ENGINEERING TRIPOS PART IIA

Friday 6 May 2011 2.30 to 4

Module 3D2

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING II

*Answer not more than **three** questions.*

All questions carry the same number of marks.

*The **approximate** percentage of marks allocated to each part of a question is indicated in the right margin.*

Attachment: Geotechnical Engineering Data Book (19 pages)

STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS
Single-sided script paper
Graph paper

SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS
Engineering Data Book
CUED approved calculator allowed

You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages of this question paper until instructed that you may do so by the Invigilator

1 (a) Sketch a schematic diagram of peak strength envelopes of loose and dense sands in $\sigma' - \tau$ space and comment on the shape in relation to the conventional Mohr-Coulomb failure envelope using c' and ϕ'. Discuss any consideration needed when the conventional failure envelope is used to characterise the peak strength of sands. [15%]

(b) Discuss why progressive failure is likely to happen in dense soil and why the critical state strengths are preferred for such soil in geotechnical design. [15%]

(c) The soil taken from a field site is found to be uniform sub-angular quartz sand, which has maximum and minimum void ratios of 0.90 and 0.40.

(i) Assuming that the critical state occurs when the relative dilatancy index I_R is zero, plot the critical state line in $e - \ln\sigma_v'$ space. Try several vertical stresses (100, 200, 500, 1000, 2000 and 5000 kPa) to obtain the line. Comment on the shape of the line compared to the critical state line in clay. [20%]

(ii) In the graph drawn in (i), sketch schematically a possible normal compression line when a specimen of this sand is initially compacted at a void ratio of 0.7 and then consolidated. Comment on the general shear behaviour of the specimen in relation to the applied confining stress. [10%]

(iii) The in-situ void ratio is 0.65 at a depth where the vertical effective stress is 200 kPa. Estimate the peak drained shear strength and the critical state strength at this depth in simple shear under plane strain conditions. Evaluate the void ratio at the critical state. Sketch the shear stress – shear strain relationship and the volumetric strain – shear strain relationship, giving salient values. [20%]

(iv) The in-situ void ratio is 0.80 at a depth where the vertical effective stress is 150 kPa. Estimate the undrained shear strength at the critical state in simple shear under plane strain conditions. Evaluate the excess pore pressure generated at failure in undrained conditions. Sketch the shear stress – shear strain relationship and the excess pore pressure – shear strain relationship, giving salient values. [20%]

2 Triaxial compression tests are performed on reconstituted London clay samples. Use the material parameters of London clay given in the Data Book, and the Cam clay model when necessary, to answer the following questions.

(a) A reconstituted London clay specimen is isotropically consolidated to 100 kPa. The specimen is then subjected to drained shear tests. Estimate the drained strength and the volumetric strain generated at failure for the following tests. For each case, draw the stress path in $p' - q$ space and the state path in $v - \ln p'$ space.

(i) A triaxial compression test where the axial stress is increased and the radial stress is kept constant. [25%]

(ii) A triaxial extension test where the radial stress is increased and the axial stress is kept constant. [25%]

(b) A reconstituted London clay specimen is isotropically consolidated to 300 kPa, and then unloaded to 100 kPa during which swelling is allowed to complete. It is then subjected to undrained axial compression, in which the axial stress is increased and the radial stress is kept constant.

(i) Estimate the undrained shear strength and the excess pore pressure generated at failure. [20%]

(ii) Calculate the yield stress when it starts to exhibit plastic behaviour. [15%]

(iii) To what isotropic pressure does the clay need to be normally consolidated in order to give the same undrained shear strength calculated in (i)? [15%]

(TURN OVER

3 A 10 m diameter tunnel is to be constructed at a depth of 30 m in clay below a building on pile foundations, as shown in Fig. 1. For a previous tunnel of the same size and depth in clay with identical properties but not with building above, the measured settlement at the tunnel crown was 50 mm. The clay has an undrained shear strength c_u = 150 kNm^{-2} and an elastic shear modulus G = 50 MNm^{-2}. The unit weight of the clay is γ = 20 kNm^{-3}. The influence of the piles and the building on the ground behaviour should be ignored.

Assume that the tunnel construction process can be considered to be an axisymmetric contracting cylindrical cavity under undrained conditions, supported by a smooth tunnel lining.

