-dimensionally. The clay layer has an oedometer stiffness of 10 MPa and a coefficient of consolidation of 1 m^2/year.

(a) What is the ultimate settlement of the oil tank? [10%]

(b) How long will it take to achieve 90% of this ultimate settlement? [10%]

(c) 25 months after the filling of the first tank, a smaller oil tank is placed on a separate, stiff raft foundation constructed on the same soil profile. The second tank is then immediately filled so that it exerts a net bearing pressure of 65 kPa. This second tank can be considered to settle independently and to create one-dimensional compression in the clay. Find the rates of settlement of the first and second tanks a further 25 months after the loading of the second tank. [40%]

(d) A pipe was fixed between the two tanks on the same day that the second tank was filled. The oil level in the first tank is maintained. Show that the maximum differential settlement between the two ends of the pipe will occur about 14 months after the filling of the second tank, and calculate it. [40%]

2 An embankment is to be constructed over a 2 m layer of gravel overlying a 10 m thick layer of soft clay which is underlain by permeable sandstone bedrock. The clay can be taken to share the fundamental characteristics of London Clay as given in the Geotechnical Engineering Data Book. Erosion is known to have previously removed 4 m of the overlying gravel layer, prior to which the clay was normally consolidated. The water table has remained coincident with the surface of the clay throughout this process. The gravel has a specific gravity G_s of 2.65 and a voids ratio of 0.6.

(a) Estimate the effective stress, specific volume and water content of the clay at the centre of the clay layer prior to embankment construction. [30%]

(b) If a 4 m high embankment is constructed at the site using the same gravel material, estimate the ultimate settlement of the embankment if the strain at the centre of the clay layer is taken as representative of the whole. [15%]

(c) If a 6 m high embankment is constructed at the site using the same gravel material, what ultimate settlement will be seen? [15%]

(d) Sketch isochrones showing the dissipation of pore pressure in the clay layer for the 6 m high embankment. [20%]

(e) If the consolidation coefficient of the clay measured during recompression is seen to be 1 m^2/year, estimate how long it would take for 20% and 90% of the maximum settlements to occur for each of the 4 m and 6 m embankments. [20%]

(TURN OVER

3 A masonry structure will apply an inclined thrust to its shallow foundation which is carried on homogeneous clay with an undrained shear strength s_u. Two schemes A and B are being considered, as shown in Fig.1. The angle of the thrust Q to the vertical is marked as α, and its line of action can be taken to pass through the centre of the plane of contact in each case. In scheme A the footing is also inclined at α to the horizontal, so that the thrust acts normal to its plane of contact with the soil and interface friction can be ignored. In scheme B the contact plane of the footing is horizontal so that friction has to be mobilized on its lower surface.

(a) Sketch an undrained bearing capacity solution for the limiting stresses beneath footing scheme A, using stress characteristics. You may ignore self-weight at this stage and consider line XX as the upper boundary of the solution. Draw Mohr's circles of stress for different zones which are labelled on your sketch, and mark salient values. Indicate clearly on your sketch the direction of the major principal stress in key zones. Deduce an expression for the maximum possible thrust per unit area of contact. Calculate this value for the case $\alpha = 20°$. [45%]

(b) Repeat task (a) but for footing scheme B. Demonstrate that the inclination ψ of the major principal stress immediately below the footing is not equal to angle α. Use a Mohr's circle diagram to write down expressions for the normal and tangential stress components on the footing at undrained failure of the clay. Use these expressions to calculate the resultant thrust on the footing per unit area of contact when $\alpha = 20°$. [40%]

(c) Comment on whether the neglect of self-weight in these solutions is a significant drawback. Comment on the relative merits of the two foundation schemes. [15%]

(Cont.



Fig. 1

(TURN OVER

4 Figure 2 shows a gabion wall which is proposed in order to stabilise a river bank. The gabions consist of wire baskets packed with rocks and stacked to make a gravity retaining wall. The rock pieces have a specific gravity $G_s = 2.7$ and are typically packed at a voids ratio of 0.6. The wall will be founded within, and will retain, a uniform quartz sand which can be assumed to have a voids ratio of 0.7 and only to be capable of mobilising an angle of internal friction equal to its critical state friction angle of 32°. The height H of the wall has been fixed at 5 m, and this is expected to leave an embedment D of at least 0.5 m below the river bed, even after scour. The high permeability of the retained ground, and the gabions, means that the groundwater table can be considered to be coincident with the river level, which is expected to vary seasonally, lying between 1 m and 2 m below retained ground level, as shown in Fig. 2. It is desired to select an appropriate width B for the wall.

