ENGINEERING TRIPOS PART IIA

Friday 27 April 2012 9 to 10.30

Module 3D1

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING I

Answer not more than ***three*** *questions.*

The ***approximate*** *percentage of marks allocated to each part of a question is indicated in the right margin.*

The questions carry the same number of marks.

Attachment: Geotechnical Engineering Data Book (19 pages)

STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS	SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS
Single-sided script paper	Engineering Data Book
Graph paper	CUED approved calculator allowed

You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages of this question paper until instructed that you may do so by the Invigilator

FINAL VERSION

1 A 10 m high dam is to be constructed on an impermeable bedrock, with the geometry shown in Fig. 1. The stability of the dam will rely on its outer flanks which will be of stiff sandy clay, compacted in layers. Leakage through the dam will be suppressed by a soft clay core constructed using the hydraulic fill technique. This involves pouring the clay as a slurry and allowing it to consolidate under its own self-weight. You may assume that during consolidation the clay slurry has an average unit weight of 16 kNm^{-3}, a permeability of 10^{-8} ms^{-1} and an oedometer stiffness of 800 kPa. You may also assume that drainage only occurs vertically, that it begins only when the dam is complete, and that the crest of the core remains wet throughout.

(a) Estimate the ultimate settlement of the core surface. [20%]

(b) Sketch a sequence of excess pore-pressure isochrones for one-dimensional consolidation of the core, and derive expressions that describe their evolution with time. [40%]

(c) Estimate:

(i) the settlement 6 months after the core is completed; [10%]

(ii) the time taken to achieve 90% of the ultimate settlement. [10%]

(d) What factors will affect the accuracy of the calculations carried out above when compared to the behaviour of the real dam? [20%]



Fig. 1

2 A fill material is found to have a specific gravity of solids $G_s = 2.68$. Samples are subjected to Proctor standard compaction tests at a variety of water contents, giving the data shown below:

Water content	w	%	11	14	17	20	23
Bulk density	ρ	kg m^{-3}	1835	1938	1989	1980	1950

(a) Determine the optimum water content for compaction, and deduce the corresponding saturation ratio. [20%]

(b) The fill material is available at a variety of water contents, dependent on the depth from which it is sourced. Advise the developer on what material would be acceptable for use in constructing a flood embankment, and explain why. [15%]

(c) The fill material is compacted under Proctor optimum conditions to form a flood embankment 5 m high on a site consisting of a 10 m thick clay layer underlain by bedrock. The initial water table is at the surface of the clay. A clay sample from 2.5 m depth is found to have an initial bulk unit weight of 20 kNm^{-3}, and $G_s = 2.65$. Oedometer testing gives the data shown below:

Vertical stress	kPa	25	50	75	100	125	150
Sample thickness	mm	20.0	19.8	19.7	19.2	18.6	18.2

Deduce values for κ, λ, and the previous maximum effective stress, for the clay. [20%]

(d) Neglecting changes in the water content of the fill, what settlement would be expected following construction of the embankment? [30%]

(e) If the water table at the centre of the embankment rises 1 m above the surface of the clay, what extra movement of the embankment crest might be seen? [15%]

3 (a) Anchorages, to resist an upward pull T, can be constructed in clay by inserting a tube to the required depth and injecting an anchor body of rapid-setting cement around the end of the tube. In such cases the undrained shear strength of the clay s_u can conservatively be regarded as being unchanged. Figure 2 shows two hypothetical failure mechanisms comprising wedges and circular fans. In each case the cement body is assumed to form an inclined square prism of width A. Offering full supporting evidence in each case, construct plane strain solutions for the anchor capacity per unit length written as $T_f = A\ s_u N_a$ where the anchor capacity number N_a is to be calculated. Contrasting solutions are to be obtained as follows:

(i) a lower bound style of solution following mechanism (i), and assuming that the faces of the anchor body are frictionless; [40%]

(ii) an upper bound style of solution following mechanism (ii), and assuming that the faces of the anchor body are capable of fully mobilizing s_u. [40%]

(b) In reality, anchor bodies will be roughly circular in plan. By invoking analogous solutions from the Geotechnical Engineering Data Book, or otherwise, discuss the extent to which this might this affect the values of N_a calculated in (a). [20%]



Mechanism (i)



Mechanism (ii)