(a) Calculate the maximum settlement at the toe of the piles. [20%]

(b) The clay can be idealized as a linear elastic-perfectly plastic material. After yield has occurred, there is a plastic zone around the tunnel surrounded by an elastic zone. Within the elastic zone, at any radius r, the radial and circumferential stresses, σ_r and σ_θ respectively, are given by the following expressions:

$$\sigma_r = \sigma_0 - G\,\delta A/\pi r^2$$

$$\sigma_\theta = \sigma_0 + G\,\delta A/\pi r^2$$

where σ_0 is the original insitu total stress in the ground, G is the elastic shear modulus and δA is the reduction in cross-sectional area of the cavity. Show that the radius of the plastic zone, r_p, is given by the following expression:

$$\frac{r_p}{r_c} = \left(\frac{G}{c_u}\cdot\frac{\delta A}{A}\right)^{0.5}$$

where r_c is the radius of the cavity, A is the cross-sectional area of the cavity and the other symbols are as defined above. [30%]

(c) By how much will the pile immediately above the tunnel centre-line extend into the plastic zone? [25%]

(d) Assuming that the building load is negligible, calculate the average radial stress acting on the tunnel lining after excavation. [25%]

(cont.



Not to scale

Fig. 1

(TURN OVER

4 Figure 2 shows a smooth retaining wall supporting a uniform clay, for which the critical state friction angle is $\phi_{crit} = 25°$ and the bulk unit weight is 20 kNm^{-3} both above and below the water table. A long time before the wall was installed the clay had been deposited one-dimensionally under normally consolidated conditions and the ground surface had been at a maximum of 20 m above the present ground level; the water level at that time had been at the ground surface. Since then 20 m of soil has been eroded, and the water level is now 1 m below the present ground level.

(a) Calculate the stresses experienced by the clay element A at a depth of 10 m below the present ground surface, as follows:

(i) Using the expression in the Data Book for the coefficient of earth pressure $K_{0,nc}$, calculate the original maximum total and effective vertical and horizontal stresses. [10%]

(ii) Calculate the present day overconsolidation ratio, and the total and effective vertical and horizontal stresses, assuming $K_0 = 0.9$, before the wall is installed. [15%]

(iii) Plot these stresses in terms of t, s' and s (as defined in the Data Book), show the effective stress history in terms of stress paths, and also plot the critical state line. [15%]

(b) After the wall is installed, the soil in front of it is excavated rapidly under undrained conditions and replaced with struts incorporating jacks applying loads to the wall equivalent to a uniform soil pressure $P = 120$ kPa. Assuming that the soil behaves elastically, calculate the change of pore pressure for the clay element A. Show the total and effective stress paths on your graph. [30%]

(c) Following completion of the excavation, the support pressure P is maintained at 120 kPa by adjusting the jacks in the struts but the pore pressure in the soil starts to increase with time. When the clay element at A reaches the critical state line, by how much would the pore pressure have increased? [30%]

(cont.



Fig. 2

END OF PAPER

Engineering Tripos Part IIA

3D1 & 3D2 Geotechnical Engineering

Data Book 2010-2011

Contents **Page**

General definitions	2
Soil classification	3
Seepage	4
One-dimensional compression	5
One-dimensional consolidation	6
Stress and strain components	7, 8
Elastic stiffness relations	9
Cam Clay	10, 11
Friction and dilation	12, 13, 14
Plasticity; cohesive material	15
Plasticity; frictional material	16
Empirical earth pressure coefficients	17
Cylindrical cavity expansion	17
Infinite slope analysis	17
Shallow foundation capacity	18, 19

General definitions



Specific gravity of solid	G_s	
Voids ratio	e	$= V_v / V_s$
Specific volume	v	$= V_t / V_s = 1 + e$
Porosity	n	$= V_v / V_t = e/(1 + e)$
Water content	w	$= (W_w / W_s)$
Degree of saturation	S_r	$= V_w / V_v = (w\,G_s/e)$
Unit weight of water	γ_w	$= 9.81$ kN/m³
Unit weight of soil	γ	$= W_t / V_t = \left(\dfrac{G_s + S_r e}{1 + e}\right)\gamma_w$
Buoyant saturated unit weight	γ'	$= \gamma - \gamma_w = \left(\dfrac{G_s - 1}{1 + e}\right)\gamma_w$
Unit weight of dry solids	γ_d	$= W_s / V_t = \left(\dfrac{G_s}{1 + e}\right)\gamma_w$
Air volume ratio	A	$= V_a/V_t = \left(\dfrac{e(1 - S_r)}{1+e}\right)$

Soil classification (BS1377)