(a) Calculate dry unit weights for the gabions and the sand above the water table. Explain the use of Archimedes' principle for soil mechanics calculations below a water table, and use it to calculate effective unit weights for the gabions and the sand when they are submerged. [20%]

(b) Explain why the critical design scenario for the wall is when the river is at its lowest expected level, 2 m below ground level. Find an expression for the corresponding effective weight of the wall W' per unit length of river bank. [15%]

(c) By neglecting friction on the vertical faces of the gabion wall, calculate the magnitude and elevation of the effective horizontal thrusts P'_A and P'_P on either side of the wall. [25%]

(d) Deduce the effective horizontal component of base reaction F_h', and expressions for the vertical component F_v' and its eccentricity e from the centre of the base. Select a value for wall width B consistent with the bearing capacity of the sand resisting F_v' at eccentricity e but neglecting the influence of F_h'. [30%]

(e) Indicate, without calculations, how F_h' could be included in a bearing analysis for the sand using the method of stress characteristics. [10%]

(Cont.



Fig. 2

END OF PAPER

Engineering Tripos Part IIA

3D1 & 3D2 Geotechnical Engineering

Data Book 2010-2011

Contents **Page**

General definitions



Specific gravity of solid	G_s
Voids ratio	$e = V_v / V_s$
Specific volume	$v = V_t / V_s = 1 + e$
Porosity	$n = V_v / V_t = e/(1 + e)$
Water content	$w = (W_w / W_s)$
Degree of saturation	$S_r = V_w / V_v = (w\, G_s/e)$
Unit weight of water	$\gamma_w = 9.81\ \text{kN/m}^3$
Unit weight of soil	$\gamma = W_t / V_t = \left(\frac{G_s + S_r e}{1 + e}\right) \gamma_w$
Buoyant saturated unit weight	$\gamma' = \gamma - \gamma_w = \left(\frac{G_s - 1}{1 + e}\right) \gamma_w$
Unit weight of dry solids	$\gamma_d = W_s / V_t = \left(\frac{G_s}{1 + e}\right) \gamma_w$
Air volume ratio	$A = V_a / V_t = \left(\frac{e(1 - S_r)}{1+e}\right)$

Soil classification (BS1377)

Liquid limit	w_L
Plastic Limit	w_P
Plasticity Index	$I_P = w_L - w_P$
Liquidity Index	$I_L = \dfrac{w - w_P}{w_L - w_P}$

$$\text{Activity} = \frac{\text{Plasticity Index}}{\text{Percentage of particles finer than } 2\ \mu\text{m}}$$

$$\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{\text{Unconfined compressive strength of an undisturbed specimen}}{\text{Unconfined compressive strength of a remoulded specimen}}$$ (at the same water content)

Classification of particle sizes:–

Boulders	larger than			200 mm
Cobbles	between	200 mm	and	60 mm
Gravel	between	60 mm	and	2 mm
Sand	between	2 mm	and	0.06 mm
Silt	between	0.06 mm	and	0.002 mm
Clay	smaller than	0.002 mm (two microns)		

D	equivalent diameter of soil particle
D_{10}, D_{60} etc.	particle size such that 10% (or 60%) etc.) by weight of a soil sample is composed of finer grains.
C_U	uniformity coefficient D_{60} / D_{10}

Seepage

Flow potential:
(piezometric level)



Total gauge pore water pressure at A: $u = \gamma_w h = \gamma_w(\bar{h} + z)$

B: $u + \Delta u = \gamma_w(h + \Delta h) = \gamma_w(\bar{h} + z + \Delta\bar{h} + \Delta z)$