Fig. 2

FINAL VERSION

4 (a) Use Mohr circles of limiting stress to distinguish between the inclination to the vertical δ of the load applied to a strip footing, and the consequential inclination ψ of the principal stress direction in the soil immediately beneath the footing. If this is dry sand mobilizing a Coulomb angle of internal friction ϕ, derive an expression linking δ, ϕ and ψ. [30%]

(b) The introduction of a lateral shear stress τ_f in addition to a vertical bearing stress σ_f, to be carried by a shallow footing, strongly reduces the vertical bearing capacity of the footing. Derive, from first principles, general expressions from which the inclined bearing capacity of a strip foundation on the surface of homogeneous, dry, weightless sand could be calculated as a function of ϕ and ψ. Assume that the soil around the foundation carries a surcharge σ_o. [40%]

(c) Estimate the reduction factor in vertical bearing capacity caused when the applied load is inclined at 20° to the vertical, for dry weightless sand with an angle of friction of 35°. Compare your calculation with the result obtainable from setting $M = 0$ in the *V-H-M* interaction expression of Butterfield and Gotardi (1994), as quoted in the Geotechnical Engineering Data Book. Suggest a possible explanation for the difference. [30%]

END OF PAPER

Engineering Tripos Part IIA

3D1 & 3D2
Geotechnical Engineering

Data Book 2010-2011

Contents	**Page**
General definitions	2
Soil classification	3
Seepage	4
One-dimensional compression	5
One-dimensional consolidation	6
Stress and strain components	7, 8
Elastic stiffness relations	9
Cam Clay	10, 11
Friction and dilation	12, 13, 14
Plasticity; cohesive material	15
Plasticity; frictional material	16
Empirical earth pressure coefficients	17
Cylindrical cavity expansion	17
Infinite slope analysis	17
Shallow foundation capacity	18, 19

General definitions



Specific gravity of solid	G_s
Voids ratio	$e = V_v / V_s$
Specific volume	$v = V_t / V_s = 1 + e$
Porosity	$n = V_v / V_t = e/(1 + e)$
Water content	$w = (W_w / W_s)$
Degree of saturation	$S_r = V_w / V_v = (w\, G_s/e)$
Unit weight of water	$\gamma_w = 9.81 \text{ kN/m}^3$
Unit weight of soil	$\gamma = W_t / V_t = \left(\frac{G_s + S_r e}{1 + e}\right) \gamma_w$
Buoyant saturated unit weight	$\gamma' = \gamma - \gamma_w = \left(\frac{G_s - 1}{1 + e}\right) \gamma_w$
Unit weight of dry solids	$\gamma_d = W_s / V_t = \left(\frac{G_s}{1 + e}\right) \gamma_w$
Air volume ratio	$A = V_a/V_t = \left(\frac{e(1 - S_r)}{1+e}\right)$

Soil classification (BS1377)

Liquid limit w_L

Plastic Limit w_P

Plasticity Index $I_P = w_L - w_P$

Liquidity Index $I_L = \frac{w - w_P}{w_L - w_P}$

$$\text{Activity} = \frac{\text{Plasticity Index}}{\text{Percentage of particles finer than } 2\ \mu\text{m}}$$

$$\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{\text{Unconfined compressive strength of an undisturbed specimen}}{\text{Unconfined compressive strength of a remoulded specimen}}$$ (at the same water content)

Classification of particle sizes:–

Boulders	larger than			200 mm
Cobbles	between	200 mm	and	60 mm
Gravel	between	60 mm	and	2 mm
Sand	between	2 mm	and	0.06 mm
Silt	between	0.06 mm	and	0.002 mm
Clay	smaller than	0.002 mm (two microns)		

D equivalent diameter of soil particle

D_{10}, D_{60} etc. particle size such that 10% (or 60%) etc.) by weight of a soil sample is composed of finer grains.

