

1. (a) (i)



consider equilibrium in x = divection $p.dz + (t + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} dz) dx = T dx + (p + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} dx) dz$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} dz dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} dx dz$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} dx$

ii) integrating w.r.t. z.

$$T = \left(\frac{d\rho}{dx}\right)z + A$$
200 romohance at top surface
i. $T=0$ at $z=h$
i. $0 = \frac{d\rho}{dx} + A$
i. $T = \frac{d\rho}{dx} (z-h)$
b) i) $\frac{B}{\sqrt{x}}$
 $h_1 = \frac{B}{\sqrt{x}} + \frac{B}{\sqrt{x}}$
h $\frac{\rho^2}{\sqrt{x}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} + \frac{1$

$$\int \frac{du}{dz} = \frac{dp}{dx} (z-h)$$

integrate
$$yu = dy \left(\frac{z^2}{2} - zh\right) + A$$

conditions $u = U$ at $z = 0$ $\therefore A = yU$
 $\therefore u = U + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dp}{dh}\right) = \left(\frac{z}{2} - h\right)$

volumetre flow per unit with g is contant $g = \int_{0}^{h} dz$ $= Uh + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dp}{dx} \right) \left[\frac{z^{3}}{6} - \frac{z^{2}h}{2} \right]_{0}^{h}$ $g = Uh - \frac{1}{32} \left(\frac{dp}{dx} \right) h^{3}$

flow is constant with
$$x so dq = 0$$

 $0 = U dh - \frac{1}{3\eta} dx \left(\frac{h^3}{d\eta}\right)$
 $\therefore 3\eta U dh = \frac{1}{4\eta} \left(\frac{h^3}{d\eta}\right)$

(ii)
$$F = \int_{0}^{B} T \Big|_{Z=0} dx$$
, but $T \Big|_{Z=0} = -h dp$
from (a)(ii)
 $F = \int_{0}^{B} h dp dx$
 $F = \int_{0}^{B} h dp dx$
 $F = \int_{0}^{B} h dp dx$
 $F = \int_{0}^{B} p dh dx$
 $hut p = 0 at entry and exit, so $E = 0$
 $F = -\int_{0}^{B} p dh dx$
 $hut dh = -Kh_{0}$ (from $\frac{h}{h_{0}} = 1+K-Kx$)
 $F = Kh_{0} \int_{0}^{B} p dx$
 $F = Kh_{0} \int_{0}^{B} p dx$
 $F = Kh_{0} = \frac{h_{0}-h_{0}}{IS}$$



so coef of friction = h,-ho B

Design issues: avoid los of contact limit the contact shenes and near



mechanism is locked!















litely to give discontinuity in acceleration leading to large forces, wear, fatugue and noise.

3 0)

Potomance criteria of energy storage dences:

specific energy kJ/kg
specific power W/kg
energy density kJ/m³
power density W/m³
cycle life
cycle life
cost \$\pm / kWh
efficiency
self discharge rate

Chenned batteres in automobile drives.

Strongths - ease of controlling power low self-discharge rate specific energy and energy dousity Calchargh hot compared to lianed fuel) Weaknesses - temperature controlled environment realized. finite cycle life high cost. specific power and power dousity one low (porticularly for charging)



energy storage E = (P-P.) ton $E = \left(P - \left(c v_o^2 + a \right) v_o \right) t_a$





(iii)
$$\int f = m dv + cv^2 + a$$

 $dt = 0$ $dv = 0$ for constant pure P
 $dv = 0$ $dv = 0$ $P = (cv_p^2 + a)V_p$
 $\int P = (cv_p^3 + av_p)$

discharging place at good v_p : $P + P_d = m dv v_p + cv_p^3 + av_p$ but $P = cv_p^3 + av_p$ $\therefore P_d = m dv \cdot v_p$ at $P_d = m dv \cdot v_p$ $dt = \frac{P_d}{m v_p}$. Somularly for discharging place : $\frac{dv}{dt} = -\frac{P_c}{m v_p}$

4C16 2012 Examiner's comments

Q1 Hydrodynamic bearing

Part (a) was generally answered well. There were many good solutions to part (b)(i), but many other solutions involved vain attempts at manipulating formulae from the data sheet, with little account taken of the specified slip conditions. Most candidates failed to make much progress with part (b)(ii).

Q2 Cam mechanism

The discussion parts of the question were answered well. The equivalent mechanisms sketched in part (b)(ii) were often wrong or lacked sufficient detail. In part (b)(iv) many candidates were able to find the velocity, but solutions to the acceleration were poor. The most common approach was to derive an expression for the height of the follower in terms of the cam angle and then to differentiate twice to find the velocity and acceleration. The velocity was usually found correctly, but failure to account correctly for the motion of the upper link of the equivalent mechanism led to wrong answers for the acceleration. Only a handful of candidates used unit vectors or velocity/acceleration diagrams.

Q3 Hybrid drive

The discussion part of the question was answered well. The derivations in part (b) were also answered well by most candidates. There was a range of answers to part (c), with no particular error predominating. Many candidates sketched an appropriate graph of power first, but then sketched a graph of vehicle speed that was inconsistent with this.

4C16 2012 Examiner's comments

Q1 Hydrodynamic bearing

Part (a) was generally answered well. There were many good solutions to part (b)(i), but many other solutions involved vain attempts at manipulating formulae from the data sheet, with little account taken of the specified slip conditions. Most candidates failed to make much progress with part (b)(ii).

Q2 Cam mechanism

The discussion parts of the question were answered well. The equivalent mechanisms sketched in part (b)(ii) were often wrong or lacked sufficient detail. In part (b)(iv) many candidates were able to find the velocity, but solutions to the acceleration were poor. The most common approach was to derive an expression for the height of the follower in terms of the cam angle and then to differentiate twice to find the velocity and acceleration. The velocity was usually found correctly, but failure to account correctly for the motion of the upper link of the equivalent mechanism led to wrong answers for the acceleration. Only a handful of candidates used unit vectors or velocity/acceleration diagrams.

Q3 Hybrid drive

The discussion part of the question was answered well. The derivations in part (b) were also answered well by most candidates. There was a range of answers to part (c), with no particular error predominating. Many candidates sketched an appropriate graph of power first, but then sketched a graph of vehicle speed that was inconsistent with this.