Excess pore water pressure at A: $\bar{u} = \gamma_w \bar{h}$

B: $\bar{u} + \Delta\bar{u} = \gamma_w(\bar{h} + \Delta\bar{h})$

Hydraulic gradient A → B $\quad i = -\dfrac{\Delta\bar{h}}{\Delta s}$

Hydraulic gradient (3D) $\quad i = -\nabla\bar{h}$

Darcy's law $\quad V = ki$

V = superficial seepage velocity

k = coefficient of permeability

Typical permeabilities:

$D_{10} > 10$ mm	:	non-laminar flow
$10 \text{ mm} > D_{10} > 1 \mu\text{m}$	:	$k \cong 0.01\ (D_{10} \text{ in mm})^2$ m/s
clays	:	$k \cong 10^{-9}$ to 10^{-11} m/s

Saturated capillary zone

$h_c = \dfrac{4T}{\gamma_w d}$: capillary rise in tube diameter d, for surface tension T

$h_c \approx \dfrac{3\times10^{-5}}{D_{10}}$ m : for water at 10°C; note air entry suction is $u_c = -\gamma_w h_c$

One-Dimensional Compression

- **Fitting data**

Typical data (sand or clay)



Mathematical model



Plastic compression stress σ'_c is taken as the larger of the initial aggregate crushing stress and the historic maximum effective vertical stress. Clay muds are taken to begin with $\sigma'_c \approx 1$ kPa.

Plastic compression (normal compression line, ncl): $v = v_\lambda - \lambda \ln \sigma'$ for $\sigma' = \sigma'_c$

Elastic swelling and recompression line (esrl): $v = v_c + \kappa (\ln \sigma'_c - \ln \sigma'_v)$

$= v_\kappa - \kappa \ln \sigma'_v$ for $\sigma' < \sigma'_c$

Equivalent parameters for $\log_{10}$ stress scale:

Terzaghi's compression index $C_c = \lambda \log_{10} e$

Terzaghi's swelling index $C_s = \kappa \log_{10} e$

- **Deriving confined soil stiffnesses**

Secant 1D compression modulus $E_o = (\Delta\sigma' / \Delta\varepsilon)_o$

Tangent 1D plastic compression modulus $E_o = v\,\sigma' / \lambda$

Tangent 1D elastic compression modulus $E_o = v\,\sigma' / \kappa$

One-Dimensional Consolidation

Settlement	ρ	$= \int m_v(\Delta u - \bar{u})\, dz \quad = \int (\Delta u - \bar{u}) / E_o\, dz$
Coefficient of consolidation	c_v	$= \dfrac{k}{m_v \gamma_w} \quad = \dfrac{kE_o}{\gamma_w}$
Dimensionless time factor	T_v	$= \dfrac{c_v t}{d^2}$
Relative settlement	R_v	$= \dfrac{\rho}{\rho_{ult}}$

- **Solutions for initially rectangular distribution of excess pore pressure**



Approximate solution by parabolic isochrones:

Phase (i) $\quad L^2 = 12\, c_v t$

$$R_v = \sqrt{\frac{4T_v}{3}} \qquad \text{for } T_v < {}^1/_{12}$$

Phase (ii) $\quad b = \exp(¼ - 3T_v)$

$$R_v = [1 - {}^2/_3 \exp(¼ - 3T_v)] \qquad \text{for } T_v > {}^1/_{12}$$

Solution by Fourier Series:

T_v	0	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.20	0.30	0.40	0.50	0.60	0.80	1.00
R_v	0	0.12	0.17	0.23	0.32	0.45	0.51	0.62	0.70	0.77	0.82	0.89	0.94

Stress and strain components

- **Principle of effective stress (saturated soil)**

total stress σ = effective stress σ' + pore water pressure u

- **Principal components of stress and strain**

sign convention	compression positive
total stress	$\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$
effective stress	$\sigma_1', \sigma_2', \sigma_3'$
strain	$\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$

- **Simple Shear Apparatus (SSA)** ($\varepsilon_2 = 0$; other principal directions unknown)

The only stresses that are readily available are the shear stress τ and normal stress σ applied to the top platen. The pore pressure u can be controlled and measured, so the normal effective stress σ' can be found. Drainage can be permitted or prevented. The shear strain γ and normal strain ε are measured with respect to the top platen, which is a plane of zero extension. Zero extension planes are often identified with slip surfaces.