C_U uniformity coefficient D_{60} / D_{10}

Seepage

Flow potential:
(piezometric level)



Total gauge pore water pressure at A: $u = \gamma_w h = \gamma_w(\bar{h} + z)$

B: $u + \Delta u = \gamma_w (h + \Delta h) = \gamma_w (\bar{h} + z + \Delta\bar{h} + \Delta z)$

Excess pore water pressure at A: $\bar{u} = \gamma_w \bar{h}$

B: $\bar{u} + \Delta\bar{u} = \gamma_w (\bar{h} + \Delta\bar{h})$

Hydraulic gradient A → B $\quad i = -\dfrac{\Delta\bar{h}}{\Delta s}$

Hydraulic gradient (3D) $\quad i = -\nabla\bar{h}$

Darcy's law $V = ki$

V = superficial seepage velocity

k = coefficient of permeability

Typical permeabilities:

$D_{10} > 10$ mm	:	non-laminar flow
10 mm $> D_{10} >$ 1µm	:	$k \cong 0.01\ (D_{10}$ in mm$)^2$ m/s
clays	:	$k \cong 10^{-9}$ to 10^{-11} m/s

Saturated capillary zone

$h_c = \dfrac{4T}{\gamma_w d}$: capillary rise in tube diameter d, for surface tension T

$h_c \approx \dfrac{3\times10^{-5}}{D_{10}}$ m : for water at 10°C; note air entry suction is $u_c = -\gamma_w h_c$

One-Dimensional Compression

- **Fitting data**

Typical data (sand or clay)



Mathematical model



Plastic compression stress σ'_c is taken as the larger of the initial aggregate crushing stress and the historic maximum effective vertical stress. Clay muds are taken to begin with $\sigma'_c \approx 1$ kPa.

Plastic compression (normal compression line, ncl): $v = v_\lambda - \lambda \ln \sigma'$ for $\sigma' = \sigma'_c$

Elastic swelling and recompression line (esrl): $v = v_c + \kappa (\ln \sigma'_c - \ln \sigma'_v)$

$= v_\kappa - \kappa \ln \sigma'_v$ for $\sigma' < \sigma'_c$

Equivalent parameters for $\log_{10}$ stress scale:

Terzaghi's compression index $C_c = \lambda \log_{10} e$

Terzaghi's swelling index $C_s = \kappa \log_{10} e$

- **Deriving confined soil stiffnesses**

Secant 1D compression modulus $E_o = (\Delta\sigma' / \Delta\varepsilon)_o$

Tangent 1D plastic compression modulus $E_o = v\,\sigma' / \lambda$

Tangent 1D elastic compression modulus $E_o = v\,\sigma' / \kappa$

One-Dimensional Consolidation

Settlement	ρ	$= \int m_v (\Delta u - \bar{u})\, dz$	$= \int (\Delta u - \bar{u}) / E_o\, dz$
Coefficient of consolidation	c_v	$= \dfrac{k}{m_v \gamma_w}$	$= \dfrac{kE_o}{\gamma_w}$
Dimensionless time factor	T_v	$= \dfrac{c_v t}{d^2}$	
Relative settlement	R_v	$= \dfrac{\rho}{\rho_{ult}}$	

• **Solutions for initially rectangular distribution of excess pore pressure**



Approximate solution by parabolic isochrones:

Phase (i) $L^2 = 12\, c_v t$

$R_v = \sqrt{\dfrac{4T_v}{3}}$ for $T_v < {}^1/_{12}$

Phase (ii) $b = \exp(\tfrac{1}{4} - 3T_v)$

$R_v = [1 - {}^2/_3 \exp(\tfrac{1}{4} - 3T_v)]$ for $T_v > {}^1/_{12}$

Solution by Fourier Series:

T_v	0	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.20	0.30	0.40	0.50	0.60	0.80	1.00
R_v	0	0.12	0.17	0.23	0.32	0.45	0.51	0.62	0.70	0.77	0.82	0.89	0.94

Stress and strain components

- **Principle of effective stress (saturated soil)**

total stress σ = effective stress σ' + pore water pressure u

- **Principal components of stress and strain**

sign convention	compression positive
total stress	σ_1, σ_2, σ_3
effective stress	σ_1', σ_2', σ_3'
strain	ε_1, ε_2, ε_3

- **Simple Shear Apparatus (SSA)** ($\varepsilon_2 = 0$; other principal directions unknown)

The only stresses that are readily available are the shear stress τ and normal stress σ applied to the top platen. The pore pressure u can be controlled and measured, so the normal effective stress σ' can be found. Drainage can be permitted or prevented. The shear strain γ and normal strain ε are measured with respect to the top platen, which is a plane of zero extension. Zero extension planes are often identified with slip surfaces.

work increment per unit volume $\delta W = \tau\,\delta\gamma + \sigma'\delta\varepsilon$