Liquid limit w_L

Plastic Limit w_P

Plasticity Index $I_P = w_L - w_P$

Liquidity Index $I_L = \frac{w - w_P}{w_L - w_P}$

$$\text{Activity} = \frac{\text{Plasticity Index}}{\text{Percentage of particles finer than 2 } \mu\text{m}}$$

$$\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{\text{Unconfined compressive strength of an undisturbed specimen}}{\text{Unconfined compressive strength of a remoulded specimen}}$$ (at the same water content)

Classification of particle sizes:–

Boulders	larger than			200 mm
Cobbles	between	200 mm	and	60 mm
Gravel	between	60 mm	and	2 mm
Sand	between	2 mm	and	0.06 mm
Silt	between	0.06 mm	and	0.002 mm
Clay	smaller than	0.002 mm (two microns)		

D equivalent diameter of soil particle

D_{10}, D_{60} etc. particle size such that 10% (or 60%) etc.) by weight of a soil sample is composed of finer grains.

C_U uniformity coefficient D_{60} / D_{10}

Seepage

Flow potential:
(piezometric level)



Total gauge pore water pressure at A: $u = \gamma_w h = \gamma_w(\bar{h} + z)$

B: $u + \Delta u = \gamma_w (h + \Delta h) = \gamma_w (\bar{h} + z + \Delta \bar{h} + \Delta z)$

Excess pore water pressure at A: $\bar{u} = \gamma_w \bar{h}$

B: $\bar{u} + \Delta \bar{u} = \gamma_w (\bar{h} + \Delta \bar{h})$

Hydraulic gradient A → B $\quad i = -\dfrac{\Delta \bar{h}}{\Delta s}$

Hydraulic gradient (3D) $\quad i = -\nabla \bar{h}$

Darcy's law $V = ki$

V = superficial seepage velocity

k = coefficient of permeability

Typical permeabilities:

$D_{10} > 10$ mm	:	non-laminar flow
10 mm $> D_{10} > 1\mu$m	:	$k \cong 0.01\,(D_{10}$ in mm$)^2$ m/s
clays	:	$k \cong 10^{-9}$ to 10^{-11} m/s

Saturated capillary zone

$h_c = \dfrac{4T}{\gamma_w d}$: capillary rise in tube diameter d, for surface tension T

$h_c \approx \dfrac{3\times10^{-5}}{D_{10}}$ m : for water at 10°C; note air entry suction is $u_c = -\gamma_w h_c$

One-Dimensional Compression

- **Fitting data**

Typical data (sand or clay)



Mathematical model



Plastic compression stress σ'_c is taken as the larger of the initial aggregate crushing stress and the historic maximum effective vertical stress. Clay muds are taken to begin with $\sigma'_c \approx 1$ kPa.

Plastic compression (normal compression line, ncl): $v = v_\lambda - \lambda \ln \sigma'$ for $\sigma' = \sigma'_c$

Elastic swelling and recompression line (esrl): $v = v_c + \kappa (\ln \sigma'_c - \ln \sigma'_v)$

$= v_\kappa - \kappa \ln \sigma'_v$ for $\sigma' < \sigma'_c$

Equivalent parameters for $\log_{10}$ stress scale:

Terzaghi's compression index $C_c = \lambda \log_{10} e$

Terzaghi's swelling index $C_s = \kappa \log_{10} e$

- **Deriving confined soil stiffnesses**

Secant 1D compression modulus $E_o = (\Delta\sigma' / \Delta\varepsilon)_o$

Tangent 1D plastic compression modulus $E_o = v \sigma' / \lambda$

Tangent 1D elastic compression modulus $E_o = v \sigma' / \kappa$

One-Dimensional Consolidation

Settlement	ρ	$= \int m_v (\Delta u - \bar{u})\, dz$	$= \int (\Delta u - \bar{u}) / E_o\, dz$
Coefficient of consolidation	c_v	$= \dfrac{k}{m_v \gamma_w}$	$= \dfrac{kE_o}{\gamma_w}$
Dimensionless time factor	T_v	$= \dfrac{c_v t}{d^2}$	
Relative settlement	R_v	$= \dfrac{\rho}{\rho_{ult}}$	

- **Solutions for initially rectangular distribution of excess pore pressure**



Approximate solution by parabolic isochrones:

Phase (i) $L^2 = 12\, c_v t$

$R_v = \sqrt{\dfrac{4T_v}{3}}$ for $T_v < {}^1/_{12}$

Phase (ii) $b = \exp(\tfrac{1}{4} - 3T_v)$

$R_v = [1 - {}^2/_3 \exp(\tfrac{1}{4} - 3T_v)]$ for $T_v > {}^1/_{12}$

Solution by Fourier Series:

T_v	0	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.20	0.30	0.40	0.50	0.60	0.80	1.00
R_v	0	0.12	0.17	0.23	0.32	0.45	0.51	0.62	0.70	0.77	0.82	0.89	0.94

Stress and strain components

- **Principle of effective stress (saturated soil)**

total stress σ = effective stress σ' + pore water pressure u

- **Principal components of stress and strain**

sign convention	compression positive
total stress	σ_1, σ_2, σ_3
effective stress	σ_1', σ_2', σ_3'
strain	ε_1, ε_2, ε_3

- **Simple Shear Apparatus (SSA)** ($\varepsilon_2 = 0$; other principal directions unknown)

The only stresses that are readily available are the shear stress τ and normal stress σ applied to the top platen. The pore pressure u can be controlled and measured, so the normal effective stress σ' can be found. Drainage can be permitted or prevented. The shear strain γ and normal strain ε are measured with respect to the top platen, which is a plane of zero extension. Zero extension planes are often identified with slip surfaces.

work increment per unit volume $\delta W = \tau\,\delta\gamma + \sigma'\delta\varepsilon$

- **Biaxial Apparatus - Plane Strain (BA-PS)** ($\varepsilon_2 = 0$; rectangular edges along principal axes)

Intermediate principal effective stress σ_2', in zero strain direction, is frequently unknown so that all conditions are related to components in the 1-3 plane.

mean total stress	$s = (\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)/2$
mean effective stress	$s' = (\sigma_1' + \sigma_3')/2 = s - u$
shear stress	$t = (\sigma_1' - \sigma_3')/2 = (\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2$
volumetric strain	$\varepsilon_v = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3$
shear strain	$\varepsilon_\gamma = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3$
work increment per unit volume	$\delta W = \sigma_1'\delta\varepsilon_1 + \sigma_3'\delta\varepsilon_3$
	$\delta W = s'\delta\varepsilon_v + t\delta\varepsilon_\gamma$

providing that principal axes of strain increment and of stress coincide.

• Triaxial Apparatus – Axial Symmetry (TA-AS) (cylindrical element with radial symmetry)

total axial stress	σ_a	=	$\sigma'_a + u$
total radial stress	σ_r	=	$\sigma'_r + u$
total mean normal stress	p	=	$(\sigma_a + 2\sigma_r)/3$
effective mean normal stress	p'	=	$(\sigma'_a + 2\sigma'_r)/3 = p - u$
deviatoric stress	q	=	$\sigma'_a - \sigma'_r = \sigma_a - \sigma_r$
stress ratio	η	=	q/p'
axial strain	ε_a		
radial strain	ε_r		
volumetric strain	ε_v	=	$\varepsilon_a + 2\varepsilon_r$
triaxial shear strain	ε_s	=	$\frac{2}{3}(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_r)$
work increment per unit volume	δW	=	$\sigma_a'\delta\varepsilon_a + 2\sigma_r'\delta\varepsilon_r$
	δW	=	$p'\delta\varepsilon_v + q\delta\varepsilon_s$

Types of triaxial test include:

isotropic compression in which p' increases at zero q

triaxial compression in which q increases *either* by increasing σ_a *or* by reducing σ_r

triaxial extension in which q reduces *either* by reducing σ_a *or* by increasing σ_r

• Mohr's circle of stress (1–3 plane)

Sign of convention: compression, and counter-clockwise shear, positive





Poles of planes P: the components of stress on the N plane are given by the intersection N of the Mohr circle with the line PN through P parallel to the plane.

Elastic stiffness relations

These relations apply to tangent stiffnesses of over-consolidated soil, with a state point on some swelling and recompression line (κ-line), and remote from gross plastic yielding.

One-dimensional compression (axial stress and strain increments $d\sigma'$, $d\varepsilon$)

compressibility $m_v = d\varepsilon / d\sigma'$

constrained modulus $E_o = 1 / m_v$

Physically fundamental parameters

shear modulus $G' = dt / d\varepsilon_\gamma$

bulk modulus $K' = dp' / d\varepsilon_v$

Parameters which can be used for constant-volume deformations

undrained shear modulus $G_u = G'$

undrained bulk modulus $K_u = \infty$ (neglecting compressibility of water)

Alternative convenient parameters

Young's moduli E' (effective), E_u (undrained)

Poisson's ratios ν' (effective), $\nu_u = 0.5$ (undrained)

Typical value of Poisson's ratio for small changes of stress: $\nu' = 0.2$

Relationships:

$$G = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}$$

$$K = \frac{E}{3(1-2\nu)}$$

$$E_o = \frac{E(1-\nu)}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)}$$

Cam Clay

• Interchangeable parameters for stress combinations at yield, and plastic strain increments