work increment per unit volume $\delta W = \tau\, \delta\gamma + \sigma'\delta\varepsilon$

- **Biaxial Apparatus - Plane Strain (BA-PS)** ($\varepsilon_2 = 0$; rectangular edges along principal axes)

Intermediate principal effective stress σ_2', in zero strain direction, is frequently unknown so that all conditions are related to components in the 1-3 plane.

mean total stress	$s = (\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)/2$
mean effective stress	$s' = (\sigma_1' + \sigma_3')/2 = s - u$
shear stress	$t = (\sigma_1' - \sigma_3')/2 = (\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2$
volumetric strain	$\varepsilon_v = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3$
shear strain	$\varepsilon_\gamma = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3$
work increment per unit volume	$\delta W = \sigma_1'\delta\varepsilon_1 + \sigma_3'\delta\varepsilon_3$
	$\delta W = s'\delta\varepsilon_v + t\delta\varepsilon_\gamma$

providing that principal axes of strain increment and of stress coincide.

• Triaxial Apparatus – Axial Symmetry (TA-AS) (cylindrical element with radial symmetry)

total axial stress	σ_a	$= \sigma'_a + u$
total radial stress	σ_r	$= \sigma'_r + u$
total mean normal stress	p	$= (\sigma_a + 2\sigma_r)/3$
effective mean normal stress	p'	$= (\sigma'_a + 2\sigma'_r)/3 = p - u$
deviatoric stress	q	$= \sigma'_a - \sigma'_r = \sigma_a - \sigma_r$
stress ratio	η	$= q/p'$
axial strain	ε_a	
radial strain	ε_r	
volumetric strain	ε_v	$= \varepsilon_a + 2\varepsilon_r$
triaxial shear strain	ε_s	$= \frac{2}{3}(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_r)$
work increment per unit volume	δW	$= \sigma_a'\delta\varepsilon_a + 2\sigma_r'\delta\varepsilon_r$
	δW	$= p'\delta\varepsilon_v + q\delta\varepsilon_s$

Types of triaxial test include:

isotropic compression in which p' increases at zero q

triaxial compression in which q increases *either* by increasing σ_a *or* by reducing σ_r

triaxial extension in which q reduces *either* by reducing σ_a *or* by increasing σ_r

• Mohr's circle of stress (1–3 plane)

Sign of convention: compression, and counter-clockwise shear, positive





Poles of planes P : the components of stress on the N plane are given by the intersection N of the Mohr circle with the line PN through P parallel to the plane.

Elastic stiffness relations

These relations apply to tangent stiffnesses of over-consolidated soil, with a state point on some swelling and recompression line (κ-line), and remote from gross plastic yielding.

One-dimensional compression (axial stress and strain increments $d\sigma'$, $d\varepsilon$)

compressibility $m_v = d\varepsilon / d\sigma'$

constrained modulus $E_o = 1 / m_v$

Physically fundamental parameters

shear modulus $G' = dt / d\varepsilon_\gamma$

bulk modulus $K' = dp' / d\varepsilon_v$

Parameters which can be used for constant-volume deformations

undrained shear modulus $G_u = G'$

undrained bulk modulus $K_u = \infty$ (neglecting compressibility of water)

Alternative convenient parameters

Young's moduli E' (effective), E_u (undrained)

Poisson's ratios ν' (effective), $\nu_u = 0.5$ (undrained)

Typical value of Poisson's ratio for small changes of stress: $\nu' = 0.2$

Relationships:

$$G = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}$$

$$K = \frac{E}{3(1-2\nu)}$$

$$E_o = \frac{E(1-\nu)}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)}$$

Cam Clay

• **Interchangeable parameters for stress combinations at yield, and plastic strain increments**

System	Effective normal stress	Plastic normal strain	Effective shear stress	Plastic shear strain	Critical stress ratio	Plastic normal stress	Critical normal stress
General	σ^*	ε^*	τ^*	γ^*	μ^*_{crit}	σ^*_c	σ^*_{crit}
SSA	σ'	ε	τ	γ	$\tan\phi_{crit}$	σ'_c	σ'_{crit}
BA-PS	s'	ε_v	t	ε_γ	$\sin\phi_{crit}$	s'_c	s'_{crit}
TA-AS	p'	ε_v	q	ε_s	M	p'_c	p'_{crit}