- **Biaxial Apparatus - Plane Strain (BA-PS)** ($\varepsilon_2 = 0$; rectangular edges along principal axes)

Intermediate principal effective stress σ_2', in zero strain direction, is frequently unknown so that all conditions are related to components in the 1-3 plane.

mean total stress	$s = (\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)/2$
mean effective stress	$s' = (\sigma_1' + \sigma_3')/2 = s - u$
shear stress	$t = (\sigma_1' - \sigma_3')/2 = (\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2$
volumetric strain	$\varepsilon_v = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3$
shear strain	$\varepsilon_\gamma = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3$
work increment per unit volume	$\delta W = \sigma_1'\delta\varepsilon_1 + \sigma_3'\delta\varepsilon_3$
	$\delta W = s'\delta\varepsilon_v + t\delta\varepsilon_\gamma$

providing that principal axes of strain increment and of stress coincide.

- **Triaxial Apparatus – Axial Symmetry (TA-AS)** (cylindrical element with radial symmetry)

total axial stress	σ_a	$= \sigma_a' + u$
total radial stress	σ_r	$= \sigma_r' + u$
total mean normal stress	p	$= (\sigma_a + 2\sigma_r)/3$
effective mean normal stress	p'	$= (\sigma_a' + 2\sigma_r')/3 = p - u$
deviatoric stress	q	$= \sigma_a' - \sigma_r' = \sigma_a - \sigma_r$
stress ratio	η	$= q/p'$
axial strain	ε_a	
radial strain	ε_r	
volumetric strain	ε_v	$= \varepsilon_a + 2\varepsilon_r$
triaxial shear strain	ε_s	$= \frac{2}{3}(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_r)$
work increment per unit volume	δW	$= \sigma_a'\delta\varepsilon_a + 2\sigma_r'\delta\varepsilon_r$
	δW	$= p'\delta\varepsilon_v + q\delta\varepsilon_s$

Types of triaxial test include:

isotropic compression in which p' increases at zero q

triaxial compression in which q increases *either* by increasing σ_a *or* by reducing σ_r

triaxial extension in which q reduces *either* by reducing σ_a *or* by increasing σ_r

- **Mohr's circle of stress (1–3 plane)**

Sign of convention: compression, and counter-clockwise shear, positive





Poles of planes P : the components of stress on the N plane are given by the intersection N of the Mohr circle with the line PN through P parallel to the plane.

Elastic stiffness relations

These relations apply to tangent stiffnesses of over-consolidated soil, with a state point on some swelling and recompression line (κ-line), and remote from gross plastic yielding.

One-dimensional compression (axial stress and strain increments $d\sigma'$, $d\varepsilon$)

compressibility	$m_v = d\varepsilon / d\sigma'$
constrained modulus	$E_o = 1 / m_v$

Physically fundamental parameters

shear modulus	$G' = dt / d\varepsilon_\gamma$
bulk modulus	$K' = dp' / d\varepsilon_v$

Parameters which can be used for constant-volume deformations

undrained shear modulus	$G_u = G'$
undrained bulk modulus	$K_u = \infty$ (neglecting compressibility of water)

Alternative convenient parameters

Young's moduli	E' (effective), E_u (undrained)
Poisson's ratios	ν' (effective), $\nu_u = 0.5$ (undrained)

Typical value of Poisson's ratio for small changes of stress: $\nu' = 0.2$

Relationships:

$$G = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}$$

$$K = \frac{E}{3(1-2\nu)}$$

$$E_o = \frac{E(1-\nu)}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)}$$

Cam Clay

- **Interchangeable parameters for stress combinations at yield, and plastic strain increments**

System	Effective normal stress	Plastic normal strain	Effective shear stress	Plastic shear strain	Critical stress ratio	Plastic normal stress	Critical normal stress
General	σ^*	ε^*	τ^*	γ^*	μ^*_{crit}	σ^*_c	σ^*_{crit}
SSA	σ'	ε	τ	γ	$\tan\phi_{crit}$	σ'_c	σ'_{crit}
BA-PS	s'	ε_v	t	ε_γ	$\sin\phi_{crit}$	s'_c	s'_{crit}
TA-AS	p'	ε_v	q	ε_s	M	p'_c	p'_{crit}

