

$\text{4} \quad \text{v} \quad \text{l} \quad \text{-} \quad \text{s}$   
 (Solutions.)

Q1) Bookwork definitions - see lecture notes.

Neyman-Fisher factorization theorem:

For an unbiased efficient estimator,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = k(\theta) (\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) - \theta)$$

$$\therefore \ln p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \int k(\theta') (\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) - \theta') d\theta' + \ln h(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\therefore p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = g(T(\mathbf{x}), \theta) h(\mathbf{x})$$

where  $T(\mathbf{x})$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

For the scalar exponential family, the likelihood of the data  $\mathbf{x}$  is given by.

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \prod_{n=0}^{N-1} \exp(A(\theta) B(x_n) + C(x_n) + D(\theta))$$

$$= \exp \left( A(\theta) \sum_n B(x_n) + \sum_n C(x_n) + N D(\theta) \right)$$

$$= \exp \left( A(\theta) \sum_n B(x_n) + N D(\theta) \right) \cdot \exp \left( \sum_n C(x_n) \right)$$

$$= g(T(\mathbf{x}), \theta) h(\mathbf{x}).$$

By NF factorization theorem,  $T(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_n B(x_n)$ . 35

(2)

Q1 cont.)

For the Gaussian case, we can write

$$p(x|\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^2\right)$$

taking  $\sigma=1$  for simplicity

$$\therefore p(x|\mu) = \exp\left(x\mu - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\mu^2 + \ln\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)\right).$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(\mu) & B(x) & C(x) \\ \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ D(\mu) & & \end{array}$$

$$\therefore T(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n$$

For the exponential case

$$\begin{aligned} p(x|\lambda) &= \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \\ &= \exp\left(-\lambda x + 0 + \ln\lambda\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(\lambda) & B(x) & C(x) \\ \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ D(\lambda) & & \end{array}$$

$$\therefore T(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n$$

35%

Q2) Bookwork definitions - see lecture notes.

a) This distribution belongs to the Rayleigh family and

$$\begin{aligned} p(\underline{x} | \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^N p(x_i | \theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^N} \left( \frac{\theta}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\theta} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2} \end{aligned}$$

The score function is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} s(\theta, \underline{x}_n) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln p(\underline{x}_n | \theta) \\ &= -\frac{N}{\theta} + \frac{1}{2\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the expected value of  $s$  is zero and the Cramér-Rao bound applies

$$\therefore \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln p(\underline{x}_n | \theta) = \frac{n}{\theta^2} \left( \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - \theta \right)$$

$$\therefore \hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 \quad \text{ML estimator}$$

Q2 cont.)

(4)

b) Since the expected value of  $\hat{\theta} = \theta$ , the estimator is unbiased

15%

c) The Fisher information is obtained as the pre-factor in the score function

$$\therefore I(\theta) = \frac{N}{\theta^2}$$

and  $\therefore$  the CRB is

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) \geq \frac{\theta^2}{N}$$

15%

d) From  $p(x_n|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^N} \left( \frac{N}{\theta} x_i \right)^N e^{-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2}$

and using the Neyman-Fisher factorization theorem, a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$

is

$$\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2$$

10%

(5)

(Q3) Parts a) and b) are bookwork  
 - see lecture notes.

c) i) The general linear model may be written:

$$\underline{d} = \underline{G} \underline{\theta} + \underline{\omega}$$

Where the model parameters are contained in the vector  $\underline{\theta}$  and  $\underline{d}$  is the observed data vector,  $\underline{\omega}$  is the noise vector and  $\underline{G}$  is a matrix.

For the signal  $s(n) = A + Bn$ , the observed data is  $\underline{d} = \underline{s} + \underline{\omega}$

$$\therefore \underline{d} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & N+1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} + \underline{\omega}$$

$$\therefore \underline{d} = \underline{G} \underline{\theta} + \underline{\omega}$$

. 30%

(6)

Q3

c) ii) The two likelihoods are:

$$P(\underline{d} | H_0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} |C|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \underline{d}^T C^{-1} \underline{d}}$$

$$P(\underline{d} | H_1) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} |C|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\underline{d} - \underline{s})^T C^{-1} (\underline{d} - \underline{s})}$$

The N-P detector is given by

$$L(\underline{d}) = \frac{P(\underline{d} | H_1)}{P(\underline{d} | H_0)} \xrightarrow[H_1]{<} \lambda$$

$$\therefore L(\underline{d}) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} (-2\underline{d}^T C^{-1} \underline{s} + \underline{s}^T C^{-1} \underline{s})}.$$

$$\therefore \underline{d}^T C^{-1} \underline{s} \xrightarrow[H_0]{>} \frac{1}{2} \underline{s}^T C^{-1} \underline{s} + \ln \lambda.$$

Therefore the detector can be written

$$\frac{1}{2} \underline{d}^T \underbrace{C^{-1}}_{H_0} \underline{d} + \underline{d}^T \underline{s} \xrightarrow[H_0]{>} \lambda'$$

30%.

(7)

(Q4)

First two parts are bookwork - see notes.

To derive the MAP detector, we need to consider the likelihood ratios.

$$P(\underline{y} | H_0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \exp -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\underline{y} - \underline{s}_0)^T (\underline{y} - \underline{s})$$

$$P(\underline{y} | H_1) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \exp -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\underline{y} - \underline{s}_1)^T (\underline{y} - \underline{s}_1).$$

$$\therefore L(\underline{y}) = \frac{P(\underline{y} | H_1)}{P(\underline{y} | H_0)} \stackrel{H_1}{\geq} k$$

$$\therefore \underline{y}^T (\underline{s}_1 - \underline{s}_0) \stackrel{H_1}{>} \frac{1}{2} (\underline{s}_1^T \underline{s}_1 - \underline{s}_0^T \underline{s}_0) + \sigma^2 \ln k.$$

For the case of coloured noise with covariance matrix  $C$  we have

$$\underline{y}^T C^{-1} \underline{s}_1 \stackrel{H_1}{>} \frac{1}{2} \underline{s}_1^T C^{-1} \underline{s}_1 + \ln k.$$

30%

taking the case  $s_0 = 0$  for simplicity

Q4)

(cont)

(8)

The noise covariance matrix is symmetric positive semi-definite and may be diagonalised with an eigenvector transformation

$$C = U \Lambda U^{-1}$$

$U$  - square,  $\Lambda$  - diagonal

Since  $C$  is real symmetric,

$$C = U \Lambda U^T$$

$$\begin{aligned} C^{-1} &= U \Lambda^{-1} U^T \\ &= D D^T \end{aligned}$$

where  $D = U \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

$$\therefore y^T D D^T s_i \stackrel{H_0}{\geq} \frac{1}{2} s_i^T D D^T s_i + \ln k.$$

Define  $y' = D^T y$ ,  $s'_i = D^T s_i$

$$\therefore y'^T s'_i \stackrel{H_1}{\geq} \frac{1}{2} s_i^T s'_i + \ln k.$$

20%

$D$  is a pre-whitening filter