System	Effective normal stress	Plastic normal strain	Effective shear stress	Plastic shear strain	Critical stress ratio	Plastic normal stress	Critical normal stress
General	σ^*	ε^*	τ^*	γ^*	μ^*_{crit}	σ^*_c	σ^*_{crit}
SSA	σ'	ε	τ	γ	$\tan\phi_{crit}$	σ'_c	σ'_{crit}
BA-PS	s'	ε_v	t	ε_γ	$\sin\phi_{crit}$	s'_c	s'_{crit}
TA-AS	p'	ε_v	q	ε_s	M	p'_c	p'_{crit}

• General equations of plastic work

Plastic work and dissipation $\sigma^*\,\delta\varepsilon^* + \tau^*\,\delta\gamma^* = \mu^*_{crit}\,\sigma^*\,\delta\gamma^*$

Plastic flow rule – normality $\dfrac{d\tau^*}{d\sigma^*}\cdot\dfrac{d\gamma^*}{d\varepsilon^*} = -1$

• General yield surface

$$\frac{\tau^*}{\sigma^*} = \mu^* = \mu^*_{crit}.\ln\left[\frac{\sigma_c^*}{\sigma^*}\right]$$

• Parameter values which fit soil data

	London Clay	**Weald Clay**	**Kaolin**	**Dog's Bay Sand**	**Ham River Sand**
λ^*	0.161	0.093	0.26	0.334	0.163
κ^*	0.062	0.035	0.05	0.009	0.015
Γ^* at 1 kPa	2.759	2.060	3.767	4.360	3.026
$\sigma^*_{c,\,virgin}$ kPa	1	1	1	Loose 500	Loose 2500
				Dense 1500	Dense 15000
ϕ_{crit}	23°	24°	26°	39°	32°
M_{comp}	0.89	0.95	1.02	1.60	1.29
M_{extn}	0.69	0.72	0.76	1.04	0.90
w_L	0.78	0.43	0.74	--------------	--------------
w_P	0.26	0.18	0.42	--------------	--------------
G_s	2.75	2.75	2.61	2.75	2.65

Note: 1) parameters λ^*, κ^*, Γ^*, σ^*_c should depend to a small extent on the deformation mode, e.g. SSA, BA-PS, TA-AS, etc. This may be neglected unless further information is given.
2) Sand which is loose, or loaded cyclically, compacts more than Cam Clay allows.

- **The yield surface in (σ^*, τ^*, v) space**



ncl: normal compression line
$$v = N - \lambda \ln \sigma^*$$

csl: critical state line
$$v = \Gamma - \lambda \ln \sigma^*$$

where $N = \Gamma + \lambda - \kappa$



- **Regions of limiting soil behaviour**



Variation of Cam Clay yield surface

Zone D: denser than critical, "dry",
dilation or negative excess pore pressures,
Hvorslev strength envelope,
friction-dilatancy theory,
unstable shear rupture, progressive failure

Zone L: looser than critical, "wet",
compaction or positive excess pore pressures,
Modified Cam Clay yield surface,
stable strain-hardening continuum

Strength of soil: friction and dilation

• Friction and dilatancy: the saw-blade model of direct shear



Intergranular angle of friction at sliding contacts ϕ_μ

Angle of dilation ψ_{max}

Angle of internal friction $\phi_{max} = \phi_\mu + \psi_{max}$

• Friction and dilatancy: secant and tangent strength parameters





Secant angle of internal friction

$\tau = \sigma' \tan \phi_{max}$
$\phi_{max} = \phi_{crit} + \Delta\phi$
$\Delta\phi = f(\sigma'_{crit}/\sigma')$

typical envelope fitting data:
power curve
$(\tau/\tau_{crit}) = (\sigma'/\sigma'_{crit})^{\alpha}$
with $\alpha \approx 0.85$

Tangent angle of shearing envelope

$\tau = c' + \sigma' \tan \phi'$
$c' = f(\sigma'_{crit})$

typical envelope:
straight line
$\tan \phi' = 0.85 \tan \phi_{crit}$
$c' = 0.15\, \tau_{crit}$

• Friction and dilation: data of sands

The inter-granular friction angle of quartz grains, $\phi_\mu \approx 26°$. Turbulent shearing at a critical state causes ϕ_{crit} to exceed this. The critical state angle of internal friction ϕ_{crit} is a function of the uniformity of particle sizes, their shape, and mineralogy, and is developed at large shear strains irrespective of initial conditions. Typical values of ϕ_{crit} ($\pm 2°$) are:

well-graded, angular quartz or feldspar sands	40°
uniform sub-angular quartz sand	36°
uniform rounded quartz sand	32°