- **General equations of plastic work**

Plastic work and dissipation $\quad \sigma^*\,\delta\varepsilon^* + \tau^*\,\delta\gamma^* = \mu^*_{crit}\,\sigma^*\,\delta\gamma^*$

Plastic flow rule – normality $\quad \dfrac{d\tau^*}{d\sigma^*}\cdot\dfrac{d\gamma^*}{d\varepsilon^*} = -1$

- **General yield surface**

$$\frac{\tau^*}{\sigma^*} = \mu^* = \mu^*_{crit}\,.\ln\left[\frac{\sigma_c^*}{\sigma^*}\right]$$

- **Parameter values which fit soil data**

	London Clay	Weald Clay	Kaolin	Dog's Bay Sand	Ham River Sand
λ^*	0.161	0.093	0.26	0.334	0.163
κ^*	0.062	0.035	0.05	0.009	0.015
Γ^* at 1 kPa	2.759	2.060	3.767	4.360	3.026
$\sigma^*_{c,\,virgin}$ kPa	1	1	1	Loose 500	Loose 2500
				Dense 1500	Dense 15000
ϕ_{crit}	23°	24°	26°	39°	32°
M_{comp}	0.89	0.95	1.02	1.60	1.29
M_{extn}	0.69	0.72	0.76	1.04	0.90
w_L	0.78	0.43	0.74	--------------	--------------
w_P	0.26	0.18	0.42	--------------	--------------
G_s	2.75	2.75	2.61	2.75	2.65

Note: 1) parameters λ^*, κ^*, Γ^*, σ^*_c should depend to a small extent on the deformation mode, e.g. SSA, BA-PS, TA-AS, etc. This may be neglected unless further information is given.
2) Sand which is loose, or loaded cyclically, compacts more than Cam Clay allows.

• The yield surface in (σ*, τ*, v) space



ncl: normal compression line

$v = N - \lambda \ln \sigma^*$

csl: critical state line

$v = \Gamma - \lambda \ln \sigma^*$

where $N = \Gamma + \lambda - \kappa$





• Regions of limiting soil behaviour



Variation of Cam Clay yield surface

Zone D: denser than critical, "dry",
dilation or negative excess pore pressures,
Hvorslev strength envelope,
friction-dilatancy theory,
unstable shear rupture, progressive failure

Zone L: looser than critical, "wet",
compaction or positive excess pore pressures,
Modified Cam Clay yield surface,
stable strain-hardening continuum

Strength of soil: friction and dilation

• Friction and dilatancy: the saw-blade model of direct shear



Intergranular angle of friction at sliding contacts ϕ_μ

Angle of dilation ψ_{max}

Angle of internal friction $\phi_{max} = \phi_\mu + \psi_{max}$

• Friction and dilatancy: secant and tangent strength parameters





Secant angle of internal friction

$$\tau = \sigma' \tan \phi_{max}$$
$$\phi_{max} = \phi_{crit} + \Delta\phi$$
$$\Delta\phi = f(\sigma'_{crit}/\sigma')$$

typical envelope fitting data:
power curve
$(\tau/\tau_{crit}) = (\sigma'/\sigma'_{crit})^{\alpha}$
with $\alpha \approx 0.85$

Tangent angle of shearing envelope

$$\tau = c' + \sigma' \tan \phi'$$
$$c' = f(\sigma'_{crit})$$

typical envelope:
straight line
$\tan \phi' = 0.85 \tan \phi_{crit}$
$c' = 0.15\ \tau_{crit}$

• Friction and dilation: data of sands

The inter-granular friction angle of quartz grains, $\phi_\mu \approx 26°$. Turbulent shearing at a critical state causes ϕ_{crit} to exceed this. The critical state angle of internal friction ϕ_{crit} is a function of the uniformity of particle sizes, their shape, and mineralogy, and is developed at large shear strains irrespective of initial conditions. Typical values of ϕ_{crit} ($\pm 2°$) are:

well-graded, angular quartz or feldspar sands	40°
uniform sub-angular quartz sand	36°
uniform rounded quartz sand	32°

Relative density $I_D = \dfrac{(e_{max} - e)}{(e_{max} - e_{min})}$ where:

e_{max} is the maximum void ratio achievable in quick-tilt test
e_{min} is the minimum void ratio achievable by vibratory compaction

Relative crushability $I_C = \ln(\sigma_c / p')$ where:

σ_c is the aggregate crushing stress, taken to be a material constant, typical values being: 80 000 kPa for quartz silt, 20 000 kPa for quartz sand, 5 000 kPa for carbonate sand.

p' is the mean effective stress at failure which may be taken as approximately equal to the effective stress σ' normal to a shear plane.