• **General equations of plastic work**

Plastic work and dissipation $\quad \sigma^*\,\delta\varepsilon^* + \tau^*\,\delta\gamma^* = \mu^*_{crit}\,\sigma^*\,\delta\gamma^*$

Plastic flow rule – normality $\quad \dfrac{d\tau^*}{d\sigma^*}\cdot\dfrac{d\gamma^*}{d\varepsilon^*} = -1$

• **General yield surface**

$$\frac{\tau^*}{\sigma^*} = \mu^* = \mu^*_{crit}\,.\ln\left[\frac{\sigma_c^*}{\sigma^*}\right]$$

• **Parameter values which fit soil data**

	London Clay	Weald Clay	Kaolin	Dog's Bay Sand	Ham River Sand
λ^*	0.161	0.093	0.26	0.334	0.163
κ^*	0.062	0.035	0.05	0.009	0.015
Γ^* at 1 kPa	2.759	2.060	3.767	4.360	3.026
$\sigma^*_{c,\,virgin}$ kPa	1	1	1	Loose 500	Loose 2500
				Dense 1500	Dense 15000
ϕ_{crit}	23°	24°	26°	39°	32°
M_{comp}	0.89	0.95	1.02	1.60	1.29
M_{extn}	0.69	0.72	0.76	1.04	0.90
w_L	0.78	0.43	0.74	--------------	--------------
w_P	0.26	0.18	0.42	--------------	--------------
G_s	2.75	2.75	2.61	2.75	2.65

Note: 1) parameters λ^*, κ^*, Γ^*, σ^*_c should depend to a small extent on the deformation mode, e.g. SSA, BA-PS, TA-AS, etc. This may be neglected unless further information is given.
2) Sand which is loose, or loaded cyclically, compacts more than Cam Clay allows.

• **The yield surface in (σ^*, τ^*, v) space**



ncl: normal compression line

$$v = N - \lambda \ln \sigma^*$$

csl: critical state line

$$v = \Gamma - \lambda \ln \sigma^*$$

where $N = \Gamma + \lambda - \kappa$



• **Regions of limiting soil behaviour**



Variation of Cam Clay yield surface

Zone D: denser than critical, "dry",
dilation or negative excess pore pressures,
Hvorslev strength envelope,
friction-dilatancy theory,
unstable shear rupture, progressive failure

Zone L: looser than critical, "wet",
compaction or positive excess pore pressures,
Modified Cam Clay yield surface,
stable strain-hardening continuum

Strength of soil: friction and dilation

- **Friction and dilatancy: the saw-blade model of direct shear**



Intergranular angle of friction at sliding contacts ϕ_μ

Angle of dilation ψ_{max}

Angle of internal friction $\phi_{max} = \phi_\mu + \psi_{max}$

- **Friction and dilatancy: secant and tangent strength parameters**





Secant angle of internal friction

$\tau = \sigma' \tan \phi_{max}$
$\phi_{max} = \phi_{crit} + \Delta\phi$
$\Delta\phi = f(\sigma'_{crit}/\sigma')$

typical envelope fitting data:
power curve
$(\tau/\tau_{crit}) = (\sigma'/\sigma'_{crit})^\alpha$
with $\alpha \approx 0.85$

Tangent angle of shearing envelope

$\tau = c' + \sigma' \tan \phi'$
$c' = f(\sigma'_{crit})$

typical envelope:
straight line
$\tan \phi' = 0.85 \tan \phi_{crit}$
$c' = 0.15\, \tau_{crit}$

• Friction and dilation: data of sands

The inter-granular friction angle of quartz grains, $\phi_\mu \approx 26°$. Turbulent shearing at a critical state causes ϕ_{crit} to exceed this. The critical state angle of internal friction ϕ_{crit} is a function of the uniformity of particle sizes, their shape, and mineralogy, and is developed at large shear strains irrespective of initial conditions. Typical values of ϕ_{crit} ($\pm 2°$) are:

well-graded, angular quartz or feldspar sands	40°
uniform sub-angular quartz sand	36°
uniform rounded quartz sand	32°