Relative density $\quad I_D = \dfrac{(e_{max} - e)}{(e_{max} - e_{min})}$ $\quad$ where:

e_{max} is the maximum void ratio achievable in quick-tilt test
e_{min} is the minimum void ratio achievable by vibratory compaction

Relative crushability $\quad I_C = \ln(\sigma_c / p')$ $\quad$ where:

σ_c is the aggregate crushing stress, taken to be a material constant, typical values being: 80 000 kPa for quartz silt, 20 000 kPa for quartz sand, 5 000 kPa for carbonate sand.

p' is the mean effective stress at failure which may be taken as approximately equal to the effective stress σ' normal to a shear plane.

Dilatancy contribution to the peak angle of internal friction is $\Delta\phi = (\phi_{max} - \phi_{crit}) = f(I_R)$

Relative dilatancy index $I_R = I_D I_C - 1$ $\quad$ where:

$I_R < 0$ indicates compaction, so that I_D increases and $I_R \rightarrow 0$ ultimately at a critical state
$I_R > 4$ to be limited to $I_R = 4$ unless corroborative dilatant strength data is available

The following empirical correlations are then available

plane strain conditions	$(\phi_{max} - \phi_{crit})$	$= 0.8\ \psi_{max}$	$= 5\ I_R$ degrees
triaxial strain conditions	$(\phi_{max} - \phi_{crit})$	$= 3\ I_R$ degrees	
all conditions	$(-\delta\varepsilon_v / \delta\varepsilon_1)_{max}$	$= 0.3\ I_R$	

The resulting peak strength envelope for triaxial tests on a quartz sand at an initial relative density $I_D = 1$ is shown below for the limited stress range 10 - 400 kPa:



$\phi_{max} > \phi_{crit} + 9°$ for $I_D = 1$, $\sigma' = 400$ kPa

- **Mobilised (secant) angle of shearing ϕ in the 1 – 3 plane**



$$\sin\phi = TS/OS = \frac{(\sigma_1' - \sigma_3')/2}{(\sigma_1' + \sigma_3')/2}$$

$$\left[\frac{\sigma_1'}{\sigma_3'}\right] = \frac{(1+\sin\phi)}{(1-\sin\phi)}$$

Angle of shearing resistance:

at peak strength ϕ_{max} at $\left[\frac{\sigma_1'}{\sigma_3'}\right]_{max}$

at critical state ϕ_{crit} after large shear strains

- **Mobilised angle of dilation in plane strain ψ in the 1 – 3 plane**



$$\sin\psi = VO/VZ = -\frac{(\delta\varepsilon_1 + \delta\varepsilon_3)/2}{(\delta\varepsilon_1 - \delta\varepsilon_3)/2} = -\frac{\delta\varepsilon_v}{\delta\varepsilon_\gamma}$$

$$\left[\frac{\delta\varepsilon_1}{\delta\varepsilon_3}\right] = -\frac{(1-\sin\psi)}{(1+\sin\psi)}$$

at peak strength $\psi = \psi_{max}$ at $\left[\frac{\sigma_1'}{\sigma_3'}\right]_{max}$

at critical state $\psi = 0$ since volume is constant

Plasticity: Cohesive material $\tau_{max} = c_u$ (or s_u)

• Limiting stresses

Tresca $\quad |\sigma_1 - \sigma_3| = q_u = 2c_u$

von Mises $\quad (\sigma_1 - p)^2 + (\sigma_2 - p)^2 + (\sigma_3 - p)^2 = \frac{2}{3} q_u^2 = 2c_u^2$

where q_u is the undrained triaxial compression strength, and c_u is the undrained plane shear strength.

Dissipation per unit volume in plane strain deformation following either Tresca or von Mises,

$$\delta D = c_u \, \delta\varepsilon_\gamma$$

For a relative displacement x across a slip surface of area A mobilising shear strength c_u, this becomes

$$D = A c_u x$$

• Stress conditions across a discontinuity



Rotation of major principal stress θ

$$\theta = 90° - \alpha$$
$$s_B - s_A = \Delta s = 2c_u \sin\theta$$
$$\sigma_{1B} - \sigma_{1A} = 2c_u \sin\theta$$