Dilatancy contribution to the peak angle of internal friction is $\Delta\phi = (\phi_{max} - \phi_{crit}) = f(I_R)$

Relative dilatancy index $I_R = I_D I_C - 1$ where:

$I_R < 0$ indicates compaction, so that I_D increases and $I_R \rightarrow 0$ ultimately at a critical state
$I_R > 4$ to be limited to $I_R = 4$ unless corroborative dilatant strength data is available

The following empirical correlations are then available

plane strain conditions	$(\phi_{max} - \phi_{crit})$	$= 0.8\ \psi_{max}$	$= 5\ I_R$ degrees
triaxial strain conditions	$(\phi_{max} - \phi_{crit})$	$= 3\ I_R$ degrees	
all conditions	$(-\delta\varepsilon_v / \delta\varepsilon_1)_{max}$	$= 0.3\ I_R$	

The resulting peak strength envelope for triaxial tests on a quartz sand at an initial relative density $I_D = 1$ is shown below for the limited stress range 10 - 400 kPa:



$\phi_{max} > \phi_{crit} + 9°$ for $I_D = 1$, $\sigma' = 400$ kPa

- **Mobilised (secant) angle of shearing ϕ in the 1 – 3 plane**



$$\sin\phi = TS/OS = \frac{(\sigma_1' - \sigma_3')/2}{(\sigma_1' + \sigma_3')/2}$$

$$\left[\frac{\sigma_1'}{\sigma_3'}\right] = \frac{(1+\sin\phi)}{(1-\sin\phi)}$$

Angle of shearing resistance:

at peak strength ϕ_{max} at $\left[\frac{\sigma_1'}{\sigma_3'}\right]_{max}$

at critical state ϕ_{crit} after large shear strains

- **Mobilised angle of dilation in plane strain ψ in the 1 – 3 plane**



$$\sin\psi = VO/VZ = -\frac{(\delta\varepsilon_1 + \delta\varepsilon_3)/2}{(\delta\varepsilon_1 - \delta\varepsilon_3)/2} = -\frac{\delta\varepsilon_v}{\delta\varepsilon_\gamma}$$

$$\left[\frac{\delta\varepsilon_1}{\delta\varepsilon_3}\right] = -\frac{(1-\sin\psi)}{(1+\sin\psi)}$$

at peak strength $\psi = \psi_{max}$ at $\left[\frac{\sigma_1'}{\sigma_3'}\right]_{max}$

at critical state $\psi = 0$ since volume is constant

Plasticity: Cohesive material $\tau_{max} = c_u$ (or s_u)

• Limiting stresses

Tresca $\quad |\sigma_1 - \sigma_3| = q_u = 2c_u$

von Mises $\quad (\sigma_1 - p)^2 + (\sigma_2 - p)^2 + (\sigma_3 - p)^2 = \frac{2}{3} q_u^2 = 2c_u^2$

where q_u is the undrained triaxial compression strength, and c_u is the undrained plane shear strength.

Dissipation per unit volume in plane strain deformation following either Tresca or von Mises,

$$\delta D = c_u \, \delta\varepsilon_\gamma$$

For a relative displacement x across a slip surface of area A mobilising shear strength c_u, this becomes

$$D = A c_u x$$

• Stress conditions across a discontinuity



Rotation of major principal stress θ

$$\theta = 90° - \alpha$$

$$s_B - s_A = \Delta s = 2c_u \sin\theta$$

$$\sigma_{1B} - \sigma_{1A} = 2c_u \sin\theta$$

In limit with $\theta \to 0$

$$ds = 2c_u \, d\theta$$



Useful example:

$$\theta = 30°$$

$$\sigma_{1B} - \sigma_{1A} = c_u$$

$$\tau_D / c_u = 0.87$$

σ_{1A} = major principal stress in zone A

σ_{1B} = major principal stress in zone B

Plasticity: Frictional material $(\tau/\sigma')_{max} = \tan\phi$

- **Limiting stresses**

$$\sin\phi = (\sigma'_{1f} - \sigma'_{3f})/(\sigma'_{1f} + \sigma'_{3f}) = (\sigma_{1f} - \sigma_{3f})/(\sigma_{1f} + \sigma_{3f} - 2u_s)$$

where σ'_{1f} and σ'_{3f} are the major and minor principal effective stresses at failure, σ_{1f} and σ_{3f} are the major and minor principle total stresses at failure, and u_s is the steady state pore pressure.