Relative density $I_D = \dfrac{(e_{max} - e)}{(e_{max} - e_{min})}$ where:

e_{max} is the maximum void ratio achievable in quick-tilt test
e_{min} is the minimum void ratio achievable by vibratory compaction

Relative crushability $I_C = \ln(\sigma_c / p')$ where:

σ_c is the aggregate crushing stress, taken to be a material constant, typical values being: 80 000 kPa for quartz silt, 20 000 kPa for quartz sand, 5 000 kPa for carbonate sand.

p' is the mean effective stress at failure which may be taken as approximately equal to the effective stress σ' normal to a shear plane.

Dilatancy contribution to the peak angle of internal friction is $\Delta\phi = (\phi_{max} - \phi_{crit}) = f(I_R)$

Relative dilatancy index $I_R = I_D I_C - 1$ where:

$I_R < 0$ indicates compaction, so that I_D increases and $I_R \rightarrow 0$ ultimately at a critical state
$I_R > 4$ to be limited to $I_R = 4$ unless corroborative dilatant strength data is available

The following empirical correlations are then available

plane strain conditions	$(\phi_{max} - \phi_{crit})$	$= 0.8\ \psi_{max}$	$= 5\ I_R$ degrees
triaxial strain conditions	$(\phi_{max} - \phi_{crit})$	$= 3\ I_R$ degrees	
all conditions	$(-\delta\varepsilon_v / \delta\varepsilon_1)_{max}$	$= 0.3\ I_R$	

The resulting peak strength envelope for triaxial tests on a quartz sand at an initial relative density $I_D = 1$ is shown below for the limited stress range 10 - 400 kPa:



$\phi_{max} > \phi_{crit} + 9°$ for $I_D = 1$, $\sigma' = 400$ kPa

• **Mobilised (secant) angle of shearing ϕ in the 1 – 3 plane**



$$\sin\phi = TS/OS = \frac{(\sigma_1' - \sigma_3')/2}{(\sigma_1' + \sigma_3')/2}$$

$$\left[\frac{\sigma_1'}{\sigma_3'}\right] = \frac{(1+\sin\phi)}{(1-\sin\phi)}$$

Angle of shearing resistance:

at peak strength ϕ_{max} at $\left[\frac{\sigma_1'}{\sigma_3'}\right]_{max}$

at critical state ϕ_{crit} after large shear strains

• **Mobilised angle of dilation in plane strain ψ in the 1 – 3 plane**



$$\sin\psi = VO/VZ = -\frac{(\delta\varepsilon_1 + \delta\varepsilon_3)/2}{(\delta\varepsilon_1 - \delta\varepsilon_3)/2} = -\frac{\delta\varepsilon_v}{\delta\varepsilon_\gamma}$$

$$\left[\frac{\delta\varepsilon_1}{\delta\varepsilon_3}\right] = -\frac{(1-\sin\psi)}{(1+\sin\psi)}$$

at peak strength $\psi = \psi_{max}$ at $\left[\frac{\sigma_1'}{\sigma_3'}\right]_{max}$

at critical state $\psi = 0$ since volume is constant

Plasticity: Cohesive material $\tau_{max} = c_u$ (or s_u)

- **Limiting stresses**

Tresca $\quad |\sigma_1 - \sigma_3| = q_u = 2c_u$

von Mises $\quad (\sigma_1 - p)^2 + (\sigma_2 - p)^2 + (\sigma_3 - p)^2 = \frac{2}{3} q_u^2 = 2c_u^2$

where q_u is the undrained triaxial compression strength, and c_u is the undrained plane shear strength.

