

4F6: SIGNAL DETECTION AND ESTIMATION

- 1) The Neyman-Fisher factorization theorem is useful in estimation theory since it helps decide what the "sufficient statistics" are for estimating parameters of a model for data.

The theorem states that if the likelihood $p(x|\theta)$ can be written as

$$p(x|\theta) = g(T(x), \theta) h(x)$$

where x 's data and θ the parameter of the model, then $T(x)$'s the sufficient statistic for θ .

The theorem can be proved in two ways.

- 1) For an unbiased, efficient estimator we can write:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln p(x|\theta) = k(\theta) (\hat{\theta}(x) - \theta)$$

$$\therefore \ln p(x|\theta) = \int k(\theta') (\hat{\theta}(x) - \theta') d\theta' + \ln h(x).$$

$$\therefore p(x|\theta) = h(x) g(T(x), \theta).$$

The second way uses Bayes theorem.

$$\text{i) assume } p(x|\theta) = g(T(x), \theta) h(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore p(\theta|x) &= \frac{p(\theta) p(x|\theta)}{p(x)} \\ &= \frac{g(T(x), \theta) h(x) p(\theta)}{h(x) \int p(\theta) g(T(x), \theta) d\theta} \end{aligned}$$

$$= p(\theta | T(x))$$

ie $T(x)$ is the only way the data enters into the estimation and is i. sufficient.

Q.E.D

3/

The likelihood for the data \underline{x} is

$$p(\underline{x}|\theta) = \prod_{n=0}^{N-1} \exp(A(\theta) B(x_n) + C(x_n) + D(\theta))$$

$$= \exp \left\{ A(\theta) \sum B(x_n) + \sum C(x_n) + N D(\theta) \right\}$$

$$= \exp \left\{ A(\theta) \sum B(x_n) + N D(\theta) \right\} \exp \left\{ \sum C(x_n) \right\}$$

\therefore Using the N-F theorem, the sufficient statistic $T(\underline{x})$ is

$$T(\underline{x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} B(x_n)$$

a) Gaussian case (+ likewise for the exponential)

$$p(x|\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^2\right) \quad \text{for } \sigma=1$$

$$= \exp \left\{ x\mu - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\mu^2 + \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) \right\}$$

$$\therefore T(\underline{x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n$$

~~1)~~

2) First part is book work
(-see notes)

a) The log-likelihood is

$$\ln p(x_0 | \theta) = \ln x_0 - \ln \theta - \frac{x_0^2}{2\theta}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial \ln p(x_0 | \theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{x_0^2}{2\theta^2}$$

and for a ML estimator, this is zero.

$$\therefore -\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{x_0^2}{2\theta^2} = 0$$

$$\therefore \hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} x_0^2$$

$$b) E[\hat{\theta}] = E\left[\frac{1}{2} x_0^2\right] = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} x_0^2 \frac{x_0}{\theta} e^{-\frac{x_0^2}{2\theta}} dx = \theta$$

\therefore unbiased

5

2) c)

$$P(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} | \theta) = \prod_{n=0}^{N-1} P(x_n | \theta)$$

$$= \prod_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{x(n)}{\theta} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2(n)}{2\theta}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta^N} \left(\prod_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) \right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\theta} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^2(n)\right)$$

$$\therefore \ln P(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} | \theta) = -N \ln \theta + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \ln x(n) - \frac{1}{2\theta} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^2(n)$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial \ln P(x | \theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{N}{\theta} + \frac{1}{2\theta^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^2(n) = 0$$

$$\therefore \hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^2(n)$$

6

3) First part is book work - see notes.

b) For the two hypotheses H_0 and H_1 ,
the likelihoods are

$$P(\underline{y} | H_0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{N/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \underline{y}^T \underline{y}\right)$$

$$\downarrow$$
$$P(\underline{y} | H_1) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{N/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\underline{y} - \underline{s})^T (\underline{y} - \underline{s})\right]$$

The likelihood ratio test is

$$L(\underline{y}) = \frac{P(\underline{y} | H_1)}{P(\underline{y} | H_0)} \underset{H_0}{\overset{H_1}{>}} k$$

where k depends upon the detection criteria used i.e. MAP, Bayes or Neyman-Pearson.

7

3 cont)

Therefore

$$L(\underline{y}) = \exp \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(2\underline{y}^T \underline{s} - \underline{s}^T \underline{s} \right)$$

taking logs,

$$\therefore \underbrace{\underline{y}^T \underline{s}}_{\substack{H_1 \\ > \\ < \\ H_0}} > \frac{1}{2} \underline{s}^T \underline{s} + \sigma^2 \ln k.$$

c) for the case of coloured noise with covariance matrix C the detector

becomes $\underbrace{\underline{y}^T C^{-1} \underline{s}}_{\substack{H_1 \\ > \\ < \\ H_0}} > \frac{1}{2} \underline{s}^T C^{-1} \underline{s} + \ln k.$

using decomposition methods for C ie (cholesky etc)

we get $\underbrace{\underline{y}^T \underline{s}'}_{\substack{H_1 \\ > \\ < \\ H_0}} > \frac{1}{2} \underline{s}^T \underline{s}' + \ln k.$

where \underline{y}' and \underline{s}' are whitened versions of \underline{y} and \underline{s}

8/4) a) + b) are bookwork - see notes.

c) The general linear model can be written

$$\underline{d} = \underline{G} \underline{\theta} + \underline{w}$$

where \underline{d} is observed data, model parameters are θ and G is a matrix and \underline{w} is additive noise.

For the signal $s(n) = A + Bn$,

the observed data is $\underline{d} = \underline{s} + \underline{w}$

$$\therefore \underline{d} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & N-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} + \underline{w} = \underline{G} \underline{\theta} + \underline{w}$$

Therefore,

$$s(n) = A + Bn$$

can be written as a General linear model.

9

4 c)

The likelihoods for the two hypotheses H_0 and H_1 are

$$P(\underline{d} | H_0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N/2} |C|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \underline{d}^T C^{-1} \underline{d}}$$

$$P(\underline{d} | H_1) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N/2} |C|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\underline{d} - \underline{s})^T C^{-1} (\underline{d} - \underline{s})}$$

The N-P detector is $\frac{P(\underline{d} | H_1)}{P(\underline{d} | H_0)} \underset{H_0}{\overset{H_1}{>}} \lambda$

$$\therefore \underline{d}^T C^{-1} \underline{s} \underset{H_0}{\overset{H_1}{>}} \frac{1}{2} \underline{s}^T C^{-1} \underline{s} + \ln \lambda.$$

or

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^N d(n) (A + Bn) \underset{H_0}{\overset{H_1}{>}} \lambda$$