Active pressure:

$\sigma'_v > \sigma'_h$

$\sigma'_1 = \sigma'_v$ (assuming principal stresses are horizontal and vertical)

$\sigma'_3 = \sigma'_h$

$K_a = (1 - \sin\phi)/(1 + \sin\phi)$

Passive pressure:

$\sigma'_h > \sigma'_v$

$\sigma'_1 = \sigma'_h$ (assuming principal stresses are horizontal and vertical)

$\sigma'_3 = \sigma'_v$

$K_p = (1 + \sin\phi)/(1 - \sin\phi) = 1/K_a$

- **Stress conditions across a discontinuity**



Rotation of major principal stress

$\theta = \pi/2 - \Omega$

σ_{1A} = major principal stress in zone A

σ_{1B} = major principal stress in zone B

$\tan\delta = \tau_D / \sigma'_D$



$\sin\Omega = \sin\delta / \sin\phi$

$s'_B/s'_A = \sin(\Omega + \delta) / \sin(\Omega - \delta)$

In limit, $d\theta \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta \rightarrow \phi$

$ds' = 2s'.\, d\theta \tan\phi$

Integration gives $s'_B/s'_A = \exp(2\theta \tan\phi)$

Empirical earth pressure coefficients following one-dimensional strain

Coefficient of earth pressure in 1D plastic compression (normal compression)

$$K_{o,nc} = 1 - \sin\phi_{crit}$$

Coefficient of earth pressure during a 1D unloading-reloading cycle (overconsolidated soil)

$$K_o = K_{o,nc}\left[1 + \frac{(n-1)(n_{max}^{\alpha}-1)}{(n_{max}-1)}\right]$$

where n is current overconsolidation ratio (OCR) defined as $\sigma'_{v,max} / \sigma'_v$

n_{max} is maximum historic OCR defined as $\sigma'_{v,max} / \sigma'_{v,min}$

α is to be taken as $1.2 \sin\phi_{crit}$

Cylindrical cavity expansion

Expansion $\delta A = A - A_o$ caused by increase of pressure $\delta\sigma_c = \sigma_c - \sigma_o$

At radius r: small displacement $\rho = \dfrac{\delta A}{2\pi r}$

small shear strain $\gamma = \dfrac{2\rho}{r}$

Radial equilibrium: $r\dfrac{d\sigma r}{dr} + \sigma_r - \sigma_\theta = 0$

Elastic expansion (small strains) $\delta\sigma_c = G\dfrac{\delta A}{A}$

Undrained plastic-elastic expansion $\delta\sigma_c = c_u\left[1 + \ln\dfrac{G}{c_u} + \ln\dfrac{\delta A}{A}\right]$

Infinite slope analysis



$$u = \gamma_w z_w \cos^2\beta$$
$$\sigma = \gamma z \cos^2\beta$$
$$\sigma' = (\gamma z - \gamma_w z_w)\cos^2\beta$$
$$\tau = \gamma z \cos\beta \sin\beta$$

$$\tan\phi_{mob} = \frac{\tau}{\sigma'} = \frac{\tan\beta}{\left(1 - \frac{\gamma_w z_w}{\gamma z}\right)}$$

Shallow foundation design

Tresca soil, with undrained strength s_u

Vertical loading

The vertical bearing capacity, q_f, of a shallow foundation for undrained loading (Tresca soil) is:

$$\frac{V_{ult}}{A} = q_f = s_c d_c N_c s_u + \gamma h$$

V_{ult} and A are the ultimate vertical load and the foundation area, respectively. h is the embedment of the foundation base and γ (or γ') is the appropriate density of the overburden.

The exact bearing capacity factor N_c for a plane strain surface foundation (zero embedment) on uniform soil is:

$N_c = 2 + \pi$ (Prandtl, 1921)

Shape correction factor:

For a rectangular footing of length L and breadth B (Eurocode 7):

$s_c = 1 + 0.2\, B / L$

The exact solution for a rough circular foundation (D = B = L) is $q_f = 6.05 s_u$, hence $s_c = 1.18 \sim 1.2$.