In limit with $\theta \to 0$

$$ds = 2c_u \, d\theta$$



Useful example:

$$\theta = 30°$$

$$\sigma_{1B} - \sigma_{1A} = c_u$$

$$\tau_D / c_u = 0.87$$

σ_{1A} = major principal stress in zone A

σ_{1B} = major principal stress in zone B

Plasticity: Frictional material $(\tau/\sigma')_{max} = \tan\phi$

• Limiting stresses

$$\sin\phi = (\sigma'_{1f} - \sigma'_{3f})/(\sigma'_{1f} + \sigma'_{3f}) = (\sigma_{1f} - \sigma_{3f})/(\sigma_{1f} + \sigma_{3f} - 2u_s)$$

where σ'_{1f} and σ'_{3f} are the major and minor principal effective stresses at failure, σ_{1f} and σ_{3f} are the major and minor principle total stresses at failure, and u_s is the steady state pore pressure.

Active pressure:
$\sigma'_v > \sigma'_h$
$\sigma'_1 = \sigma'_v$ (assuming principal stresses are horizontal and vertical)
$\sigma'_3 = \sigma'_h$
$K_a = (1 - \sin\phi)/(1 + \sin\phi)$

Passive pressure:
$\sigma'_h > \sigma'_v$
$\sigma'_1 = \sigma'_h$ (assuming principal stresses are horizontal and vertical)
$\sigma'_3 = \sigma'_v$
$K_p = (1 + \sin\phi)/(1 - \sin\phi) = 1/K_a$

• Stress conditions across a discontinuity



Rotation of major principal stress

$\theta = \pi/2 - \Omega$

σ_{1A} = major principal stress in zone A

σ_{1B} = major principal stress in zone B

$\tan\delta = \tau_D / \sigma'_D$



$\sin\Omega = \sin\delta / \sin\phi$

$s'_B/s'_A = \sin(\Omega + \delta) / \sin(\Omega - \delta)$

In limit, $d\theta \to 0$ and $\delta \to \phi$

$ds' = 2s'.\, d\theta \tan\phi$

Integration gives $s'_B/s'_A = \exp(2\theta \tan\phi)$

Empirical earth pressure coefficients following one-dimensional strain

Coefficient of earth pressure in 1D plastic compression (normal compression)

$$K_{o,nc} = 1 - \sin \phi_{crit}$$

Coefficient of earth pressure during a 1D unloading-reloading cycle (overconsolidated soil)

$$K_o = K_{o,nc}\left[1 + \frac{(n-1)(n_{max}^{\alpha}-1)}{(n_{max}-1)}\right]$$

where n is current overconsolidation ratio (OCR) defined as $\sigma'_{v,max} / \sigma'_v$

n_{max} is maximum historic OCR defined as $\sigma'_{v,max} / \sigma'_{v,min}$

α is to be taken as $1.2 \sin \phi_{crit}$

Cylindrical cavity expansion

Expansion $\delta A = A - A_o$ caused by increase of pressure $\delta\sigma_c = \sigma_c - \sigma_o$

At radius r: small displacement $\rho = \dfrac{\delta A}{2\pi r}$

small shear strain $\gamma = \dfrac{2\rho}{r}$

Radial equilibrium: $r\dfrac{d\sigma r}{dr} + \sigma_r - \sigma_\theta = 0$

Elastic expansion (small strains) $\delta\sigma_c = G\dfrac{\delta A}{A}$

Undrained plastic-elastic expansion $\delta\sigma_c = c_u\left[1 + \ln\dfrac{G}{c_u} + \ln\dfrac{\delta A}{A}\right]$

Infinite slope analysis



$$u = \gamma_w z_w \cos^2\beta$$
$$\sigma = \gamma z \cos^2\beta$$
$$\sigma' = (\gamma z - \gamma_w z_w) \cos^2\beta$$
$$\tau = \gamma z \cos\beta \sin\beta$$

$$\tan \phi_{mob} = \frac{\tau}{\sigma'} = \frac{\tan\beta}{\left(1 - \frac{\gamma_w z_w}{\gamma z}\right)}$$

Shallow foundation design

Tresca soil, with undrained strength s_u

Vertical loading

The vertical bearing capacity, q_f, of a shallow foundation for undrained loading (Tresca soil) is:

$$\frac{V_{ult}}{A} = q_f = s_c d_c N_c s_u + \gamma h$$

V_{ult} and A are the ultimate vertical load and the foundation area, respectively. h is the embedment of the foundation base and γ (or γ') is the appropriate density of the overburden.

The exact bearing capacity factor N_c for a plane strain surface foundation (zero embedment) on uniform soil is:

$N_c = 2 + \pi$ (Prandtl, 1921)

Shape correction factor:

For a rectangular footing of length L and breadth B (Eurocode 7):

$s_c = 1 + 0.2\, B / L$

The exact solution for a rough circular foundation (D = B = L) is $q_f = 6.05 s_u$, hence $s_c = 1.18 \sim 1.2$.