Dissipation per unit volume in plane strain deformation following either Tresca or von Mises,

$$\delta D = c_u \, \delta\varepsilon_\gamma$$

For a relative displacement x across a slip surface of area A mobilising shear strength c_u, this becomes

$$D = A c_u x$$

- **Stress conditions across a discontinuity**





Rotation of major principal stress θ

$$\theta = 90° - \alpha$$
$$s_B - s_A = \Delta s = 2c_u \sin\theta$$
$$\sigma_{1B} - \sigma_{1A} = 2c_u \sin\theta$$

In limit with $\theta \rightarrow 0$

$$ds = 2c_u \, d\theta$$

Useful example:

$$\theta = 30°$$

$$\sigma_{1B} - \sigma_{1A} = c_u$$

$$\tau_D / c_u = 0.87$$

σ_{1A} = major principal stress in zone A

σ_{1B} = major principal stress in zone B

Plasticity: Frictional material $(\tau/\sigma')_{max} = \tan\phi$

- **Limiting stresses**

$$\sin\phi = (\sigma'_{1f} - \sigma'_{3f})/(\sigma'_{1f} + \sigma'_{3f}) = (\sigma_{1f} - \sigma_{3f})/(\sigma_{1f} + \sigma_{3f} - 2u_s)$$

where σ'_{1f} and σ'_{3f} are the major and minor principal effective stresses at failure, σ_{1f} and σ_{3f} are the major and minor principle total stresses at failure, and u_s is the steady state pore pressure.

Active pressure:

$\sigma'_v > \sigma'_h$

$\sigma'_1 = \sigma'_v$ (assuming principal stresses are horizontal and vertical)

$\sigma'_3 = \sigma'_h$

$K_a = (1 - \sin\phi)/(1 + \sin\phi)$

Passive pressure:

$\sigma'_h > \sigma'_v$

$\sigma'_1 = \sigma'_h$ (assuming principal stresses are horizontal and vertical)

$\sigma'_3 = \sigma'_v$

$K_p = (1 + \sin\phi)/(1 - \sin\phi) = 1/K_a$

- **Stress conditions across a discontinuity**



Rotation of major principal stress

$\theta = \pi/2 - \Omega$

σ_{1A} = major principal stress in zone A

σ_{1B} = major principal stress in zone B

$\tan\delta = \tau_D / \sigma'_D$



$\sin\Omega = \sin\delta / \sin\phi$

$s'_B/s'_A = \sin(\Omega + \delta) / \sin(\Omega - \delta)$

In limit, $d\theta \to 0$ and $\delta \to \phi$

$ds' = 2s'.\, d\theta \tan\phi$

Integration gives $s'_B/s'_A = \exp(2\theta \tan\phi)$

Empirical earth pressure coefficients following one-dimensional strain

Coefficient of earth pressure in 1D plastic compression (normal compression)

$$K_{o,nc} = 1 - \sin \phi_{crit}$$

Coefficient of earth pressure during a 1D unloading-reloading cycle (overconsolidated soil)

$$K_o = K_{o,nc}\left[1 + \frac{(n-1)(n_{max}^{\alpha} - 1)}{(n_{max} - 1)}\right]$$

where n is current overconsolidation ratio (OCR) defined as $\sigma'_{v,max} / \sigma'_v$

n_{max} is maximum historic OCR defined as $\sigma'_{v,max} / \sigma'_{v,min}$

α is to be taken as $1.2 \sin \phi_{crit}$

Cylindrical cavity expansion

Expansion $\delta A = A - A_o$ caused by increase of pressure $\delta\sigma_c = \sigma_c - \sigma_o$

At radius r: small displacement $\rho = \dfrac{\delta A}{2\pi r}$

small shear strain $\gamma = \dfrac{2\rho}{r}$

Radial equilibrium: $r\dfrac{d\sigma r}{dr} + \sigma_r - \sigma_\theta = 0$

Elastic expansion (small strains) $\delta\sigma_c = G\dfrac{\delta A}{A}$

Undrained plastic-elastic expansion $\delta\sigma_c = c_u\left[1 + \ln\dfrac{G}{c_u} + \ln\dfrac{\delta A}{A}\right]$

Infinite slope analysis



$$u = \gamma_w z_w \cos^2\beta$$
$$\sigma = \gamma z \cos^2\beta$$
$$\sigma' = (\gamma z - \gamma_w z_w) \cos^2\beta$$
$$\tau = \gamma z \cos\beta \sin\beta$$

$$\tan\phi_{mob} = \frac{\tau}{\sigma'} = \frac{\tan\beta}{\left(1 - \frac{\gamma_w z_w}{\gamma z}\right)}$$

Shallow foundation design

Tresca soil, with undrained strength s_u

Vertical loading

The vertical bearing capacity, q_f, of a shallow foundation for undrained loading (Tresca soil) is:

$$\frac{V_{ult}}{A} = q_f = s_c d_c N_c s_u + \gamma h$$

V_{ult} and A are the ultimate vertical load and the foundation area, respectively. h is the embedment of the foundation base and γ (or γ') is the appropriate density of the overburden.