Embedment correction factor:

A fit to Skempton's (1951) embedment correction factors, for an embedment of h, is:

$d_c = 1 + 0.33 \tan^{-1}(h/B)$ (or h/D for a circular foundation)

Combined V-H loading

A curve fit to Green's lower bound plasticity solution for V-H loading is:

If $V/V_{ult} > 0.5$: $\frac{V}{V_{ult}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{H}{H_{ult}}}$ or $\frac{H}{H_{ult}} = 1 - \left(2\frac{V}{V_{ult}} - 1\right)^2$

If $V/V_{ult} < 0.5$: $H = H_{ult} = B s_u$

Combined V-H-M loading

With lift-off: combined Green-Meyerhof

Without lift-off: $\left(\frac{V}{V_{ult}}\right)^2 + \left[\frac{M}{M_{ult}}\left(1 - 0.3\frac{H}{H_{ult}}\right)\right]^2 + \left|\left(\frac{H}{H_{ult}}\right)^3\right| - 1 = 0$ (Taiebet & Carter 2000)

Frictional (Coulomb) soil, with friction angle ϕ

Vertical loading

The vertical bearing capacity, q_f, of a shallow foundation under drained loading (Coulomb soil) is:

$$\frac{V_{ult}}{A} = q_f = s_q N_q \sigma'_{v0} + s_\gamma N_\gamma \frac{\gamma' B}{2}$$

The bearing capacity factors N_q and N_γ account for the capacity arising from surcharge and self-weight of the foundation soil respectively. σ'_{v0} is the in situ effective stress acting at the level of the foundation base.

For a strip footing on weightless soil, the exact solution for N_q is:

$N_q = \tan^2(\pi/4 + \phi/2)\, e^{(\pi \tan \phi)}$ (Prandtl 1921)

An empirical relationship to estimate N_γ from N_q is (Eurocode 7):

$N_\gamma = 2\,(N_q - 1) \tan \phi$



Curve fits to exact solutions for $N_\gamma = f(\phi)$ are (Davis & Booker 1971):

Rough base: $N_\gamma = 0.1054 e^{9.6\phi}$

Smooth base: $N_\gamma = 0.0663 e^{9.3\phi}$

Shape correction factors:

For a rectangular footing of length L and breadth B (Eurocode 7):

$s_q = 1 + (B \sin \phi) / L$
$s_\gamma = 1 - 0.3\, B / L$

For circular footings take L = B.

Combined V-H loading

The Green/Sokolovski lower bound solution gives a V-H failure surface.

Combined V-H-M loading

With lift-off- drained conditions - use Butterfield & Gottardi (1994) failure surface shown above

$$\left[\frac{H/V_{ult}}{t_h}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{M/BV_{ult}}{t_m}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{2C(M/BV_{ult})(H/V_{ult})}{t_h t_m}\right] = \left[\frac{V}{V_{ult}}\left(1 - \frac{V}{V_{ult}}\right)\right]^2$$

where $C = \tan\left(\frac{2\rho(t_h - t_m)(t_h + t_m)}{2 t_h t_m}\right)$ (Butterfield & Gottardi, 1994)

Typically, t_h~0.5, t_m~0.4 and ρ~15°. Note that t_h is the friction coefficient, H/V= $\tan\phi$, during sliding.

Answers to 3D1, 2012

1. (a) 0.375 mm

   (c) (i) 0.097 m (ii) 3.1 years

2. (a) 15.5%, 73%

   (c) 0.03, 0.20, 85 kPa

   (d) 0.63 m settlement

   (e) 16 mm heave

3. (a) (i) $2 + 2\pi$ (ii) $2 + 3\pi$

   (b) multiply by 1.18

4. (a) $\sin(2\psi - \delta) = \sin\delta / \sin\phi$

   (b) $\frac{\sigma_f}{\sigma_o} = \frac{(1 + \sin\phi \ \cos 2\psi)}{(1 - \sin\phi)} \exp[(\pi - 2\psi) \ \tan\phi]$

   (c) 33.3 reduces to 14 as $\delta \rightarrow 20°$, a reduction factor of 0.42

   whereas the factor derived from the Databook formula is 0.48