Embedment correction factor:

A fit to Skempton's (1951) embedment correction factors, for an embedment of h, is:

$d_c = 1 + 0.33 \tan^{-1}(h/B)$ (or h/D for a circular foundation)

Combined V-H loading

A curve fit to Green's lower bound plasticity solution for V-H loading is:

If $V/V_{ult} > 0.5$: $\frac{V}{V_{ult}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{H}{H_{ult}}}$ or $\frac{H}{H_{ult}} = 1 - \left(2\frac{V}{V_{ult}} - 1\right)^2$

If $V/V_{ult} < 0.5$: $H = H_{ult} = B s_u$

Combined V-H-M loading

With lift-off: combined Green-Meyerhof

Without lift-off: $\left(\frac{V}{V_{ult}}\right)^2 + \left[\frac{M}{M_{ult}}\left(1 - 0.3\frac{H}{H_{ult}}\right)\right]^2 + \left|\left(\frac{H}{H_{ult}}\right)^3\right| - 1 = 0$ (Taiebet & Carter 2000)

Frictional (Coulomb) soil, with friction angle ϕ

Vertical loading

The vertical bearing capacity, q_f, of a shallow foundation under drained loading (Coulomb soil) is:

$$\frac{V_{ult}}{A} = q_f = s_q N_q \sigma'_{v0} + s_\gamma N_\gamma \frac{\gamma' B}{2}$$

The bearing capacity factors N_q and N_γ account for the capacity arising from surcharge and self-weight of the foundation soil respectively. σ'_{v0} is the in situ effective stress acting at the level of the foundation base.

For a strip footing on weightless soil, the exact solution for N_q is:

$$N_q = \tan^2(\pi/4 + \phi/2)\, e^{(\pi \tan \phi)} \qquad \text{(Prandtl 1921)}$$

An empirical relationship to estimate N_γ from N_q is (Eurocode 7):

$$N_\gamma = 2\,(N_q - 1) \tan \phi$$

Curve fits to exact solutions for $N_\gamma = f(\phi)$ are (Davis & Booker 1971):

Rough base: $N_\gamma = 0.1054\, e^{9.6\phi}$

Smooth base: $N_\gamma = 0.0663\, e^{9.3\phi}$



Shape correction factors:

For a rectangular footing of length L and breadth B (Eurocode 7):

$$s_q = 1 + (B \sin \phi) / L$$
$$s_\gamma = 1 - 0.3\, B / L$$

For circular footings take L = B.

Combined V-H loading

The Green/Sokolovski lower bound solution gives a V-H failure surface.

Combined V-H-M loading

With lift-off- drained conditions - use Butterfield & Gottardi (1994) failure surface shown above

$$\left[\frac{H/V_{ult}}{t_h}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{M/BV_{ult}}{t_m}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{2C(M/BV_{ult})(H/V_{ult})}{t_h t_m}\right] = \left[\frac{V}{V_{ult}}\left(1 - \frac{V}{V_{ult}}\right)\right]^2$$

where $C = \tan\left(\dfrac{2\rho(t_h - t_m)(t_h + t_m)}{2 t_h t_m}\right)$ (Butterfield & Gottardi, 1994)

Typically, t_h~0.5, t_m~0.4 and ρ~15°. Note that t_h is the friction coefficient, H/V= tanϕ, during sliding.

1. (a) –
   (b) –
   (c) (i) –
       (ii) –
       (iii) τ_{peak} = 183 kPa, τ_{crit} = 145 kPa, e_{crit} = 0.791
       (iv) τ_u = 98 kPa, Δu = 15 kPa

2. (a) (i) q_f = 126 kPa, ε_v = 7.4%
       (ii) q_f = 127 kPa, ε_v =9.4%
   (b) (i) q_f = 94.3 kPa, Δu = 25.5 kPa
       (ii) q_y = 97.8 kPa
       (iii) p = 196 kPa

3. (a) 25 mm
   (b) –
   (c) 2.9 m
   (d) 165 kPa

4. (a) (i) σ_v = 600 kPa, σ_h = 474 kPa, σ'_v = 300 kPa, σ'_h = 174 kPa
       (ii) OCR = 2.73, σ_v = 200 kPa, σ_h = 189 kPa, σ'_v = 110 kPa, σ'_h = 99 kPa
       (iii) –
   (b) -34.5 kPa
   (c) 9.5 kPa