The exact bearing capacity factor N_c for a plane strain surface foundation (zero embedment) on uniform soil is:

$$N_c = 2 + \pi \qquad \text{(Prandtl, 1921)}$$

Shape correction factor:

For a rectangular footing of length L and breadth B (Eurocode 7):

$$s_c = 1 + 0.2\, B / L$$

The exact solution for a rough circular foundation (D = B = L) is $q_f = 6.05 s_u$, hence $s_c = 1.18 \sim 1.2$.

Embedment correction factor:

A fit to Skempton's (1951) embedment correction factors, for an embedment of h, is:

$$d_c = 1 + 0.33 \tan^{-1}(h/B) \qquad \text{(or h/D for a circular foundation)}$$

Combined V-H loading

A curve fit to Green's lower bound plasticity solution for V-H loading is:

If $V/V_{ult} > 0.5$:
$$\frac{V}{V_{ult}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{H}{H_{ult}}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{H}{H_{ult}} = 1 - \left(2\frac{V}{V_{ult}} - 1\right)^2$$

If $V/V_{ult} < 0.5$:
$$H = H_{ult} = B s_u$$

Combined V-H-M loading

With lift-off: combined Green-Meyerhof

Without lift-off:
$$\left(\frac{V}{V_{ult}}\right)^2 + \left[\frac{M}{M_{ult}}\left(1 - 0.3\frac{H}{H_{ult}}\right)\right]^2 + \left|\left(\frac{H}{H_{ult}}\right)^3\right| - 1 = 0 \quad \text{(Taiebet \& Carter 2000)}$$

Frictional (Coulomb) soil, with friction angle ϕ

Vertical loading

The vertical bearing capacity, q_f, of a shallow foundation under drained loading (Coulomb soil) is:

$$\frac{V_{ult}}{A} = q_f = s_q N_q \sigma'_{v0} + s_\gamma N_\gamma \frac{\gamma' B}{2}$$

The bearing capacity factors N_q and N_γ account for the capacity arising from surcharge and self-weight of the foundation soil respectively. σ'_{v0} is the in situ effective stress acting at the level of the foundation base.

For a strip footing on weightless soil, the exact solution for N_q is:

$N_q = \tan^2(\pi/4 + \phi/2)\, e^{(\pi \tan \phi)}$ (Prandtl 1921)

An empirical relationship to estimate N_γ from N_q is (Eurocode 7):

$N_\gamma = 2\,(N_q - 1) \tan \phi$

Curve fits to exact solutions for $N_\gamma = f(\phi)$ are (Davis & Booker 1971):

Rough base: $N_\gamma = 0.1054\, e^{9.6\phi}$

Smooth base: $N_\gamma = 0.0663\, e^{9.3\phi}$



Shape correction factors:

For a rectangular footing of length L and breadth B (Eurocode 7):

$s_q = 1 + (B \sin \phi) / L$
$s_\gamma = 1 - 0.3\, B / L$

For circular footings take L = B.

Combined V-H loading

The Green/Sokolovski lower bound solution gives a V-H failure surface.

Combined V-H-M loading

With lift-off- drained conditions - use Butterfield & Gottardi (1994) failure surface shown above

$$\left[\frac{H/V_{ult}}{t_h}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{M/BV_{ult}}{t_m}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{2C(M/BV_{ult})(H/V_{ult})}{t_h t_m}\right] = \left[\frac{V}{V_{ult}}\left(1 - \frac{V}{V_{ult}}\right)\right]^2$$

where $C = \tan\left(\frac{2\rho(t_h - t_m)(t_h + t_m)}{2 t_h t_m}\right)$ (Butterfield & Gottardi, 1994)

Typically, $t_h \sim 0.5$, $t_m \sim 0.4$ and $\rho \sim 15°$. Note that t_h is the friction coefficient, H/V= $\tan\phi$, during sliding.